

Notes on Judges

2003 Edition
Dr. Thomas L. Constable

Introduction

TITLE

The English title, Judges, comes to us from the Latin translation (Vulgate) that the Greek translation (Septuagint) influenced. In all three languages the title means "judges." This title is somewhat misleading, however, because most English-speaking people associate the modern concept of a judge with Israel's judges. As we shall see, judges then were very different from judges now. The Hebrew title is also Judges (*Shophetim*). The book received its name from its principle characters, as the Book of Joshua did.

The judge in Israel was not a new office during the period of history that this book records. Moses ordered the people to appoint judges in every Israelite town to settle civil disputes (Deut. 16:18). In addition, there was to be a chief justice at the tabernacle who would, with the high priest, help settle cases too difficult for the local judges (Deut. 17:9). Evidently there were several judges at the tabernacle who served as a supreme court (Deut. 19:17).

When Joshua died God did not appoint a man to succeed him as the military leader of the entire nation of Israel. Instead each tribe was to proceed to conquer and occupy its allotted territory. As the need arose God raised up several different individuals who were judges in various parts of Israel at various times to lead segments of the Israelites against local enemies. These judges were similar to modern mayors of towns. God endowed them with certain qualities and identified them in various ways as being those He had chosen to lead His people. This leadership sometimes involved military command. As God had raised up Moses and Joshua, and as he would raise up David (1 Sam. 16:13), so He also raised up the judges. The writer also described Yahweh as a judge in Judges (11:27). This points out the fact that the judges were God's agents in Israel who judged under Him at this period in the nation's history.

"Though the judge enjoyed great prestige, he was in no sense a king. His authority was neither absolute, nor permanent, nor in any case hereditary; it rested solely in those personal qualities (the *charisma*) that gave evidence that he was the man of Yahweh's spirit. It was a type of authority perfectly expressive of the faith and constitution of early Israel: the God-King's direct leadership of his people through his spirit-designated representative. . . .

"The judges were by no means men of identical character. Some (e.g., Gideon) rose to their task at the behest of a profound experience of divine

vocation; one (Jephthah) was no better than a bandit who knew how to strike a canny bargain; one (Samson) was an engaging rogue whose fabulous strength and bawdy pranks became legendary. None, so far as we know, ever led a united Israel into battle. All, however, seem to have had this in common: they were men who, stepping to the fore in times of danger, by virtue only of those personal qualities (*charisma*) which gave evidence to their fellows that Yahweh's spirit was upon them, rallied the clans against the foe."¹

Judges is the second book of the Former Prophets section of the Hebrew Old Testament. As I pointed out in the notes on Joshua, the fact that the Hebrews placed the book in this section of their canon is significant. It demonstrates that they recognized it as God's selective history of the period designed to teach spiritual lessons more than simply to record historical facts. God revealed Himself through the events of life and history as well as through the sermons of the prophets.

DATE AND WRITER

Internal references help us locate the approximate date of composition of this book. The clause, "In those days there was no king in Israel," (17:6; 18:1; 19:1; 21:25) suggests that someone wrote Judges during the monarchical period that followed the period of rule by judges (amphictyony). Someone probably wrote it after 1051 B.C. when Saul became king. However at the time of writing Jerusalem was still in the hands of the Jebusites (1:21). David captured Jerusalem about 1004 B.C. Therefore the writing of Judges seems to date between 1051 and 1004 B.C.

Jewish tradition suggests that Samuel wrote Judges.² This was the opinion of the writers of the Talmud, the collection of Jewish writings that grew up around revealed Scripture beginning very early in Israel's history. Samuel is a likely writer because of his role in Israel when someone wrote Judges. Samuel's ministry began about 1090 B.C. and apparently ended a few years before Saul's death (ca. 1021 B.C.). If Samuel wrote Judges, he probably did so between 1051 and about 1021 B.C.

SCOPE

In contrast to Joshua, which spans only about 35 years, Judges covers a much longer period of Israel's history.

The book opens shortly after the death of Joshua (1:1). God did not give us sufficient information to enable us to fix the date of Joshua's death. Leon Wood figured that he died about 1390 B.C.³ Eugene Merrill calculated his death at about 1366 B.C.⁴ The latest

¹John Bright, *A History of Israel*, pp. 14-15, 156. William Wallace was such a figure in Scottish history.

²See *The International Standard Bible Encyclopaedia*, 1939 ed., s.v. "Judges, Book of," by A. S. Geden, 3:1774.

³Leon Wood, *Distressing Days of the Judges*, p. 11.

⁴Eugene H. Merrill, *Kingdom of Priests*, p. 225.

event the writer of Judges recorded is probably the death of Samson (16:30-31). Wood believed Samson died about 1055 B.C.⁵ Merrill wrote that he died near 1084 B.C.⁶ Consequently the Book of Judges records about 300 years of Israel's history (cf. 11:26).⁷ The period of rule by the judges, however, extended beyond the events the Book of Judges records to Saul's coronation in 1050 or 1051 B.C.⁸ According to Wood's chronology this was five years beyond the end of Judges and according to Merrill's it was 33 years beyond.

The judgeships of some of the individual judges apparently overlapped. Some ruled in one area of Israel while one or more others ruled elsewhere in some cases.⁹

The Book of Judges does not record the ministries of all Israel's judges. Eli and Samuel were also judges whose work the writer of 1 Samuel recorded. Only the judges whom the divine Author selected for inclusion appear in this book.

PURPOSE

Arthur Cundall suggested that one of the purposes of Judges may have been to provide apologetic justification for Israel's monarchy.¹⁰ William Dumbrell believed its purpose was primarily to show the sovereign grace of God in preserving Israel in spite of Israel.¹¹ Leon Wood wrote that its primary purpose was to show why Israel did not experience God's promised blessings.¹² Herbert Wolf believed the primary purpose was to show that Israel's spiritual condition determined its political and material situation.¹³ Daniel Block argued that it was to reveal the Canaanization of Israel in the premonarchic period of Israel's history.¹⁴ All these explanations seem to me to be in harmony with what the book records.

⁵Wood, pp. 14, 303.

⁶Merrill, p. 178.

⁷According to Wood's figures the book would span 335 years and according to Merrill's 282 years.

⁸Wood, p. 11, and Merrill, p. 192, agreed on this date that Edwin R. Thiele, *The Mysterious Numbers of the Hebrew Kings*, pp. 51-52, established. This date assumes Saul reigned 40 years (Acts 13:21), David reigned 40 years (2 Sam. 2:11; 5:5), Solomon reigned 40 years (1 Kings 11:42), and the kingdom split in 931 B.C.

⁹See Gleason L. Archer Jr., *A Survey of Old Testament Introduction*, pp. 276-77; the "Chronological Chart" in Wood, pp. 409-11; and the "Time Chart" in John Davis and John Whitcomb (Davis wrote the section on Judges), *A History of Israel*, p. 16. See also J. H. John Peet, "The Chronology of the Judges—Some Thoughts," *Journal of Christian Reconstruction* 9:1-2 (1982-83):161-81; and Daniel I. Block, *Judges, Ruth*, pp. 59-63.

¹⁰Arthur Cundall, "Judges—An Apology for the Monarchy," *Expository Times* 81 (October 1969-September 1970):178-81.

¹¹William Dumbrell, "'In Those Days There Was No King in Israel; Every Man Did What Was Right in His Own Eyes.' The Purpose of the Book of Judges Reconsidered," *Journal for the Study of the Old Testament* 25 (1983):30-31. Cf. Robert Boling, *Judges*, p. 293; Alvin S. Lawhead, "Grace in the Book of Judges," *Preacher's Magazine* 58:3 (March-May 1983):25-27.

¹²Wood, p. 135.

¹³Herbert Wolf, "Judges," in *Deuteronomy-2 Samuel*, vol. 3 of *The Expositor's Bible Commentary*, p. 378.

¹⁴Block, p. 58. See also idem, "The Period of the Judges: Religious Disintegration under Tribal Rule," in *Israel's Apostasy and Restoration: Essays in Honor of Roland K. Harrison*, pp. 39-58.

MESSAGE¹⁵

Joshua reveals that victory, success, and progress result when God's people trust and obey Him consistently. Judges shows that defeat, failure, and retrogression follow when they fail to trust and obey consistently. In this respect Joshua and Judges are like two sides of one coin. The former is a positive lesson and the latter a negative one.

Judges portrays the deterioration of the nation of Israel: what caused it, the course it followed, and the chaos that resulted.

Israel failed because her heart turned from Yahweh, and then her head forsook His covenant. Keil and Delitzsch wrote, "The writer writes throughout from a prophet's point of view. He applies the standard of the law to the spirit of the age by which the nation was influenced as a whole, and pronounces a stern and severe sentence upon all deviations from the path of rectitude set before it in the law."¹⁶

We could visualize the structure of the book as a descending spiral. Israel departed from God, fell under His discipline, repented, experienced deliverance from her oppressors, dedicated herself anew to Yahweh, experienced His blessing, and then apostatized again. In each cycle Israel seems to have sunk lower than she had been previously even though each cycle included a spiritual revival.

Judges reveals the course and process by which Israel deteriorated as a nation. The same process takes place on the personal level as well as on the national level, but it is easier to observe on the national level in Judges.

The root cause of Israel's deterioration was religious apostasy. The Israelites turned from God. They did not drive out the Canaanites as God had commanded (1:21, 27-33). Instead they made covenants with them (2:1-2). Rather than destroying the pagan altars, the Israelites served idols and forsook the Lord (2:11-12, 17, 19).

Their apostasy began with toleration of things that God had condemned and prohibited. In time the Israelites began to admire these things. Finally they conformed to them.

The story of Micah and the Danites (chs. 17—18) is a short illustration of the religious apostasy in Israel at this time. Chapters 17—21 are an appendix to the book.

Religious apostasy led to political disorganization in Israel. Shortly after Israel departed from God it began to come apart as a nation. The people stopped working together toward their God-given goal of possessing the entire land and began fighting with one another instead. At the beginning of the amphictyony the tribes were fairly united, but by the end of this period of Israel's history anarchy prevailed (21:25).

¹⁵Adapted from G. Campbell Morgan, *Living Messages of the Books of the Bible*, 1:1:116-130.

¹⁶C. F. Keil and Franz Delitzsch, *Joshua, Judges, Ruth*, p. 243.

The government in Israel deteriorated from theocracy (rule by God) to anarchy (no rule or government). Israel became fragmented, weak, and unable to withstand her enemies. This is ironic because after Joshua died Israel was in position to begin to enjoy the benefits of the theocracy in the land for the first time. Until *Judges* opens, God was preparing Israel to enjoy the theocracy in the land.

There are several examples of tribal jealousies in *Judges* (e.g., 8:1-3; 12:1-6), but the worst example of political disorganization is the vignette that concludes the book. This is the civil war in which 11 of the tribes almost annihilated the twelfth, Benjamin (chs. 20—21). Instead of destroying the Canaanites, God's people allowed them to live among them while the Israelites proceeded to destroy one another.

Another evidence of Israel's deterioration as a nation was social chaos.

Three characteristics marked the social chaos in Israel during the period of the judges. Lawlessness characterized national life. People were afraid to go out in public and traveled the byways rather than the highways of the land (5:6). People committed violent crimes without fear of punishment (ch. 19). Blindness also characterized the people. They were blind to what was happening in their midst, namely, God using discipline after apostasy to bring them to repentance and deliverance. They were also blind to God's dealings with their ancestors in their history. Third, immorality marked Israel's social life. Even Samson, one of the judges, was a victim of this cancer.

The story of the Levite and his concubine who visited the town of Gibeah (ch. 19) is a slice of life out of the period of the judges that shows the immorality that characterized Israel's social life. The behavior demonstrated in this story was the fruit of departure from God. The sin that had previously characterized the Canaanites of Sodom (Gen. 19) now marked God's people. Chapter 19 is a third part of the appendix to the book.

God revealed this process of deterioration to warn all people. Spiritual apostasy leads to political disorganization and social chaos. Social and governmental evils rise out of spiritual conditions. When the Israelites repented and rededicated themselves to God, God brought political deliverance and restored social order.

Judges not only reveals what causes deterioration, but it also clarifies the steps to restoration. Israel's history during this period resembles a downward spiral. The general trend was downward. Nevertheless there were six revivals of the peoples' faith in God and commitment to him too. These revivals cycled in Israel's history at this time.

Israel began from a privileged position of divine blessing.

In time the people apostatized by turning away from God and His covenant to the gods and practices of the Canaanites.

To bring them back to Himself God disciplined His people by allowing them to fall under the control and domination of their enemies. Israel chose to bow down to idols, so God

allowed the idolaters to bend her over in bondage. The Israelites tolerated the Canaanites, but God made the Canaanites intolerant of them. The people with the birthright to the land had to hide in caves and among the rocks fearing to show themselves (6:2). God disciplined them severely for their apostasy. In Joshua God dealt with sin primarily among the Canaanites, but in Judges He dealt with it primarily among the Israelites. However, God's discipline was always remedial. God designed it to bring the Israelites back to a consciousness of sin and their need for God.

When the Israelites cried out to Yahweh in repentance, God heard their cry and delivered them mercifully. I mean "repentance" in the general sense of turning to God, not in the specific sense of cleaning up the life. God did not give deliverance as a reward the people had earned, but as grace in response to their helpless cry, as the text makes clear.

When they truly repented, He delivered them by raising up a judge. In each case, deliverance came at the right moment. It always came by the right instrument. God raised up the right person in each case. In almost every case God used one person, either a man or a woman. Judges reveals how God remarkably works through all types of different individuals to accomplish His purposes. He raised up the judge whom He had prepared for the needs of his time and place. Each judge was just right for his mission. In almost every case God used one single individual to change the whole course of history in Israel. E. M. Bounds wrote, "The Holy Spirit does not flow through methods, but through man. He does not come on machinery, but on men. He does not anoint plans, but people—people of prayer."

As a result of this deliverance the people rededicated themselves anew to Yahweh. Spiritual revival was the result of God's physical deliverance.

The people then began to enjoy God's blessing again. God gave them rest from the oppression of their enemies. Arthur Cundall labeled these stages "sin, servitude, supplication, and salvation."¹⁷

God's methods are the same today as they were in the days of the judges.

The fact that the writer repeated this cycle of events six times in Judges points to its timeless quality and its universality. Charles Feinberg wrote, "If ever there were history with a purpose it is here."¹⁸

I would state the message of the Book of Judges therefore as follows. Apostasy leads to disorganization and chaos, but repentance results in deliverance and blessing. This is true nationally and personally.

¹⁷Arthur Cundall and Leon Morris, *Judges and Ruth*, p. 46.

¹⁸Charles Feinberg, "Notes on the Book of Judges," p. 2.

OUTLINE

- I. The reason for Israel's apostasy 1:1—3:6
 - A. Hostilities between the Israelites and the Canaanites after Joshua's death 1:1—2:5
 - 1. Initial successes and failures ch. 1
 - 2. The announcement of God's discipline 2:1-5
 - B. Israel's conduct toward Yahweh and Yahweh's treatment of Israel in the period of the judges 2:6—3:6
 - 1. Review of Joshua's era 2:6-10
 - 2. The pattern of history during the judges' era 2:11-23
 - 3. God's purposes with Israel 3:1-6
- II. The record of Israel's apostasy 3:7—16:31
 - A. The first apostasy 3:7-11
 - B. The second apostasy 3:12-31
 - 1. Oppression under the Moabites and deliverance through Ehud 3:12-30
 - 2. Oppression under the Philistines and deliverance through Shamgar 3:31
 - C. The third apostasy chs. 4—5
 - 1. The victory over Jabin and Sisera ch. 4
 - 2. Deborah's song of victory ch. 5
 - D. The fourth apostasy 6:1—10:5
 - 1. The story of Gideon 6:1—8:32
 - 2. Israel's departure from Yahweh 8:33-35
 - 3. The story of Abimelech ch. 9
 - 4. The judgeships of Tola and Jair 10:1-5
 - E. The fifth apostasy 10:6—12:15
 - 1. Renewed oppression 10:6-7
 - 2. Oppression under the Ammonites 10:8-18
 - 3. Deliverance through Jephthah 10:1—12:7
 - 4. The judgeships of Ibzan, Elon, and Abdon 12:8-15

- F. The sixth apostasy chs. 13—16
 - 1. Samson's birth ch. 13
 - 2. Samson's intended marriage to the Timnite ch. 14
 - 3. Samson's vengeance on the Philistines ch. 15
 - 4. Samson's final fatal victory ch. 16

- III. The results of Israel's apostasy ch. 17—21
 - A. The idolatry of Micah and the Danites ch. 17—18
 - 1. The idolatry of Micah ch. 17
 - 2. The apostasy of the Danites ch. 18

 - B. The immorality of Gibeah and the Benjamites chs. 19—21
 - 1. The atrocity in Gibeah ch. 19
 - 2. The civil war in Israel ch. 20
 - 3. The preservation of Benjamin ch. 21

Exposition

I. THE REASONS FOR ISRAEL'S APOSTASY 1:1—3:6

The first major section in the book (1:1—3:6) explains very clearly why the period of the judges was a dark chapter in Israel's history. God revealed the reasons for Israel's apostasy and consequent national problems in terms too clear to miss.

The years immediately following Joshua's death saw a transition from success to failure. The events of this period set the scene for the amphictyony (rule by judges) and provide a background for the main part of the book (3:7—16:31).

"The Book of Judges may be viewed as having a two-part introduction (1:1—2:5 and 2:6—3:6) and a two-part epilogue (17:1—18:31 and 19:1—21:25). Parallel ideas and motifs link the first introduction (1:1—2:5) with the second epilogue (19:1—21:25), and in like manner the second introduction (2:6—3:6) with the first epilogue (17:1—18:31)."¹⁹

A. HOSTILITIES BETWEEN THE ISRAELITES AND THE CANAANITES FOLLOWING JOSHUA'S DEATH 1:1—2:5

". . . archaeology shows that the superpowers (Babylonia, Assyria, the Hittites, and Egypt) were relatively weak during the days of the judges and the monarchy. Internal affairs kept them busy at home. This, humanly speaking, made possible the survival of the nation of Israel. The smaller, local enemies were trouble enough for her armies."²⁰

1. Initial successes and failures ch. 1

The attitude of the Israelites toward the Canaanites changed in the years following Joshua's death.

The leadership of Judah 1:1-21

1:1 The Book of Judges begins with a conjunction translated "now" or "and." God intended Judges to continue the narrative of Israel's history where the Book of Joshua ended (cf. Josh. 1:1). This verse provides a heading for the whole Book of Judges with the actual events following Joshua's death not being narrated until after the record of his death in 2:8.²¹

¹⁹J. Paul Tanner, "The Gideon Narrative as the Focal Point of Judges," *Bibliotheca Sacra* 149:594 (April-June 1992):149.

²⁰Arthur H. Lewis, *Judges and Ruth*, p. 13.

²¹Another view of the relationship of 1:1—2:5 to the death of Joshua is that all of 1:1—2:5 records events after Joshua's death, and 2:6 gives a recapitulation of his death. A third view is that 1:1—2:5 begins after Joshua's death, but what happens after 1:9 occurred before his death. See F. Duane Lindsey, "Judges," in *The Bible Knowledge Commentary: Old Testament*, p. 376.

The Israelites wisely sought God's strategy in proceeding against their foe. They may have done this with the high priest and his use of the Urim and Thummim (cf. Num. 27:21).


Each of the major divisions of 1:1—2:5 opens with a form of the verb *'alah* (to go up; 1:4, 22; 2:1).²²

1:2 The Lord's appointment of Judah as the first tribe to initiate hostility was in harmony with Jacob's prophecy that Judah would be the leader of the tribes (Gen. 49:8-12).

"The opening scene of the book offers so much promise. The theocratic system is still in place. Israel is sensitive to the will of God, and God responds to the overtures of his people. . . . By raising the reader's expectations this way the narrator invites us to share the intensity of his own and God's disappointment with his people in the period of settlement. Verses 1-2 throw the remainder of the chapter and the book into sharpest relief."²³

²²This verb also appears in 1:1, 2, and 3.

²³Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 87.



1:3 Judah naturally and properly, I believe, invited Simeon to join in this battle. After all, the Simeonites lived within the territory of Judah and therefore enjoyed an unusually close relationship with the people of Judah.

1:4 Bezek was obviously a stronghold of the Canaanites and Perizzites at this time since the Israelite forces were able to smite them near this town. The word translated "thousand" (Heb. *eleph*) can also mean "military unit." In

20:10 it refers to a unit of 10 men. Consequently the meaning here may be 10 military units rather than 10 thousand soldiers.²⁴

1:5-7 Adoni-bezek (lit. Lord of Bezek) was the title of the king of that town (cf. 1 Sam. 11:8-11) rather than his proper name.²⁵ The Israelites probably cut off this man's thumbs so he could not wield a sword and his big toes so he could not run away, as well as to humiliate him. These were temporary measures until they could carry out God's will and slay him. The loss of these digits also made it impossible for him to serve as a priest as well as a warrior, a dual function among many ancient eastern kings.²⁶ The king's boast that he had similarly crippled 70 kings seems to have been an exaggerated one. Such boasts by warriors were common in the ancient world. Joshua had smitten fewer than 70 kings and in so doing had subdued the major part of Canaan (cf. Josh. 12). Gathering crumbs under the table like dogs (v. 7; cf. Matt. 15:27) represented "the most shameful treatment and humiliation."²⁷ The soldiers evidently took Adoni-bezek with them to Jerusalem, the site of their next offensive, and either executed him there or he died from his wounds there.

1:8 Even though the soldiers of Judah and Simeon captured and burned Jerusalem the Israelites were not able to keep the Jebusites from returning to control their ancient capital (cf. v. 21; 19:11-12; Josh. 15:63).

"The Jebusites were a mixed people who descended from early colonies of Hittites and Amorites in Canaan."²⁸

Jerusalem became Israel's permanent possession years later when David finally exterminated the Jebusites (2 Sam. 5:6-9). The Israelites' unfaithfulness in subduing the land is one of the major emphases of Judges.²⁹

1:9-10 Sheshai, Ahiman, and Talmai (v. 10) were evidently the ruling lords of Hebron (lit. confederacy), the highest city in elevation in Judah (ca. 3,000 ft.).³⁰ The Anakim had become proverbially great and fearsome foes (cf. Deut. 9:2).

²⁴See my note on 20:10.

²⁵The modern town name is Khirbet Ibziq. Lindsey, p. 378.

²⁶Wolf, p. 386.

²⁷C. F. Keil and Franz Delitzsch, *Joshua, Judges, Ruth*, p. 253.

²⁸Lewis, p. 22.

²⁹Thomas L. Constable, "A Theology of Joshua, Judges, and Ruth," in *A Biblical Theology of the Old Testament*, pp. 107-8.

³⁰The older name of this town was Kiriath Arba, "city of four." This name may have its origin in an alliance of four communities in that area, or possibly from Arba, the father of Anak, who may have been the founder of the town or towns (cf. 1:20; Josh. 14:15; 15:13; 21:11). Lindsey, p. 379.

1:11-15 Othniel was a bold warrior who followed in the train of his older brother Caleb. God later raised him up to be the first of the heroic judges listed in this book (3:7-11). The incident related here is also in Joshua (Josh. 15:15-19) and took place before Joshua died. The writer probably recorded it again here because the event was a significant part of the conquest of Judah's inheritance (cf. v. 20).

Caleb rewarded Othniel's bravery by giving him his daughter's hand in marriage. The blessing she respectfully asked was the springs of water over which Caleb had authority. They watered the area around Debir, Othniel's prize. Being in the Negev, water would have been essential for Debir to flourish.

1:16 The descendants of the Kenite, Jethro (Reuel), ". . . were probably a branch of the Kenites mentioned in Gen. xv. 19 along with the other tribes of Canaan, which had separated from the other members of its own tribe before the time of Moses and removed to the land of Midian, where Moses met with a hospitable reception from their chief Reguel [Reuel] on his flight from Egypt. These Kenites had accompanied the Israelites to Canaan at the request of Moses (Num. x. 29 sqq.); and when the Israelites advanced into Canaan itself, they had probably remained as nomads in the neighborhood of the Jordan near Jericho [the "city of palms," v. 16], without taking part in the wars of Joshua."³¹

1:17-21 The soldiers of Judah and Simeon also conquered Hormah (lit. devotion or destruction), Gaza, Ashkelon, and Ekron (vv. 17-18). The "valley" from which the Israelites could not drive out the Canaanites (v. 19) probably refers to the flat Coastal Plain. This inability was, of course, due to a failure in Israel's trust and obedience (cf. Josh. 1:5-8; 17:16-18).

The reference to iron chariots (v. 19) has caused problems for some readers since archaeologists have dated the Iron Age beginning in 1200 B.C., about 150 years after the event recorded here took place. However the Hittites had mastered the production of iron by 1400 B.C. Evidently the Canaanites and Philistines had iron implements by 1350 B.C. The Iron Age is, after all, a general description of the period during which iron was the most important metal.³²

Caleb had driven out the Anakim in Hebron earlier (v. 20; cf. Josh. 15:13-14). The writer probably repeated the account here to fill out the record of

³¹Keil and Delitzsch, p. 255. See also Block, *Judges* . . . , pp. 97-98.

³²See Jacquetta Hawkes, *The First Great Civilizations*, p. 113; V. Gordon Childe, *New Light on the Most Ancient East*, p. 157; Leonard Cottrell, *The Anvil of Civilization*, p. 157; and Volkmar Fritz, "Conquest or Settlement? The Early Iron Age in Palestine," *Biblical Archaeologist* 50:2 (June 1987):84-100.

the subjugation of Judah's territory. "Then" (v. 20) can also mean "and." It does not imply that the events of verse 20 followed those of verse 19 in chronological sequence.

Jerusalem (v. 21) was on the border of Judah and Benjamin but mainly within Benjamin's territory. The Hinnom Valley on the southern edge of the city was the boundary. Even though the soldiers of Judah and Simeon captured Jerusalem the Benjamites could not hold it. This is evidently why the writer referred to the Benjamites at this point. This failure was another significant incident of inadequate trust and obedience (cf. v. 19). It also foreshadowed the Benjamites' role in the final disastrous chapters of the book (chs. 19—21).

The activities of the other tribes 1:22-36

- 1:22-26 The writer described Ephraim and Manasseh together as "the house of Joseph" (vv. 22-29). First, he narrated Ephraim's activity (vv. 22-26). The Ephraimites' treatment of the man of Bethel who gave them information violated God's orders. They should have put him to death along with the rest of the Bethelites whom they did kill. This incomplete obedience is what the writer again emphasized in this passage alluding to Bethel's illustrious history (Gen. 28:18-22; 35:1-15; 48:3) and tragic future (1 Kings 12:25-33; 13:1-19; 2 Kings 23:15-17).
- 1:27-28 Manasseh failed to be strong in faith and trust too. Rather than exterminating the Canaanites, as God had commanded, the Israelites made them their servants.
- 1:29 The writer mentioned Ephraim again here because he was emphasizing the Israelites' treatment of the Canaanites as well as the failure of each tribe.
- 1:30-33 The tribes of Zebulun, Asher, and Naphtali also failed to drive the Canaanites out of their territories but compromised with these enemies.
- 1:34-36 The Amorites in the Shephelah in the territory of Dan did not even allow the Danites to occupy the coastal areas of their possession. They forced them to stay in the eastern hill country of their territory.

"One does not have to look far for an explanation of Dan's difficulties in settling its tribal allotment. The International Coastal Highway passed directly through its territory. This meant that any attempt to take control of the region automatically cut the main land link between Africa (Egypt) and Asia (Mesopotamia). Local centers and peoples in the area would be expected to resist any Danite offensive action. This is brought out vividly in the first

chapter of the book of Judges, which in a few sentences [vv. 34-35] accurately describes this region of valleys (Sorek and Aijalon) and nearby Hill Country (just east of the Aijalon-Eshtaol route)."³³

The Amorites retained domination of a section of territory in southern Canaan as far south as Sela (Petra), a stronghold in the land of Edom (v. 36). Like the earlier reference to the Benjamites' failure (v. 21), this mention of the Danites' weakness anticipates that tribe's tragic role in chapters 17—18.

The writer's primary purpose in this chapter is obvious. It was to relate his selective narrative of Israel's victories and defeats to impress the reader with the failure of God's people to drive out their enemies increasingly as the passage unfolds.

"The lesson of Judges 1 is very clear. The people of Israel chose deliberately to obey God only partly. Rather than following the Lord wholeheartedly, they compromised. They went part way, and that compromise meant inevitable catastrophe."³⁴

In the Pentateuch we saw God preparing the chosen people to live under His theocracy in the Promised Land. In Joshua we saw Him establishing them in the land so they could function as a theocracy. In Judges we see Israel for the first time in position to live under theocratic rule. From the very beginning of Judges we see that they failed to take advantage of their great privilege to be a unique nation in the world. They failed because they would not trust and obey God consistently but allowed the Canaanites to remain in the land God wanted them to occupy exclusively. Theocratic rule began to break down as soon as Joshua's generation died. Consequently God raised up judges to act as His spokesmen in the theocracy. Eventually He replaced them with the kings. The only time in Israel's history when the theocracy functioned as God intended it to was in the later years of Joshua and in the early years of the next generation.³⁵ The first part of this chapter describes that period.

"Its [the Book of Judges'] primary purpose is to let the readers know why Israel did not experience the blessings that were available."³⁶

2. The announcement of God's discipline 2:1-5

The events of this pericope tie in directly with those of the previous one. Israel's failure recorded there led to the discipline announced here.

³³James Monson, *The Land Between*, p. 183.

³⁴Gary Inrig, *Hearts of Iron, Feet of Clay*, p. 18.

³⁵See Wood, pp. 24-27, 45.

³⁶*Ibid.*, p. 135.

"The narrator moves from chap. 1 to chap. 2 like a modern preacher moves from text to exposition. The differences here are that the text of the author's sermon derives from events of history, not a printed page, and the interpretation comes from God himself or from his messengers, be they the envoy of Yahweh or the author of the book."³⁷

The writer seems to have included the statement that the Angel of the Lord "came up from Gilgal" (v. 1) to connect the Angel's appearance here with His last recorded appearance at Gilgal (Josh. 5:13-15). On that occasion the Angel appeared after the people had consecrated themselves to God. He promised to lead them in victory against their enemies. On this occasion the Angel promised that He would not drive out the remaining Canaanites because Israel had been disobedient to God. God had stated clearly and repeatedly that His people were to destroy or drive out all the former inhabitants of the land (Exod. 23:33; 34:11-16; Num. 33:51-56; Deut. 7:1-5).

"The deplorable spiritual condition of the Israelites, not their lack of chariots, lay behind their failure to dispossess the Canaanites. To expose Israel's sinfulness, the 'angel of the Lord' appeared to them (v. 1)."³⁸

The Angel's announcement caused great sorrow in Israel that led to weeping and the offering of sacrifices to Yahweh (vv. 4-5; cf. Exod. 23:28-31; 34:11). The people could not change God's sentence even by repenting (cf. Josh. 24:19). Her disobedience resulted in God's discipline (cf. God's judgment at Kadesh-barnea, Num. 14:1-10). Nevertheless this warning constituted a manifestation of God's grace to Israel, and evidences of God's grace are numerous in Judges.³⁹

B. ISRAEL'S CONDUCT TOWARD YAHWEH AND YAHWEH'S TREATMENT OF ISRAEL IN THE PERIOD OF THE JUDGES 2:6—3:6

This section of the book provides a theological introduction to the judges' deeds, whereas 1:1—2:5 is a historical introduction. It also explains further the presence of Canaanites in the Promised Land.

1. Review of Joshua's era 2:6-10

This paragraph is almost identical to the one in Joshua 24:28-31. Its purpose is to resume the history of Israel at this point, where the Book of Joshua ended, and to contrast the era of Joshua with the era of the judges.

"After a chapter that summarizes the incomplete wars of occupation, the reader is introduced to the threatening wars of liberation that characterize

³⁷Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 78

³⁸Wolf, p. 392.

³⁹See Constable, pp. 108-9.

the period of the judges. To explain how Israel fell prey to powerful oppressors, the author reviews events since the death of Joshua."⁴⁰

"Here [2:10] we come to the heart of the second-generation syndrome. It is a lukewarmness, a complacency, an apathy about amazing biblical truths that we have heard from our childhood, or from our teachers. . . . It is a pattern which challenges churches and even nations, and nowhere does it work with more devastating effect than in Bible colleges and theological seminaries where, day after day, we come in contact with God's truth. . . . History tells us that not even the most vivid display of the life-transforming power of the Holy Spirit will prevent this problem.

"But why? Why did it happen then, and why does it happen to us? . . . We must realize two things about this kind of complacency. The first is something Erich Fromm once pointed out when he said, 'Hate is not the opposite of love. Apathy is.' To be complacent in the face of Calvary is the greatest possible rejection of God. The second is that complacency grows like a cancer. . . . Maybe part of the problem lay with the first [Joshua's] generation. Interestingly, however, the book of Judges puts none of the blame there. The second generation was held responsible for their failure, and God would not allow them to shift the blame."⁴¹

"People cannot thrive on the spiritual power of their parents; each generation must personally experience the reality of God."⁴²

2. The pattern of history during the judges' era 2:11-23

Having revealed the roots of Israel's apostasy (vv. 6-10), the writer proceeded to examine its character. In this section a cyclical pattern of Israel's history during this era becomes clear. Israel departed from Yahweh and served idols (vv. 11-13).⁴³ The Lord then disciplined His people by allowing them to fall under the domination of their enemies (vv. 14-15).⁴⁴ God then raised up judges to deliver Israel (v. 16). The people apostatized again (v. 17). God raised up another judge in response to His people's distress (v. 18). When that judge died, they wandered away again (v. 19). This continual rebellion resulted in God not driving Israel's enemies out of their land (vv. 20-21) but leaving them in Canaan to test Israel (vv. 22-23).⁴⁵

⁴⁰Wolf, p. 393.

⁴¹Inrig, pp. 26-27.

⁴²Wolf, p. 393.

⁴³These verses are chiasmic focusing on Israel's pursuit and worship of other gods.

⁴⁴See Wood, ch. 5, "The Oppressing Nations."

⁴⁵See Frederick Greenspahn, "The Theology of the Framework of Judges," *Vetus Testamentum* 36:4 (October 1986):385-96. Wolf, p. 394, called the stages in each cycle sin, slavery, supplication, salvation, and silence.

- "This simple routine of events cannot be projected at will over all cultures and circumstances, yet it does provide some guidelines for the interpretation of history. No corrupt nation can presume upon the grace of God indefinitely; sooner or later its lawlessness will bring disaster, either from within or without."⁴⁶
- 2:11 The writer used "Baals" here to describe all false gods, the "other gods" of verse 12.
- 2:12 "The greatest sin a human being can commit is not murder or rape or other despicable acts of atrocity. It is to turn his back on the living God to serve man-made gods."⁴⁷
- 2:13 "Baal" was the sun-god. The Canaanites believed he was the source and communicator of physical life. They credited him with generating the reproductive powers of nature from his own being. This ability included human as well as animal and plant reproduction and fertility.
- "Astarte" (Asherah) was the leading female Canaanite deity, a moon-goddess, whose symbol was originally an evergreen tree or grove. "Asherah" also denotes a cult object in the Hebrew Bible, specifically a wooden pole.⁴⁸
- She was "worshipped as the feminine principle of nature embodied in the pure moon-light, and its influence upon terrestrial life."⁴⁹
- "Essentially, the religion of Canaan was based on the assumption that the forces of nature are expressions of divine presence and activity and that the only way one could survive and prosper was to identify the gods responsible for each phenomenon and by proper ritual encourage them to bring to bear their respective powers. This is the mythological approach to reality. Ritual involves human enactments; particularly by cultic personnel such as priests, of the activity of the gods as described in the myths.
- "Since Baal was not omnipresent in the strict sense, each cult center would have its own local Baal. Thus there could

⁴⁶Lewis, p. 18.

⁴⁷Inrig, p. 37.

⁴⁸John Day, "Asherah in the Hebrew Bible and Northwest Semitic Literature," *Journal of Biblical Literature* 105:3 (September 1986):385-408. See also William F. Albright, *Yahweh and the Gods of Canaan*, pp. 189-92, 205.

⁴⁹Keil and Delitzsch, p. 269.

be Baal-Peor, Baal-Berith, Baal-Zebub, and so on. This explains why the gods of Canaan are sometimes called Baalim ('the Baals') in the Old Testament. There was only one Baal theoretically, but he was lord of many places."⁵⁰

The worship of these idols did not involve or necessitate the abandonment of Yahweh. The Israelites worshipped both the idols and the true God. This practice constituted forsaking Yahweh because He demanded exclusive allegiance. The Israelites became syncretistic rather than exclusive in their worship. It is easier to understand why the Israelites apostatized so quickly and so frequently when we appreciate the syncretistic nature of Baal worship.

2:14-15 "Sin produces servitude. That is the fact of Judges."⁵¹

"Few books portray so complete a picture of human depravity as does Judges."⁵²

2:16 The structure of verses 11-23 points out the importance of verse 16.

A Apostasy (vv. 11-13)
B Wrath (vv. 14-15)
C Grace (v. 16)
A' Apostasy (vv.17-19)
B' Wrath (vv. 20-23)⁵³

"The narrator begins to speak of divine mercy without any hint of prior repentance. In this book Yahweh's actions will not typically be bound to any mechanical formula of blessing and or retribution, based upon what human beings earn by their actions. Rather he intervenes on Israel's behalf solely on the basis of his compassion; the scene of Israelite distress moves the divine patron to action."⁵⁴

2:17-19 Each cycle of apostasy was worse than the former one.

"The Israelites were stiff-necked in the wilderness, but they were even more obstinate in the Promised Land. A new environment, alas, did not mean a new attitude."⁵⁵

⁵⁰Merrill, pp. 159, 161. His section on the nature of Canaanite idolatry, pp. 159-61, is a good introduction to this subject.

⁵¹Inrig, p. 40.

⁵²Wolf, p. 379.

⁵³Dale Ralph Davis, *Such a Great Salvation*, p. 39.

⁵⁴Block, *Judges . . .*, p. 128.

⁵⁵Wolf, p. 395.

2:20-23 None of the Israelites' conflicts in the Book of Judges involved the conquest of new territory; they all simply concerned throwing off the yoke of an oppressor. The writer explained the type of test that the continuation of the Canaanites among the Israelites constituted more fully in the next section.

3. God's purposes with Israel 3:1-6

The purposes for which God allowed the Canaanites to live among the Israelites were four. He wanted to punish Israel for her apostasy (2:3), and He wanted to test the Israelites' faithfulness to and love for Himself (2:22; 3:4). He also wanted to give the new generation of Israelites experience in warfare (3:2), namely, how to conduct war (by depending on Yahweh), not just how to fight. Furthermore, God allowed some Canaanites to remain in the land so it would not become wild before the Israelites could subdue it completely (Deut. 7:20-24).

Even though the Israelites had defeated some of the Canaanites in various battles during Joshua's day, significant groups within the Canaanite tribes remained in the land (vv. 3, 5).⁵⁶ The Sidonians (v. 3) were the Phoenicians, Sidon being Phoenicia's chief port until about 1100 B.C. when Tyre began to eclipse it.⁵⁷ These enemies (v. 5) represented the whole of Canaan: the Philistines on the southwest, the Sidonians on the northwest, the Hivites on the northeast, and the Canaanites on the southeast. The Israelites then proceeded to marry and worship with them (v. 6). From "the people served the Lord" (2:7) they had degenerated to the point that they "served their gods" (3:6).

"In these two verses [5-6] the narrator announces the theme of the book: the Canaanization of Israelite society."⁵⁸

"The Israelites descended three steps in their cultural accommodation to paganism: (a) they lived among the Canaanites, (b) they intermarried with them, and (c) they served their gods. Each step is a natural one leading on to the next."⁵⁹

⁵⁶See Yohanan Aharoni and Michael Avi-Yonah, *The Macmillan Bible Atlas*, map 68, p. 50, for the limits of Israelite control.

⁵⁷Wolf, p. 396.

⁵⁸Block, *Judges . . .*, p. 141.

⁵⁹Lindsey, p. 384.

II. THE RECORD OF ISRAEL'S APOSTASY 3:7—16:31

ISRAEL'S JUDGES						
Judge	Scripture	Israel's Oppressors		Length in Years		
		<i>Nation(s)</i>	<i>King(s)</i>	<i>Oppression</i>	<i>Judgeship</i>	<i>Peace</i>
OTHNIEL	3:7-11	Mesopotamia	Cushan-rishathaim	8 (ca. 1358-1350 B.C.)		40 (ca. 1350-1310 B.C.)
EHUD	3:12-30	Moab (with Ammon & Amalek)	Eglon	18		80
SHAMGAR	3:31	Philistia				
DEBORAH	Chs. 4—5	Canaan	Jabin	20 (ca. 1250-1230 B.C.)		40 (ca. 1230-1190 B.C.)
GIDEON	Chs. 6—8	Midian (with Amalek & Arabia)	Zebah & Zalmunna	7		40 (ca. 1180-1140 B.C.)
TOLA	10:1-2				23 (ca. 1117-1094 B.C.)	
JAIR	10:3-5				22 (ca. 1115-1093 B.C.)	

ISRAEL'S JUDGES						
Judge	Scripture	Israel's Oppressors		Length in Years		
		Nation(s)	King(s)	Oppression	Judgeship	Peace
JEPHTHAH	10:8—12:7	Ammon		18 (ca. 1123- 1105 B.C.)	6	
IBZAN	12:8-10				7	
ELON	12:11-12				10	
ABDON	12:13-15				8	
SAMSON	Chs. 13— 16	Philistia		40 (ca. 1124- 1084 B.C.)	20 (ca. 1105- 1085 B.C.)	

"The judges are twelve in number, reckoning either Deborah or Berek as a judge and omitting Abimelech, whose status in fact depended wholly on his descent from Gideon, and who was in effect not a 'deliverer', and a 'judge' only in the sense of a local ruler on his own account."⁶⁰

Notice that the writer recorded seven examples of oppression and deliverance (by Othniel, Ehud, Shamgar, Deborah, Gideon, Jephthah, and Samson). This sevenfold scheme gives the impression of totality to Israel's degeneration. It also suggests that the writer viewed these disasters as fulfillments of the curses in Leviticus 26 where the number seven occurs four times (Lev. 26:18, 21, 24, and 28; cf. Deut. 28:25).⁶¹

The total number of judges cited is 12. By selecting 12 judges the writer may also have been suggesting that all 12 tribes of Israel had apostatized. One writer argued that these 12 judges each did their work in a different month, thus adding another impression of completeness to the record.⁶²

Certain formulaic expressions appear in 2:11-23 and then recur in the record of Israel's apostasy (3:7—16:31). However, as noted in the table below, they appear with less

⁶⁰John Gray, *Joshua, Judges, Ruth*, p. 189.

⁶¹Block, *Judges . . .*, p. 145.

⁶²J. G. Williams, "The Structure of Judges 2:6—16:31," *Journal for the Study of the Old Testament* 49 (1991):77-85.

frequency as the narrative proceeds. Having established the pattern, the writer did not feel compelled to repeat these expressions as frequently since the reader learns to anticipate them as the narrative unfolds. The breakdown of these expressions is a rhetorical device that parallels and reflects the general moral and spiritual disintegration in Israel as a whole.⁶³

Expression	Othniel	Ehud	Deborah	Gideon	Jephthah	Samson
The Israelites did evil (2:11-13).	3:7	3:12	4:1	6:1	10:6	13:1
Yahweh gave them over (2:14).	3:8	3:12	4:2	6:1	10:7	13:1
The Israelites cried out (2:15, 18).	3:9	3:15	4:3	6:7	10:10	
Yahweh raised up a deliverer (2:16, 18).	3:9	3:15				
Yahweh gave the oppressor to the deliverer (2:18).	3:10	3:28				
The land had rest.	3:11	3:30		5:31	8:28	

A. THE FIRST APOSTASY 3:7-11

The first of six periods of oppression by Israel's enemies began while Othniel, Caleb's younger brother, was still alive and strong (cf. Josh. 15:17; Judg. 1:13). The writer identified each of these periods with the phrase "the sons of Israel did what was evil in the sight of the LORD" (3:7, 12; 4:1; 6:1; 10:6; 13:1).

Many scholars now identify Asheroth (v. 7, NASB) with the Canaanite goddess Asherah (NIV) and the Ugaritic Athirat. They distinguish her from the Mesopotamian female deity Astarte.

"She was frequently represented as the tree of life, which is often depicted in Canaanite art as flanked by caprids which reach up to its fruit. . . . The tree of life is stylised in Canaanite art, and in the fertility cult was

⁶³R. H. O'Connell, *The Rhetoric of the Book of Judges*, pp. 19-57; J. Cheryl Exum, "The Centre Cannot Hold: Thematic and Textual Instabilities in Judges," *Catholic Biblical Quarterly* 52 (July 1990):410-31.

represented either by a natural tree, which was planted in the sanctuary, or by a stylised wooden pole, the '*aserah*.'⁶⁴

In the Hebrew text the phrase "the anger of the Lord was kindled" (v. 8) reads literally "the Lord's nose became hot." This is one of the most obvious examples of an anthropomorphism for God in the Old Testament. It pictures His anger most graphically.⁶⁵

Mesopotamia (v. 8) was at this time, ". . . the fertile land east of the river Orontes covering the upper and middle Euphrates and the lands watered by the rivers Habur and Tigris, i.e., modern E Syria and N Iraq."⁶⁶

The king's name was Cushan (v. 8). The last part of the hyphenated name Cushan-rishathaim means "doubly wicked." The Israelites who experienced his harsh rule over them for eight years probably added it to his given name.

In response to His people's cries for deliverance, God moved and empowered Othniel to lead the Israelites in throwing off their foreign yoke. Throughout Judges we read that God delivered the Israelites when they called out to him for salvation from their desperate situations (cf. 3:9, 15; 7:2, 9; 10:12; 18:10). He did not wait until they cleaned up their lives, the popular meaning of repentance.

". . . when 'Yahweh raised up a savior' for Israel he was not reacting to any repentance on Israel's part. If anything, he was responding to their misery rather than to their sorrow, to their pain rather than to their penitence."⁶⁷

God provided deliverance as grace in response to their helpless cry, not as a reward they had earned (cf. Joel 2:32; Acts 2:21; Rom. 10:13).⁶⁸

Othniel was already a prominent warrior in Israel and lived in Debir in Judah (Josh. 15:15-17; Judg. 1:11-13). Note again the early primacy of the tribe of Judah (cf. 1:3-20; 20:18). Having proved faithful earlier God selected Othniel for more important service here. At the proper time God endowed Othniel with an increased measure of grace by placing His Spirit on this man (v. 10; cf. Num. 24:2; Judg. 11:29; 1 Sam. 19:20, 23; 2 Chron. 20:14).

"In its peculiar operations the Spirit of Jehovah manifested itself as a spirit of wisdom and understanding, of counsel and might, of knowledge and of the fear of the Lord (Isa. xi. 2). The communication of this Spirit under the

⁶⁴Gray, p. 248.

⁶⁵Lewis, p. 31.


⁶⁶*The New Bible Dictionary*, s.v., "Mesopotamia," by D. J. Wiseman.

⁶⁷Davis, p. 50.

⁶⁸See Greenspahn, pp. 391-95; and Lawhead, pp. 25-27.

Old Testament was generally made in the form of extraordinary and supernatural influence upon the human spirit."⁶⁹

"Since Pentecost (Acts 2) a more general and permanent endowment of the Holy Spirit has been the privilege of every disciple."⁷⁰



⁶⁹Keil and Delitzsch, p. 293.

⁷⁰Arthur Cundall and Leon Morris, *Judges and Ruth* (Cundall wrote the section on Judges), p. 74. Cf. John 14:17.

Evidently Cushan controlled most if not all of Israel. This opinion rests on the fact that Mesopotamia lay northeast of Canaan, but Othniel lived in the southwest part of Canaan. In the cases of the other judges God normally raised up persons who lived in the areas in Israel that were closest to Israel's oppressing enemies. Cushan was the most powerful king that oppressed the Israelites during the judges period. By beginning with the record of his defeat, the writer announced that if Yahweh could deliver Israel from this "emperor" He could rescue them from any foe.⁷¹

After the "war" with the Mesopotamians (v. 10), a period of 40 years of peace followed (v. 11).⁷² During this time Othniel probably continued to judge Israel and then died (v. 11). Verse 11 probably indicates that Ehud followed Othniel chronologically.⁷³

"Many have noted that the narrator writes nothing negative about this man [Othniel]. This is intentional. The prologue has prepared the reader to expect a progressive degeneration in the moral and spiritual fiber of the nation. As the embodiment of the people, the leaders whom Yahweh raises in the nation's defense exhibit the same pattern."⁷⁴

The most important factor in the story of Othniel, I believe, was the fact that God's Spirit empowered him (v. 10). This was true of all the judges, though the writer did not always mention it. No one can accomplish anything significant spiritually without the Holy Spirit's enablement (cf. John 15:5). However with His assistance His people can be the agents of supernatural change and can carry out God's will.

The "minor judges" filled the same role in Israel as the "major judges" (Gideon, Samson, et al.).⁷⁵

"The reason why the accounts of the judges vary in length is that their stories vary in their instructional value regarding this subjective aspect of redemptive significance. That is, the accounts which are longer present those stories which provide the most helpful guidelines for the Christian life."⁷⁶

⁷¹Block, *Judges* . . . , pp. 150, 152.

⁷²Since the years of peace that followed four deliverances numbered 40 (3:11; 5:31; 8:28) and 80 (3:30), some scholars believe these are round numbers indicating one and two generations (e.g., *ibid.*, p. 155). We also read of the Canaanites dominating Israel for 20 years (4:3), the Philistines doing so for 40 years (13:1), and Samson judging for 20 years (16:31). However, other lengths of oppressions and judgeships are not round numbers (3:8, 14; 6:1; 10:2, 3, 8; 12:11 [?], 14).

⁷³See David L. Washburn, "The Chronology of Judges: Another Look," *Bibliotheca Sacra* 147:588 (October-December 1990):418.

⁷⁴Block, *Judges* . . . , pp. 149-50. Contrast the character of Samson, the last judge in the book.

⁷⁵See Theodore E. Mullen Jr., "The 'Minor Judges': Some Literary and Historical Considerations," *Catholic Biblical Quarterly* 44 (April 1982):185-201. He listed Othniel as a minor judge. The commentators vary concerning whom they regard as major (primary) and minor (secondary) judges. Wood, for example, listed only Shamgar, Tola, Jair, Ibzan, Elon, and Abdon as minor judges, p. 7.

⁷⁶*Ibid.*, p. 41.

B. THE SECOND APOSTASY 3:12-31

1. Oppression under the Moabites and deliverance through Ehud 3:12-30

The Moabites and Ammonites were not only neighbors who both lived to the southeast of Canaan, but they were also descendants of the same ancestor, Lot. The Amalekites lived on Israel's southern border and were descendants of Esau. The Moabites had allied with the Ammonites and the Amalekites and had captured the site of Jericho (the "city of palm trees," v. 13). They had evidently rebuilt it since Joshua's conquest.⁷⁷ The Moabites had taken over the surrounding area and had forced Israel to serve them for 18 years (v. 14).

Jericho was in Benjamin's territory, so it was not unusual that God would raise up a judge from that tribe to lead Israel against the Moabites. We learn later that the Benjamites at this time were far from admirable on the whole (chs. 19—21). Yet God raised up a faithful man from this tribe to do His will. The English text's description of Ehud as left-handed (v. 15) is misleading. The Hebrew expression translated "a left-handed man" probably means "a man restricted as to his right hand."⁷⁸ Ehud may not have been able to use his right hand as well as his left. In spite of this abnormality God used him to bring a great victory to Israel.

Most commentators regard Ehud's methods as entirely legitimate.⁷⁹ Some, however, do not, as the following quotation illustrates.

"Ehud's conduct must be judged according to the spirit of those times, when it was thought allowable to adopt any means of destroying the enemy of one's nation. The treacherous assassination of a hostile king is not to be regarded as an act of the Spirit of God, and therefore is not set before us as an example to be imitated. Although Jehovah raised up Ehud as a deliverer to His people when oppressed by Eglon, it is not stated (and this ought particularly to be observed) that the Spirit of Jehovah came upon Ehud, and still less that Ehud assassinated the hostile king under the impulse of that Spirit. Ehud proved himself to have been raised up by the Lord as the deliverer of Israel, simply by the fact that he actually delivered his people from the bondage of the Moabites, and it by no means follows that the means which he selected were either commanded or approved by Jehovah."⁸⁰

⁷⁷See my comments on Joshua 6:26-27 in my notes on Joshua for further explanation.

⁷⁸J. A. Soggin, *Judges: A Commentary*, p. 50; et al. This was an ironic condition for a Benjamite since "Benjamin" means "son of the right hand." Many Benjamites were left-handed (20:16) and not a few were ambidextrous (1 Chron. 12:2).

⁷⁹E.g., Cundall and Morris; George Bush, *Notes on Judges*; Wood; et al.

⁸⁰Keil and Delitzsch, p. 298.

The facts that Ehud did what he did as an act of war and that God nowhere condemned him for it lead me to believe he was correct in assassinating King Eglon (lit. fat ox). Note that Ehud had no other Israelites with him when he confronted Eglon. He stood alone for God.

It seems that Ehud delivered the Israelites' taxes, left Eglon, passed the "idols" (lit. sculptured stones) at Gilgal, and then returned to Eglon.⁸¹ He had prepared to execute Eglon before going to Jericho. Did he lose heart at first when he left Jericho? Did he receive fresh motivation to kill the king when he passed the Canaanite objects of worship at Gilgal and then return to Jericho to finish the job? This seems to be what happened.

The room in which Ehud met Eglon (v. 20) was on the flat roof of the house. Rooms built this way caught the prevailing currents of air and therefore provided a cool place of retreat from the hot weather.

Evidently Eglon did not expect Ehud to draw his sword with his left hand. He did not know he could do so. This was part of Ehud's strategy. The sword was a short cubit in length, about 16 inches.⁸² It went all the way through Eglon's fat body. It apparently contained no crosspiece (hilt) between the handle and the blade. The handle lodged in the fat while the point opened a hole in his back where his excrement oozed out.

"Thus by way of a humorous if vulgar twist, something unexpected 'comes out' of Eglon—his excrement. Such a grotesque occurrence would have been precisely the kind of detail that a story of this sort would have delighted in recounting and would be unlikely to omit. Although it no doubt strikes modern readers as vulgar and distasteful, in the context of the story it adds a note of extreme humiliation with respect to the Moabite king that would have delighted an Israelite audience, especially as it takes place at the very height of the drama: the national hero not only dispatched the enemy king with much cunning but in the process caused him to become besmirched with feces."⁸³

The writer may have recorded this last disgusting detail to draw a parallel with the unclean Moabites' departure from the land following Ehud's victory. Notice the cool way Ehud behaved after he slew the king in his cool room.

"With effective employment of ambiguity, irony, satire, hyperbole, and caricature, he [the writer] sketches a literary cartoon that pokes fun at the Moabites and brings glory to God. . . . Biblical historians seldom, if ever, wrote their pieces primarily so later readers could reconstruct historical

⁸¹This may have been a Gilgal on the border between Benjamin and Judah west of Jericho rather than the one northeast of Jericho (cf. Josh. 15:7; Wolf, p. 400).

⁸²This is the only place in the Old Testament where this Hebrew word describes a cubit. The short cubit was as long as the distance between the elbow and the knuckles of a fist.

⁸³Michael L. Barré, "The Meaning of *prsdn* in Judges III 22," *Vetus Testamentum* 41:1 (1991):9-10.

events. Their agendas were generally theological and polemical, and few texts are as overt in the latter respect as ours."⁸⁴

Archaeologists have not yet identified the town of Seirah (v. 26), but it may have stood to the northwest of Gilgal in Ephraim's hill country (v. 27).

The Moabites who at this time were living west of the Jordan River would have fled back home eastward to their native country. For this reason the Israelites seized the fording place (v. 28).

Verse 29 is difficult to interpret for two reasons. First, the word translated "thousand" can also mean "military unit" (cf. 20:10). Second, it is not clear whether the Israelites killed these Moabites as they tried to cross the Jordan on this occasion. Perhaps this was the total Moabite force that the Israelites killed in their war with Moab. In either case this was a great victory for Israel.

The writer's primary emphasis in this pericope seems to be that God used a man whom others would have regarded as unusual, because he was left-handed, to affect a great victory. Ehud did not excuse himself from doing God's will because he was different, as many Christians do. He stepped out in faith in spite of his physical peculiarity. Israel too had physical abnormalities, but when she stepped out in trust and obedience God blessed her with success.

2. Oppression under the Philistines and deliverance through Shamgar

3:31

Several factors suggest that Shamgar's victory took place sometime during the 98 years described in the previous section (vv. 12-30). First, 4:1 refers to Ehud, not Shamgar. Second, there is no reference to Israel doing evil in Yahweh's sight in this verse. Third, the length of the Philistine oppression was long. Fourth, the writer did not mention a number of years that the land enjoyed rest. Evidently during this 98-year period the Philistines also oppressed Israel.

David Washburn argued that the phrase "after him" may indicate the beginning of a new episode. If this is so, we should place Shamgar contemporary with Deborah rather than Ehud (cf. 5:6). He acknowledged, however, that it is impossible to determine when Shamgar slew the 600 Philistines.⁸⁵

The Philistines had been in Canaan since Abraham's day at least (Gen. 21:32; et al.). However during the period of the judges a major migration of the Sea Peoples from the Aegean area brought many new inhabitants into Canaan, perhaps about 1230 B.C. These peoples settled in the coastal areas of Canaan, especially in the South. They became the infamous Philistines who opposed and fought the Israelites until David finally brought them under Israel's control.

⁸⁴Block, *Judges* . . . , pp. 156-57.

⁸⁵Washburn, pp. 417-18, 421.

"The name *Shamgar* is non-Israelite and may have been of Hittite or Hurrian origin. This does not automatically infer that he was a Canaanite, although this is possible; it may witness to the intermingling of the Israelites with the native population. In any case his actions benefited Israel."⁸⁶

Peter Craigie believed that Shamgar may have been a Hurrian mercenary soldier rather than a Hebrew. His name "ben (son of) Anath" suggests that he might have been a religious Canaanite since Anath was a Canaanite goddess.⁸⁷ It seems unlikely, however, that he was a religious Canaanite because the writer identified him as a hero through whom God delivered His people. Another suggestion is that "son of Anath" indicated that Shamgar was like Anath, namely, of a warlike character.⁸⁸ Shamgar could have been the son of a mixed marriage or even a foreigner whom God used. Perhaps he was a proselyte to Yahweh worship. Whatever his background and whomever he may have served, his destruction of 600 Philistines accomplished God's will, specifically the destruction of the non-Israelite occupants of the land.

The writer did not record Shamgar's home town, but some commentators connect Beth-anath (lit. house of Anath) in Naphtali or Beth-anoth in Judah (Josh. 15:59) with him. Most assume Anath was the name of Shamgar's father.

An "oxgoad" was a stout stick 8 to 10 feet long used to train and drive oxen.

"At the thin end they have a sharp point to drive the oxen, and at the other end a small hoe, to scrape off any dirt that may stick to the plough."⁸⁹

Evidently Shamgar seized an opportunity to kill 600 Philistines with this unusual instrument that he used as a weapon (cf. 2 Sam. 23:11). The text does not say when he did this, all at once or one by one in guerrilla type warfare.

Though the writer did not call Shamgar a judge in the text, he was one of Israel's heroic deliverers (cf. 5:4). Few students of the book exclude him from the list of judges, though he may not have functioned in the nation as one.⁹⁰

The major lesson we should learn from Shamgar is that a shady personal background and lack of proper equipment do not keep God from working through people who commit to doing His will. Many Christians think that because they do not have a good background or the best tools they cannot serve God. If we commit ourselves to executing God's will

⁸⁶Cundall and Morris, p. 80.

⁸⁷Peter Craigie, "A Reconsideration of Shamgar ben Anath (Judg 3:31 and 5:6)," *Journal of Biblical Literature* 91:2 (1972):239-30.

⁸⁸Cyrus Gordon, *The Ancient Near East*, p. 151.

⁸⁹Keil and Delitzsch, p. 299.

⁹⁰Later Samson also slew many Philistines (chs. 13—16).

and use whatever background and equipment we have, God can accomplish a great deal through us.

In this third chapter we see that God raised up unusual people and empowered them to do great acts for His glory. Often very distinguished people rise from humble backgrounds, as these judges did. Jesus' disciples are similar illustrations. A single individual committed to executing God's revealed will is all He needs. He uses all types of people but only those committed to His will who step out in faith. In the case of the judges, the will of God was the extermination of Israel's enemies.

C. THE THIRD APOSTASY CHS. 4—5

Chapters 4 and 5 are complementary versions of the victory God gave Israel over the Canaanites, first in prose and then in poetry (cf. Exod. 14—15).⁹¹

1. The victory over Jabin and Sisera ch. 4

4:1-3 As long as Ehud lived he kept Israel faithful to God (v. 1). However after he died, God's people again turned from the Lord. In discipline God allowed the Canaanites in the North to gain strength and dominate the Israelites for 20 years. Hazor, one of the largest cities in the Promised Land, again became the center of Canaanite power in this area (cf. Josh. 11:1, 10).⁹² It stood on the main road connecting Egypt and Mesopotamia. Its king was Jabin (lit. the discerning), perhaps a title or dynastic name rather than a proper name since the king of Hazor that Joshua defeated was also Jabin (Josh. 11:1).⁹³ Or the Jabin in Judges could have received his name in honor of the Jabin in Joshua.

⁹¹Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 177. No literary unit in Judges has evoked more scholarly discussion than these two chapters.

⁹²See Piotr Bienkowski, "The Role of Hazor in the Late Bronze Age," *Palestine Exploration Quarterly* 119:1 (January-June 1987):50-61.

⁹³Kenneth Kitchen, *Ancient Orient and Old Testament*, p. 68.


Jabin's commander-in-chief, Sisera, lived several miles to the southwest of Hazor in Harosheth-hagoyim (lit. the woodlands of the nations).⁹⁴ This suggests that Canaanite influence was extensive throughout northern Israel at this time. Though the location of Harosheth-hagoyim is uncertain, it seems to have been at the western end of the Jezreel Valley.⁹⁵

The Canaanites' 900 iron war chariots gave them complete control of the flatter and dryer portions of this area. The Israelites had to live in the hills. These chariots were state-of-the-art weapons at this time.

4:4-11

Deborah was one of three prophetesses identified as such in the Old Testament (v. 4) along with Miriam (Exod. 15:20) and Huldah (2 Kings 22:14). Anna (Luke 2:36) and Philip's four daughters (Acts 21:8-9) were also prophetesses. Deborah was also one of the judges served as civil leaders almost exclusively.⁹⁶ Deborah lived in the hill country of Ephraim (v. 5). Her name means "Bee," and she did what most marks a bee. She stung the enemy, and she brought sweet refreshment to her people. The writer may have referred to her palm tree, another source of sweetness, to contrast it with the oak of Zaananim under which the compromising Heber worked (v. 11).

Barak apparently was a well-known military leader in Israel at this time. He lived in far north Israel in Kadesh of Naphtali (v. 6), which was fairly close to Hazor. It stood at the southwest corner of the Sea of Chinnereth.⁹⁷



⁹⁴This may have been a term that described the entire upper Galilee region (Lewis, p. 39).

⁹⁵Dale W. Manor, "The Topography and Geography of the Jezreel Valley as they Contribute to the Battles of Deborah and Gideon," *Near Eastern Archaeology Society Bulletin* NS28 (Winter 1987):27; Leon Wood, *A Survey of Israel's History*, p. 216, n. 39. Gray, p. 255, believed that "Ephraim" here, as well as in other places (e.g., 3:27), was probably originally a geographical rather than a tribal term (cf. Josh. 20:7).

⁹⁶See M. O'Connor, "The Women in the Book of Judges," *Hebrew Annual Review* 10 (1986):277-93.

⁹⁷Yohanan Aharoni, *Land of the Bible*, p. 204. Some scholars favor a Kadesh north of Lake Huleh.

Barak's name means "Lightning," which he proved to be in his battle against the Canaanites.

As a prophetess Deborah sent orders to Barak to assemble 10,000 soldiers, or possibly 10 units of soldiers, at Mt. Tabor southwest of the Sea of Chinnereth (Galilee). Note that God's command to Barak was clear. He was to "Go" (Heb. *masak*, lit. to draw along) with his recruits and wait at the mountain. God said He would draw Sisera out to come against Barak. Barak was then to move west against Sisera's forces at the Kishon River just north of the Carmel mountain range in the Jezreel Valley.

"RSV rightly renders **torrent** (Hebrew *nahal*), the **Kishon** in its upper course being indeed a seasonal wadi, which, however, rises quickly and strongly in its lower course, swollen by flash floods from the slopes of Carmel and the hills of Lower Galilee as they converge upon it near Harosheth."⁹⁸

On this occasion Israel's forces were very numerous. They had perhaps a 10 to one advantage over the Canaanites. Gideon's later battle with the Midianites would be the opposite with Israel's forces in the minority. God promised to give the Canaanites into Barak's hand (v. 7).

Barak's refusal to go on this mission without Deborah raises questions. He may have been afraid to go into battle without Deborah's comforting company. Probably he wanted to have this prophetess with him so he could obtain God's guidance through her if he needed to do so. A third explanation follows.

". . . his mistrust of his own strength was such that he felt too weak to carry out the command of God. He wanted divine enthusiasm for the conflict, and this the presence of the prophetess was to infuse into both Barak and the army that was to be gathered around him."⁹⁹

Whatever his motivation may have been, he put a condition on obeying God. The will of God was clear. He even had God's promise of victory. Nevertheless he refused to obey unless Deborah accompanied him. Barak would defeat the Canaanites, but a woman would get the credit for defeating the commander, Sisera. This was Barak's punishment for putting a condition on his obedience to God (v. 9). Barak probably assumed that the prediction in verse 9 referred to Deborah, but, as things turned out, Jael the Kenite received the glory that might have been his.¹⁰⁰ Even though

⁹⁸Gray, p. 278.

⁹⁹Keil and Delitzsch, p. 303.

¹⁰⁰Lewis, p. 38.

Barak had faith (Heb. 11:32), his faith was not as strong as it should have been.

Apparently some of the Kenites, the descendants of Hobab, Moses' brother-in-law, had moved north to continue their semi-nomadic life in the northern part of western Manasseh.¹⁰¹ Most of the Kenites lived in southern Judah. Heber's family was able to maintain good relations with both the Canaanites and the Israelites (v. 17). Heber's name means "Ally" and reflects his alliance with the Canaanites. "Kenite" means "Smith" as in "Blacksmith." Heber seems to have been plying his trade under the oak of Zaananim. Was he one of the blacksmiths responsible for keeping the Canaanites' 900 iron chariots in good repair? Oak trees were often the sites of pagan Canaanite worship. Had he set up shop at the cultic shrine of Baal in his area? If so, he contrasts sharply with Deborah who carried out her work of revealing the words of God and ruling His people under a palm tree (v. 5). Here was a descendant of Moses' family who may have been fraternizing with the very people Moses had commanded the Israelites to exterminate!

4:12-16 When word reached Sisera that Barak had mustered Israelite troops at Mt. Tabor, he moved east across the Jezreel Valley with his 900 iron chariots and warriors to engage Barak.

Structurally verse 14 is the center of a chiasm. The chiastic structure of this chapter focuses the reader's attention on Yahweh as Israel's deliverer (cf. v. 15; Exod. 15:3; 1 Sam. 8:20; 2 Sam. 5:24). This is the writer's main point in the story. It is also one of the main emphases in the Song of Deborah in chapter 5.

- A** The sons of Israel are oppressed (vv. 1-3).
- B** Deborah, the prophetess, is featured (vv. 4-9).
- C** Barak and Sisera call out (vv. 10-13).
- D** Yahweh is Israel's warrior (v. 14a).
- C'** Barak and Sisera go down (vv. 14b-16).
- B'** Jael, wife of Heber, is featured (vv. 17-22).
- A'** Jabin, king of Canaan, is subdued (vv. 23-24).¹⁰²

Barak was not afraid to engage the enemy now. Commenting on verse 14, one expositor wrote the following.

¹⁰¹Hobab was probably Moses' brother-in-law (NIV) rather than his father-in-law (AV, NASB; cf. Num 10:29). The consonants of the Hebrew words translated "father-in-law" and "brother-in-law" are the same (i.e., *htn*). Only the vowels, which later scribes supplied, are different (*hoten* being "father-in-law" and *hatan* being "brother-in-law").

¹⁰²Davis, p. 71.

"The most important characteristic of a Christian leader, in whatever area of life, is a dynamic, bold faith in God."¹⁰³

Evidently God sent an unseasonable thunderstorm that mired Sisera's chariots in the softened valley soil (cf. 5:4-5, 20-21). The main battle apparently took place near Taanach near the south central portion of the valley (5:19). The Israelites destroyed the whole Canaanite army that participated in this encounter (v. 16).

This loss was a double disappointment for the Canaanites. Not only did they lose control of the lowlands that their chariots had dominated, but their god had failed them. The Canaanites believed Baal controlled storms and rode upon the clouds.

"He is uniformly depicted as wielding a club in one hand and a stylized spear in the other, representing thunder and lightning respectively."¹⁰⁴

Instead of Baal striking his enemies as lightning, Barak ("Lightning") had struck the enemies of Yahweh. Yet it was really the Lord (v. 15) who overwhelmed Sisera and his forces. Barak pursued the fleeing Canaanites west.

4:17-22

However, Sisera, the Canaanite commander, fled east to save his skin. He sought refuge in the tent of "Ally" Heber. Little did he realize that even though Heber's sentiments favored the Canaanites his wife Jael was a loyal worshipper of Yahweh. She was no compromiser as her husband apparently was. That Heber had established very friendly relations with the Canaanites seems clear since Sisera felt perfectly safe in Heber's tent as he hid from the pursuing Israelites.

It is interesting that Jael commanded the Canaanite commander to "Turn aside" (v. 18) as Deborah had commanded the Israelite commander to "March to Mt. Tabor" (v. 6). God was using two women to lead His people to victory on this occasion.

One writer suggested the following translation of the last part of verse 18 and verse 19. Instead of "she covered him with a rug. He said to her . . . then she covered him," she divided the Hebrew words differently. She came up with "she overwhelmed him with perfume. He grew faint and said to her . . . then [she] closed it [the container of milk] again."¹⁰⁵ While

¹⁰³Inrig, p. 63.

¹⁰⁴Cundall and Morris, p. 87.

¹⁰⁵Elizabeth Wilkinson, "The *Hapax Legomenon* of Judges IV 18," *Vetus Testamentum* 33:4 (October 1983):512-13.

this translation is provocative and possible, the problems with the traditional rendering are minimal and do not require this change.

Jael probably gave Sisera milk [buttermilk? Heb. *hem'ah*] instead of water (v. 19) because milk was a better drink and would have assured Sisera of Jael's good will toward him.

"It was probably a kind of yogurt or curdled milk (5:25)—a drink called *leben*, which is still commonly used by the Arabs."¹⁰⁶

Jael's name means "Mountain goat." Interestingly she did two things we associate with mountain goats. She proved to be a tough creature, and she produced milk.

Note the vulnerability and dependence of Sisera in verse 19 and his blind self-confidence in verse 20. He thought he was safe and in control, but he was in mortal danger, about to die, and he did not even suspect it. Such is often the case with people, especially the enemies of God's people (cf. Prov. 16:18).

Even though Jael was God's instrument of delivering Sisera into the Israelites' hands, some scholars have criticized her methods.¹⁰⁷ Oriental hospitality required Jael to protect her guest. Instead she treacherously assassinated him.

". . . the act itself was not morally justified, either by this prophetic announcement [v. 9], or by the fact that it is commemorated in the song of Deborah. . . . her heroic deed cannot be acquitted of the sins of lying, treachery, and assassination, which were associated with it. . . . For Jehovah . . . hates wickedness and deception of every kind. . . . God had no doubt so ordered it, that Sisera should meet his death in Jael's tent, where he had taken refuge; but this divine purpose did not justify Jael in giving to the enemy of Israel a hospitable reception into her tent, making him feel secure both by word and deed, and then murdering him secretly while he was asleep. Such conduct as that was not the operation of the Spirit of God, but the fruit of a heroism inspired by flesh and blood; and even in Deborah's song (chap. v. 24 sqq.) it is not lauded as a divine act."¹⁰⁸

¹⁰⁶Wolf, p. 407.

¹⁰⁷Cf. Rahab's lie and Ehud's strategy.

¹⁰⁸Keil and Delitzsch, p. 306.

Nevertheless this was war and holy war at that. What she did shows her commitment to do God's will, namely, destroying the inhabitants of the land (cf. Deut. 31:1-8, 32; Josh. 1:1-9).¹⁰⁹ I believe that is the reason Deborah honored her in the poem that follows in chapter 5.

"Jael's actions . . . contain conscious misuse of this [hospitality] ritual to lure Sisera to his death. However, Sisera is more culpable than Jael in his systematic violation of every step in the customary [hospitality] ritual. He brought shame on himself and on the household of Heber by disregarding the proper roles of guest and host. It is the contention of this writer that a conscious effort has been made on the part of the writers/editors of this material to use the strictures of the hospitality code to further heighten the literary character of the story. Each violation provides further assurance to the audience that violence, when it comes, as it surely must, is justified."¹¹⁰

"Her dexterity with the *tent peg* (RSV) and *hammer*, or wooden mallet, is explained by the fact that the erection and taking down of tents was the work of a woman."¹¹¹

To die by the hand of a woman was a disgrace in the ancient Near East (cf. 9:54). Jael reminds me of a charmed snake. Sisera thought he had her under his control, but at the crucial moment she struck him fatally. He died of a splitting headache.

Verse 22 is amusing. Somehow Barak had gotten on Sisera's trail and finally found his way to Heber's tent at the east end of the valley. As Deborah had commanded Barak to "Go" (v. 6) now Jael commanded him to "Come." They used the same Hebrew word in addressing him on both occasions. The man who should have taken the initiative in attacking Israel's enemy years earlier now got another order from a woman.

4:23-24 This victory broke the back of Canaanite domination at this period in Israel's history. The Israelites continued to put pressure on the Canaanites until they finally destroyed Jabin and his kingdom. This may have taken several years.¹¹² The Canaanites never oppressed Israel again militarily, as

¹⁰⁹Wood, *Distressing Days* . . . , pp. 198-99.

¹¹⁰Victor H. Matthews, "Hospitality and Hostility in Judges 4," *Biblical Theology Bulletin* 21 (Spring 1991):20. This article provides much help in understanding the hospitality customs of the ancient Near East, some of which continue to the present day.

¹¹¹Cundall and Morris, p. 89.

¹¹²For a very helpful exposition of this chapter with emphasis on its chiasmic literary structure, see John H. Stek, "The Bee and the Mountain Goat: A Literary Reading of Judges 4," in *A Tribute to Gleason Archer*, pp. 53-86.

far as Scripture records. Their religion, however, continued to snare God's people.

"If up to now the author of the book of Judges tended to tell of saviors that were raised up, from this war on it is clear that the human heroes are only a background for highlighting the divine salvation."¹¹³

This is certainly the most important lesson this chapter teaches. However it also warns us about putting conditions on our obedience to God. If we do this, God may use someone else, and we will not achieve all we could for His glory. God honored Barak, but he has forever remained in Deborah's shadow. He defeated the Canaanites, but he failed to defeat their leader.

God uses women in key roles in His work. Remember the women who ministered to Jesus, Priscilla, Phoebe, and Dorcas, as well as others. Women could prophesy in the meetings of the early church (1 Cor. 11:5). Just because He has excluded women from the authoritative leadership of churches as elders and from teaching men in the church (1 Tim. 2:12) does not mean they can do nothing. This limitation has led some to conclude that there are more divine restrictions on the ministry of women in the New Testament than there were in the Old. However the opposite is true. Women could not be priests under the Mosaic Covenant. They are priests under the New Covenant (1 Pet. 2:9; Rev. 1:6). He has excluded men from some ministries too such as being mothers. This is one of the greatest and most influential ministries any human being can have. "The hand that rocks the cradle rules the world."¹¹⁴ Normally God chose to use men as prophets both in Old Testament times and in the early church. However in both periods He occasionally selected women for this ministry. If it were not for the ministry of women God's work throughout history would have suffered greatly. Think of Amy Carmichael, Fanny Crosby, Wetherill Johnson, Elisabeth Elliot, Isobel Kuhn and countless others who have advanced and blessed the church.

This chapter also teaches us that God will use unusual people with unusual equipment if they desire to do His will (cf. Ehud and Shamgar). Jael used what she had at hand to serve Him.

Through a "Bee" and a "Mountain goat," two women of faith and courage, God restored peace to the land of milk and honey.¹¹⁵

¹¹³Yairah Amit, "Judges 4: Its Contents and Form," *Journal for the Study of the Old Testament* 39 (October 1987):99.

¹¹⁴William Ross Wallace, *John o' London's Treasure Trove*. Cited in *The Oxford Dictionary of Quotations*, p. 557.

¹¹⁵Stek, pp. 75, 78.

2. Deborah's song of victory ch. 5

One writer called this song "the finest masterpiece of Hebrew poetry" that "deserves a place among the best songs of victory ever written."¹¹⁶ It is the equivalent of a victory celebration when the troops come home (cf. Exod. 15; Ps. 68).

"Observe that each of the three major sections centers around a basic contrast: in verses 2-11c, the explosive God and humiliated people; in verses 11d-23, daring warriors and cautious brothers; in verses 24-30, gutsy woman and poor mommy."¹¹⁷

Introduction 5:1

The writer credited Deborah with composing this song (cf. v. 7) even though he wrote that both Deborah and Barak sang it (v. 1).¹¹⁸

"It is important to notice that Deborah sang this song of praise on the same day God gave His people victory. . . . We ought to learn, as we observe these people, the priority of praise in believers' lives."¹¹⁹

Call to praise 5:2

This opening verse gives the reason as well as the call to bless the Lord. The leaders of the Israelites led, and the people followed their leadership voluntarily. This was a major reason for the Israelites' success in this battle. When God's people carry out their assigned responsibilities and cooperate, God grants success. Unfortunately many good works flounder because the saints refuse to work together as God has gifted them. Cooperation is one of the major themes in this song.

God's former salvation 5:3-5

Deborah called all people of consequence to pay attention to the record of God's greatness that follows. "Kings" may refer to pagan kings and "rulers" to Israel's leaders. She compared God's revelation at Sinai, when He gave the Israelites His covenant, to His intervention for His people in their most recent battle. She pictured God going from Mt. Seir in Edom to Mt. Sinai, where He appeared to the Israelites in great power in a storm and earthquake (cf. Exod. 19:18; Deut. 33:2). She later spoke of God's recent deliverance of His people in similar terms (vv. 20-21). The description is poetic. We should not interpret it as literally as a prose narrative.

¹¹⁶Robert H. Pfeiffer, *Introduction to the Old Testament*, p. 326.

¹¹⁷Davis, p. 82.

¹¹⁸For information helpful in understanding Hebrew poetry, see Cundall and Morris, pp. 91-93; or G. Buchanan Gray, *The Forms of Hebrew Poetry*.

¹¹⁹Inrig, p. 72.

Background of God's recent deliverance 5:6-8

During the days of Shamgar and Jael the Canaanites were so strong that the people with the birthright to the land feared to go out on the main highways. Instead they travelled the back roads to avoid molestation. Peasant farmers could not raise or sell crops because of their Canaanite threat. They stopped working because of the Canaanite oppression. The Israelites chose new gods in the sense that they turned to idols as a result of God not delivering them for 20 years. These conditions led to war in the gates of the cities, that is, internal strife in Israel. The 40,000, or less likely 40 military units, were evidently Israel's soldiers who did not have normal weapons.

When God raised up Deborah, Israel's fortunes changed dramatically. Deborah saw herself as merely a mother in Israel, not a great warrior or even a prophetess, though she filled both of those roles. A mother is an unlikely leader of a successful revolutionary war.

A renewed call to bless God 5:9-11

Verse 9 is very similar to verse 2. In verses 10 and 11 Deborah urged all the Israelites to sing praises to God for His recent victory. Those who rode on white donkeys (v. 10) were the upper classes, the rulers. Those who sat on (rich) carpets (v. 10) may refer to the wealthy or perhaps those who stayed at home rather than participating in the fighting.¹²⁰ Those who travelled the roads (v. 10) were the middle classes. Deborah called all these groups to sing praises to God for His deliverance. The writer pictured them as gathering at the wells and town gates to talk about and rejoice together in God's goodness for giving victory to His people.

The faithful and unfaithful participants 5:12-18

God had to rouse Deborah to oppose the Canaanites; she did not initiate this action. God also aroused her to sing His praise after the victory. After God stimulated Deborah and Barak into action, several Israelite survivors of the oppression followed their lead into the Valley of Jezreel. They came from the tribe of Ephraim in the region Amalekites had formerly occupied (cf. 12:15). They also came from Benjamin, western Manasseh (Machir), and Zebulun. Perhaps only the princes or leaders from Issachar came (cf. 6:34-35). Most of Issachar's territory lay in the valley where this battle took place. Perhaps most of the residents of Issachar were too fearful to participate.

Notable by their absence were the tribes of Reuben, the Gileadites (Gad and the part of Manasseh east of the Jordan), Dan, and Asher. The description of Dan staying "in ships" (v. 17) may mean the Danites were pursuing commercial activities off their Mediterranean coast.

¹²⁰Cundall and Morris, p. 96, preferred the second view.

". . . they may have become too closely associated with their Phoenician and Canaanite neighbors to engage them in war . . ."121

Some scholars have suggested that a better translation of this phrase may be "at ease." This reading rests on Ugaritic usage of the Hebrew word.¹²² I tend to prefer the traditional reading.

"The [Hebrew] text of Judges is generally in good condition, ranking with the Pentateuch among the best preserved parts of the OT. The Song of Deborah in chapter 5, however, is an ancient poem with several textual problems stemming from the obscurity of its vocabulary."¹²³

The more remote tribes stayed at home and did not participate in the war. Note the lack of tribal unity in Israel that only increased as time passed.

"A voluntary lack of fellowship with other believers will inevitably produce a lack of enthusiasm for God's work."¹²⁴

Deborah commended the people of Zebulun and Naphtali especially for their bravery (v. 18).

God's defeat of the Canaanites 5:19-22

The great victory was due to God's supernatural intervention for Israel. He increased the effectiveness of the Israelite soldiers. The kings in verse 19 are probably all Canaanite kings, as the NIV translation suggests. Taanach stood near Megiddo, which may have been in ruins at this time.¹²⁵

The stars (v. 20) symbolize the forces of heaven that were more specifically the rains God sent. This personification ridiculed the Canaanites' belief in astrology.¹²⁶ The flood that resulted from the rain made it impossible for the Canaanites to use their horses and chariots effectively (cf. Exod. 14:25).

"In all probability we have to think of a terrible storm, with thunder and lightening and hail, or the sudden bursting of a cloud, which is poetically described as though the stars of heaven had left their courses to fight for the Lord and His kingdom upon earth."¹²⁷

¹²¹Lewis, p. 41.

¹²²See Peter Craigie, *Ugarit and the Old Testament*, pp. 84-86; J. Gray, pp. 287-88.

¹²³Wolf, p. 380.

¹²⁴Inrig, p. 80.

¹²⁵W. F. Albright, *The Archaeology of Palestine*, p. 117.

¹²⁶Wolf, p. 414.

¹²⁷Keil and Delitzsch, pp. 320-21.

Since Baal was the storm god, Deborah was glorifying Yahweh over Baal in what she said here.

God's curse and blessing 5:23-27

Meroz (v. 23) may refer to Merom, an Israelite village in Naphtali west of Hazor, the Canaanite stronghold. Evidently out of fear of reprisals the Israelites who lived there did not join their brethren in fighting their foe. In contrast, Jael feared nothing, but faced with the opportunity to kill Sisera did so boldly. "Curds" refers to the coagulated part of milk from which cheese comes, in contrast to the watery whey.

A picture of frustrated hope 5:28-30

Deborah put herself in the shoes of Sisera's mother (cf. v. 7) and imagined what she must have been thinking as she anticipated his victorious return. However, deep in her heart the mother of the commander wondered if he would return. Instead of wearing a beautiful garment she ended up wearing sackcloth and ashes.

"The word for 'girl' [or maiden] (*raham*, v. 30) normally means 'womb,' brusquely suggesting the lustful treatment each one could expect."¹²⁸

As noted earlier, this song is full of contrasts. The simplicity of Jael's tent (v. 24) also contrasts with the mother of Sisera's palace (v. 28).¹²⁹

The final chorus 5:31

The song concludes with a reminder that those who oppose Yahweh will perish. Those who love Him will prosper, as Israel did in this battle through His intervention for her.¹³⁰

Following this victory and the battles that followed (4:24), the land saw no major wars for 40 years (v. 31).¹³¹

The emphases in this song are that God's people should honor Him for His salvation, the importance of cooperation in God's work, and the heroism of people such as Jael. The greatest argument for the propriety of Jael's action is God's honoring her in this song (vv. 24-27).

¹²⁸Wolf, p. 416.

¹²⁹Robert Alter, *The Art of Biblical Poetry*, p. 45.

¹³⁰See Barnabas Lindars, "Deborah's Song: Women in the Old Testament," *Bulletin of the John Rylands University Library of Manchester* 65:2 (Spring 1983):158-75.

¹³¹Freema Gottlieb, "Three Mothers," *Judaism* 30 (Spring 1981):194-203, pointed out several features of the ministry of Deborah that reveal Israel's inverted life during the era of the judges. The most obvious is the fact that a woman rather than a man led Israel at this particular time.

D. THE FOURTH APOSTASY 6:1—10:5

The writer of Judges structured this book so the story of Gideon would be its focal center.

"Within the main body of the book, seven major narrative blocks can be noted. Moreover, there are certain parallel features between these narratives so that the entire book reflects a carefully worked symmetrical pattern.¹³² Furthermore this pattern has as its focal point the Gideon narrative in 6:1—8:32.

- "A Introduction, Part I (1:1—2:5)
- B Introduction, Part II (2:6—3:6)
- C Othniel Narrative (3:7-11)
- D Ehud Narrative (3:12-31)
- E Deborah-Barak Narrative (4:1—5:31)
- F Gideon Narrative (6:1—8:32)
- E' Abimelech Narrative (8:33—10:5)
- D' Jephthah Narrative (10:6—12:15)
- C' Samson Narrative (13:1—16:31)
- B' Epilogue, Part I (17:1—18:31)
- A' Epilogue, Part II (19:1—21:25)

"This arrangement suggests that the Gideon narrative has a unique contribution to make to the theological development of the book. As the nation went from one cycle of discipline to the next, there was a continual deterioration. Also there was a shift in the 'quality' of the judges themselves as the book advances. The Gideon narrative seems to mark a notable turning point."¹³³

1. The story of Gideon 6:1—8:32

Tanner also pointed out that the Gideon narrative consists of five primary structural sections.

"The first section (6:1-10) provides the introduction and setting before Gideon's debut, the second section (6:11-32) gives the commissioning of Gideon as deliverer of Israel, the third section (6:33—7:18) presents the preparation for the battle, the fourth section (7:19—8:21) recounts the defeat of the Midianite army, and the fifth section (8:22-32) records the conclusion to Gideon's life after the victory over Midian. Yet thematic

¹³²"Credit goes to D. W. Gooding, 'The Composition of the Book of Judges,' *Eretz Israel* 16 (1982):70-79, for the identification of this overall scheme (though this writer disagrees with the structure Gooding suggests for the Gideon narrative). For elaboration of the paralleling themes and motifs, see Barry G. Webb, *The Book of Judges: An Integrated Reading*, JSOT Supplement Series 46 (Sheffield: JSOT Press, 1987)."

¹³³Tanner, p. 150.

parallels exist between the first and fifth sections and between the second and fourth sections, thus giving the whole narrative a symmetrical pattern:

A	6:1-10
B	6:11-32
	C 6:33—7:18
	B' 7:19—8:21
A'	8:22-32" ¹³⁴

"The history of Gideon and his family is related very fully, because the working of the grace and righteousness of the faithful covenant God was so obviously displayed therein, that it contained a rich treasure of instruction and warning for the church of the Lord in all ages."¹³⁵

Other scholars divide the Gideon narrative into three parts: God's punishment and deliverance of Israel (6:1—8:3), Gideon's punishment and subjugation of Israel (8:4-28), and Gideon's legacy (8:29—9:57).¹³⁶

Renewed apostasy and its punishment 6:1-10

The Midianites were Bedouin nomads and descendants of Abraham and Keturah (Gen. 25:2) who occupied the plains that bordered the Arabian desert to the east of Moab and Ammon. They were raiders who descended on the Israelites at harvest times, stole their crops and possessions, and then retreated to their own land (cf. Isa. 2:12, 19; 9:4). They did not want to kill the Israelites and take over their land. They preferred to let the Israelites sow and harvest their crops and then steal what God's people had labored so hard to produce. The Midianites conducted their raids on camels that made them very hard to overtake in pursuit.

"This is the earliest instance of such a phenomenon of which we have record. The effective domestication of the camel had been accomplished somewhat earlier deep in Arabia and had now spread to tribal confederacies to the south and east of Palestine, giving them a mobility such as they had never had before."¹³⁷

To conceal their harvested crops and other valuable possessions the Israelites hid them in caves and other holes in the ground. Many of the mountainous areas of Israel abound with natural caves and dens.

The Amalekites and other tribes that lived in the Arabian desert east of Canaan joined the Midianites in their raids. These desert dwellers were the "sons of the east" (v. 3). The raids extended all the way to Gaza on the Mediterranean coast (v. 4), far into Israel.

¹³⁴Ibid., p. 151.

¹³⁵Keil and Delitzsch, p. 326.

¹³⁶Block, *Judges* . . . , pp. 250-307. See also O'Connell, p. 139.

¹³⁷Bright, p. 158.

After seven years of these locust-like devastating raids (cf. Deut. 28:31, 38; Joel 1:4), the Israelites were at their wits end and called out to Yahweh in their misery (v. 6). In response to their cries God sent an unnamed prophet (v. 8) to explain the reason for their discipline. They had again disobeyed the Lord (v. 10).

Gideon's commissioning by Yahweh 6:11-32

". . . the heroic women of the song [of Deborah, ch. 5] give way to an unheroic 'man of Israel' (7:14) who not only does all he can to evade the call of Yahweh but in the end abandons God. . . . In the person of Gideon the narrator recognizes the schizophrenic nature of Israel's spiritual personality. On the one hand she treasures her call to be God's covenant people; on the other she cannot resist the allurements of the prevailing Canaanite culture."¹³⁸

The writer presented Gideon as sort of a second Moses in his calling. Both men were very reluctant to lead God's people (cf. Exod. 3—4).

The appearance of the Angel of the Lord 6:11-18

"As the reproof of the prophet was intended to turn the hearts of the people once more to the Lord their God and deliverer, so the manner in which God called Gideon to be their deliverer, and rescued Israel from its oppressors through his instrumentality, was intended to furnish the most evident proof that the help and salvation of Israel were not to be found in man, but solely in their God."¹³⁹

Gideon's name means "Hewer." It is interesting that God used him to cut down the altar of Baal and then the Midianites.

In calling Gideon to deliver the Israelites God revealed Himself twice. First, God appeared to Gideon and spoke directly to Him through the Angel of the Lord (vv. 11-24). Second, He commanded Gideon to destroy the local Baal worship and renew the worship of Yahweh (vv. 25-32). In the first case God acknowledged Gideon, and in the second He called on Gideon to acknowledge Him as his God.

Ophrah was a village over which Gideon's father, Joash, exercised a strong influence (v. 11; cf. v. 24). Its exact location is uncertain, but it appears to have been in the Jezreel Valley.

Normally the Israelites beat out their wheat in the open field or on a raised piece of ground. The prevailing wind would blow the lighter chaff away while the heavier grain would fall to the ground. However, Gideon was beating out his grain in a wine press. The

¹³⁸Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 250.

¹³⁹Keil and Delitzsch, p. 330.

Israelites built wine presses in lower lying areas so the juice of the grapes would not run off. Gideon's use of a wine press for threshing grain points to the Midianite threat that he felt. To remain unnoticed he beat out his grain in a less conspicuous place (v. 11).

The Angel in His greeting (v. 12) addressed Gideon as the man he would become by God's enablement, not the man he was then.¹⁴⁰ In the same way God had called Abraham the father of a multitude before he had any children. He called Peter a rock before he behaved as one. He also calls Christians saints even though we are not yet as saintly as God will make us.

"One of the great truths of Scripture is that when God looks at us, He does not see us for what we are, but for what we can become, as He works in our lives."¹⁴¹


Gideon could not understand why the Israelites were suffering as they were if Yahweh was indeed with His people (v. 13; cf. Deut. 31:17). He failed to realize that their condition was the result of their abandoning God, not His abandoning them.

"Sins, not afflictions, argue God's absence."¹⁴²

The strength of Gideon to which the Angel referred (v. 14) was what God's promised presence and commission guaranteed (vv. 14, 16).

Gideon did not disbelieve the Angel as much as he failed to understand how he could be God's instrument of deliverance. He was the youngest and therefore the least esteemed in his father's household. Furthermore his family was a comparatively insignificant one in Manasseh (v. 15). Gideon was looking for natural signs of leadership, but God was promising supernatural enablement.

To confirm that the Angel really was a divine messenger Gideon requested some supernatural confirmation that this calling was from God (v. 17). He then prepared to offer his guest a token of his hospitality (v. 18).



¹⁴⁰Alternatively this may simply have been a complimentary address (Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 260).

¹⁴¹Inrig, p. 84.

¹⁴²Bishop Hall, quoted by Bush, p. 85.

The Angel's sign 6:19-24

The food Gideon offered his visitor was what a person would normally set before a guest one wished to honor in a special way in that culture. The Angel directed Gideon to place the food on the rock as though it was a sacrifice on an altar.

The Angel's miracle convinced Gideon that He was God and that He would fulfill His promises to be with Gideon and to grant him victory. Perhaps Gideon remembered how God had consumed the sacrifice on the brazen altar similarly when the Israelites dedicated the tabernacle in the wilderness. If so, this memory might have encouraged him to believe that the same God who had delivered Israel then was still with His people and could deliver them again.

"The acceptance of the sacrifice was also a token of the acceptance of his person; it went to confirm the commission now given him, and to afford him every needed assurance of success."¹⁴³

This miracle strengthened Gideon's faith greatly. In building an altar to Yahweh Gideon acknowledged Him as his God.

"God had taught Gideon that it was not his inadequacy but God's adequacy that really counted."¹⁴⁴

God presented Himself to Gideon as the same God who had appeared to the patriarchs and had fulfilled His promises to them (cf. Gen. 18).

Gideon's public confession 6:25-32

"Under normal circumstances the narrative should have proceeded directly from v. 24 to vv. 33-35, and then on to 7:1. But the normal sequence is interrupted twice to deal with a pair of abnormalities. The first is an objective issue, the presence of a pagan cult installation in Gideon's father's own backyard. The second is a subjective problem, Gideon's persistent resistance to the call of God."¹⁴⁵

After the Angel had vanished, the Lord appeared to Gideon again the same night. He commanded him to tear down his family's pagan altar and its accompanying Asherah pole, build an altar to Yahweh, and offer his father's bull as a burnt offering of worship. This would constitute a public confession of Gideon's commitment to the Lord. It was necessary for him to take this stand personally before the nation would follow him as its judge.¹⁴⁶ The real problem in Israel was not the Midianites' oppression but Israel's spiritual bondage due to idolatry.

¹⁴³Bush, p. 88.

¹⁴⁴Inrig, p. 95.

¹⁴⁵Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 265.

¹⁴⁶Compare Moses' need to circumcise his son (Exod. 4:24-26).

Probably Gideon used one bull to pull apart the Canaanite altar, which he then offered as a burnt offering to Yahweh.¹⁴⁷ This sacrifice served a twofold purpose. Burnt offerings of worship made atonement and symbolized the offerer's total dedication to the Lord. Gideon's sacrifice also constituted a rejection of Baal worship since the bull was the sacred animal in the Baal fertility cult.¹⁴⁸ The fact that the bull was seven years old, strong and healthy, may have symbolized that the current seven-year oppression by Israel's enemies was about to end. On the other hand it may have indicated that the destruction of Baal worship to follow would be an act of God.

Gideon's fear of being observed as he obeyed God (v. 27) was natural since veneration of Baal was strong in his family and town (vv. 28-30).

"How different from Deuteronomy 13:6-10, where Moses commanded that even close relatives must be stoned for idolatry! The heresy had become the main religion."¹⁴⁹

"The sentence that should have been imposed on idolators [*sic*] is pronounced upon the one who destroys the idol!"¹⁵⁰

However, Gideon's daring act of faith inspired his father Joash to take a stand for Yahweh (v. 31) even though Joash had been a leader of Baal worship (v. 25). The person Gideon probably feared most, namely, his father, became his most outspoken defender.

"The probability, we think, is that Gideon, perceiving in the morning to what a pitch of exasperation the citizens were wrought, and how seriously they threatened his life, took occasion frankly to inform his father of the visit of the angel, and of all the circumstances of his call and commission, and that this, added to his feelings as a father, had served at once to convince him of his former error and to determine to stand by his son against the rage of the populace."¹⁵¹

"There are some profound spiritual implications in Gideon's assignment. 1. Baal must go before Midian can go. . . . 2. God's altar cannot be built until Baal's altar is destroyed. . . . 3. The place we must start is in our own backyard."¹⁵²

¹⁴⁷See Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 266.

¹⁴⁸Cundall and Morris, p. 107.

¹⁴⁹Wolf, p. 422.

¹⁵⁰Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 268.

¹⁵¹Bush, p. 92.

¹⁵²Inrig, pp. 100-101.

Gideon's personal struggle to believe God's promise 6:33—7:18

"The primary matter in the Gideon narrative is not the deliverance itself, but rather something more personal, namely, Gideon's struggle to believe God's promise. . . .

"Judges 6:33—7:18 is arranged in the following concentric pattern:

- "A The Spirit-endowed Gideon *mobilized* four tribes against the Midianites, though *lacking confidence* in God's promise (6:33-35).
- B Gideon sought a *sign* from God with the fleece *to confirm the promise* that the Lord would give Midian into his hand (6:36-40).
- C With the *fearful* Israelites having departed, God directed Gideon to *go down* to the water for the further reduction of his force (7:1-8).
- C' With *fear* still in Gideon himself, God directed Gideon to *go down* to the enemy camp to overhear the enemy (7:9-11).
- B' God provided a *sign* to Gideon with the dream of the Midianite *to confirm the promise* that the Lord would give Midian into his hand (7:12-14).
- A' The worshipping Gideon *mobilized* his force of 300 for a surprise attack against the Midianites, *fully confident* in God's promise (7:15-18).

"The reduction of Gideon's army is a familiar story often told from the perspective of emphasizing God's ability to deliver whether by many or by few. While this is true, such an explanation falls short of doing justice in this context. The context is dealing with a struggle within Gideon himself."¹⁵³

The mobilizing of four tribes in fear 6:33-35

Some time later Israel's enemies from the East again crossed the Jordan and massed their forces in the Valley of Jezreel near Gideon's home (v. 33). They numbered 135,000, or 135 units, depending on the meaning of *eleph* here (8:10). "Thousand" seems preferable (cf. 7:12). This foray appears to have been the Midianites' annual invasion.

Gideon wished to reconfirm the Lord's promise to be with him and to lead him in victory against the enemy. Perhaps considerable time had elapsed between Gideon's call (vv. 11-32) and this new threat of attack.

The Spirit of the Lord came upon (lit. clothed) Gideon in a special way strengthening and defending him for his great task (v. 34; cf. Gen 28:20; Isa. 59:17). He then sent out a call for several of the other tribes to join him and his family to fight the Midianites (vv. 34-35).

¹⁵³Tanner, p. 157.

Gideon's desire for encouragement 6:36-40

The Lord graciously answered Gideon's request for additional signs that God was with him. Gideon did not need to request these signs; God had already promised to help him (vv. 14, 16) and had given him a sign (v. 21). Notwithstanding, Gideon's faith needed some added support, and God provided this without reproof (cf. James 1:5).

"The remarkable thing is that God responds to his tests. He is more anxious to deliver Israel than to quibble with this man's semipagan notions of deity."¹⁵⁴

"Gideon's fleece is not a sign of faith. It is the opposite. It is not a search for God's will. It is a desperate grasp for security by one who knows clearly what that will is but who is reluctant to do it."¹⁵⁵

Perhaps Gideon used a woolen fleece because it was handy. He asked God to cause the dew to settle on the fleece that night but not on the surrounding ground. In the morning he discovered that is what God had done. Gideon may have concluded that he had asked the wrong thing since wool attracts dew. In any case he asked God to let the dew fall on the ground but not on the fleece the next night. God did this too. Thus this double demonstration that God was indeed with him and would grant him victory as He had promised strengthened Gideon's faith.

"But Gideon's fleece was not about discovering the will of God, and his actions are not to be taken as a normative paradigm for discovering the will of God. It was about stubborn resistance to what one knows clearly to be God's will."¹⁵⁶

Some students of this story have seen a deeper meaning in these signs than is immediately apparent.

"Dew in the Scriptures is a symbol of the beneficent power of God, which quickens, revives, and invigorates the objects of nature, when they have been parched by the burning heat of the sun's rays. The first sign was to be a pledge to him of the visible, tangible blessing of the Lord upon His people, the proof that He would grant them power over their mighty foes by whom Israel was then oppressed. The woollen fleece represented the nation of Israel in its condition at this time, when God had given power to the foe that was devastating its land, and had withdrawn His blessing from Israel. The moistening of the fleece with the dew of heaven whilst the land all round continued dry, was a sign that the Lord God would once more give strength to His people from on high, and withdraw it from the nations

¹⁵⁴Block, *Judges . . .*, p. 273.

¹⁵⁵Idem, "Gideon: A Rough Vessel," *The Standard* 77:2 (February 1987):25.

¹⁵⁶Idem, *Judges . . .*, p. 307.

of the earth. Hence the second sign acquires the more general signification, 'that the Lord manifested himself even in the weakness and forsaken condition of his people, while the nations were flourishing all around' (*O. v. Gerl.*)"157

Did God intend the dew, the fleece, and the ground to represent these things? Whether He did or not it is clear that these two miraculous demonstrations of God's presence and power strengthened Gideon's faith. Gideon was now ready to lead the Israelites against their foes.

Note four things that God used to prepare Gideon in this chapter. First, Gideon met the preincarnate Christ. Second, he committed himself to following Yahweh. Third, he obeyed the Lord by taking a public stand for Him relying on His promises. Fourth, the Holy Spirit gave Gideon supernatural power. When the people God calls to Himself respond positively by committing themselves to Him and standing up for Him, He strengthens their faith so He can use them in greater ways. His ability can overcome the inability of His servants if they rely on His promises even though their faith may be weak.

"All the judges except Abimelech countered a foreign threat, but only in the case of Gideon is there an extensive personal interaction between the judge and the Lord. This observation suggests that the narrative provides more than simply a victory account for future generations of Israel's defeat of Midian. While it is true that Samson offered up a few quick prayers, only in the case of Gideon is there a focus on the judge's faith and his coming to grips with the Lord's call on his life."158

God's command to reduce the troops 7:1-8

Presumably God willingly gave Gideon the signs of the fleece because He knew the command He would give him to reduce his army would stretch his faith to its limit. The Israelite soldiers numbered only 32,000 (or 32 units, v. 3) while the Midianites and their allies fielded about 135,000 warriors (or 135 units, 8:10).

God revealed His purpose in reducing Israel's army clearly. He wanted everyone to recognize that the victory was His work rather than Israel's (v. 2).

"Judges 7:2 is one of the most important verses in the Bible for understanding God's principles of spiritual warfare. God is not interested in simply giving His people victory. He is concerned with teaching us *trust*. In fact, if our victories make us self-reliant, they are ultimately more disastrous than defeat."159

¹⁵⁷Keil and Delitzsch, pp. 339-40.

¹⁵⁸Tanner, p. 156.

¹⁵⁹Inrig, p. 125.

In the law Moses had said that the Israelites should not force the fearful to go into battle (Deut. 20:8). God reminded Gideon to give any who were afraid the opportunity to go home, which he did (v. 3). However the large number that deserted him, more than two out of three, must have shocked Gideon. Then God said that even the remaining 10,000 soldiers (or 10 units) were too many (v. 4).

The normal way to drink from a stream was to get down on one's hands and knees and put his mouth to the water. This is what most of the soldiers did. A smaller number simply remained standing or kneeled, reached down, dipped one hand into the water, and brought the water to their lips. God told Gideon that he should send the majority home and that He would deliver Israel with the 300 men who remained. That made the ratio of Midianite and Israelite soldiers 450 to one (assuming *eleph* means "thousand" here). It is not clear whether God's test and choice were arbitrary having no other significance than that most people drank in one way and fewer in the other. Possibly God designed the test to distinguish the more alert soldiers from the less alert.¹⁶⁰ Getting down on all fours leaves one more vulnerable than if one remains upright while drinking. Another possibility is that God intended to identify the least likely to succeed, those who had so little self-confidence that they kept an eye out for the enemy while they drank.¹⁶¹

"I suggest that the lapping by the 300 like dogs symbolizes a lapping of the enemy's blood."¹⁶²

The text does not enable us to understand God's motive certainly. Simple obedience is what He required.

Note that before God told Gideon to let the larger group of soldiers go home He gave him a promise that He would deliver Israel with the 300 remaining warriors. This promise undoubtedly encouraged Gideon's faith.

God's command to visit the Midianites' camp 7:9-11

God then commanded Gideon to prepare for battle that very night (v. 9). He offered the judge a further sign that He would be victorious, and Gideon immediately seized it. God did not rebuke Gideon's normal fear of going into battle against such overwhelming odds. Instead He strengthened his faith.

"Gideon is no fearless all-pro linebacker, no General Patton and John Wayne rolled into one huge ball of true grit.

"We sometimes dupe ourselves into thinking that a real servant of Christ is only someone who is dynamic, assured, confident, brash, fearless, witty, adventuresome, or glamorous—with one or two appearances on a Christian television network. Don't think you are unusable because you

¹⁶⁰Lewis, p. 49.

¹⁶¹Flavius Josephus, *Antiquities of the Jews*, 5:6:3, preferred this last option.

¹⁶²D. Daube, "Gideon's Few," *Journal of Jewish Studies* 7 (1956):156.

don't have that air about you. Christ takes uncertain and fearful folk, strengthens their hands in the oddest ways, and makes them able to stand for him in school or home or work."¹⁶³

"Gideon's request with the fleece reflected war in his heart: he was fearful and lacked faith. Interestingly the reduction of Gideon's army in the structure of the text falls precisely between his unfounded request for a fleece and God's exposure of his fear. Therefore the reduction of the army was not so much intended to glorify God (by demonstrating His ability to deliver with only 300) as it was to put Gideon in a position where his fear would be exposed. The very thing Gideon had hoped to achieve by the fleece demonstration—some kind of self-assurance that things would turn out well—was the very 'carpet' that God pulled out from beneath him. Gideon sought to gain some security by his self-conceived sign with the fleece, and though God acquiesced to that request, He immediately countered by putting Gideon in an even more vulnerable position. If Gideon struggled to trust God with 32,000 Israelites against a Midianite force of 135,000 (see 8:10), how would he react when he had only a force of 300? In this light the words of God in 7:10 take on great significance."¹⁶⁴

God's provision of encouragement 7:12-14

On the outskirts of the Midianite camp Gideon and his servant heard two enemy soldiers conversing. One soldier was relating a dream he had had to his friend. The writer probably included the reference to the apparently innumerable Midianite enemy (v. 12) to emphasize the greatness of the victory God gave His people.

"Dreams were considered of great importance in ancient times, especially if the dreamer was a man of rank or authority, for the gods were conceived to make known their will or desires by this means. Every dream was believed to be capable of interpretation, though this was, of course, the point where difficulties arose."¹⁶⁵

Yahweh obviously sent this dream.

The Midianite soldier derived his interpretation of his friend's dream from the symbolism in the dream. Barley bread was the food of the poor and would have been the staple of the Israelites under the conditions they had to endure during Midian's oppression. The tent was the home of all the Midianite, Amalekite, and Arabian Bedouins. The soldiers had obviously heard of Gideon and his plans to engage them in battle. They therefore concluded that the unlikely destruction of the tent by a mere loaf of barley bread signified Gideon's destruction of their forces.

¹⁶³Davis, pp. 106-7.

¹⁶⁴Tanner, p. 159.

¹⁶⁵Cundall and Morris, p. 111.

"What Gideon sought to gain by the sign of the fleece was brought to nil. So he had to go back to the choice to believe God's promise simply because God had spoken. . . . The irony is stunning: hearing the promise directly from the Lord did not convince Gideon, but hearing it from the Midianite soldier did."¹⁶⁶

The mobilizing of Gideon's band in faith 7:15-18

Upon hearing this interpretation Gideon received courage to believe that God would indeed grant him victory (v. 15).

"No character in the book receives more divine assurance than Gideon and no one displays more doubt. Gideon is, significantly, the only judge to whom God speaks directly, though this privilege does not allay his faintheartedness."¹⁶⁷

Gideon's strategy was so effective that the Lord must have revealed it to him, though the text does not state this. Almost equally amazing is that fact that Gideon's 300 followers obeyed his bizarre instructions. This too had to have been from the Lord. The three companies of Israelites may not have completely encircled the enemy. Nevertheless the presence of three widely separated groups of soldiers gave the Midianites the impression that a very large number of Israelites was out there in the dark. The trumpets were rams horns that the Israelite soldiers tied around their necks. The empty pitchers concealed and protected the torches until the soldiers broke them. The light from the torches combined with the noise of the breaking pitchers, the blowing of trumpets that made each soldier sound like a company commander, and the shouting of the soldiers. All this led the sleepy Midianites to conclude that a vast host of Israelite warriors surrounded them.

"Gideon had moved from fear to faith, and that is precisely the point of the section 6:33—7:18. . . .

"The textual patterning of the Gideon narrative is carefully composed to highlight not the deliverance from Midian but the change that transpired in Gideon's heart, and it is precisely there that the greatest theological lesson in these chapters is found. The fear in Gideon's heart held him back from being able to trust the promise God had given about his delivering Israel from the Midianites. To overcome this deficiency in Gideon's life, God uniquely worked to expose the problem of fear in his life and to bring him to a point of worship and faith. Then and only then was Gideon ready to lead Israel in battle. . . . Furthermore all the struggles in the book result from a lack of faith. This struggle is most fully spelled out in the Gideon narrative, which accords with this event (his religious struggle) being put in the very center of the book.

¹⁶⁶Tanner, p. 159.

¹⁶⁷Exum, p. 416.

". . . the narrator leaves the reader with a penetrating message: God must bring His servant to a moment when all human confidence is stripped away, he sits silently in humble adoration of his God as the One who is totally sufficient against all odds to accomplish His divine will. Then and only then is he ready to move forward to taste God's victory, though that victory is no more secure or certain than before."¹⁶⁸

The defeat of the Midianites 7:19—8:21

Gideon's initial victory 7:19-22

Gideon commenced his "attack" at the beginning of the middle watch, which was evidently midnight.¹⁶⁹ Many of the Midianites would have been sound asleep and upon awakening would have felt confused by the sights and sounds of their enemies. The movements of their own men milling around the camp as a result of the recent watch change would have disoriented them further. Perhaps the camels stampeded because of the torch fire and general confusion giving the waking Midianites the impression that mounted soldiers had invaded their camp.

The Midianites felt so bewildered by this "surprise attack" that they slaughtered their fellow soldiers in the confusion and fled for home as fast as they could go (cf. 4:15-16). The towns mentioned (v. 22) were at the southeast end of the Harod (lit. trembling) Valley.¹⁷⁰ They stood on either side of the Jordan River. Zererah (v. 22) was 10 miles south of Jabesh-Gilead.¹⁷¹

Pursuit of the enemy 7:23-25

The pursuit described in these verses may have taken several days. Gideon sent a call for reinforcements throughout the whole northern part of Canaan. Other Israelites responded and helped Gideon and his band round up and execute as many of the enemy as they could capture. Israel controlled the fords of the Jordan and slew many Midianites as they fled homeward. The Israelites also captured and executed the two leaders of the Midianite army, Oreb (lit. the Raven) and Zeeb (the Wolf), east of the Jordan.

"Among ancient nations, generals and princes often took the names of birds and beasts."¹⁷²

This record of God's great deliverance of His people illustrates what God can do through one person who, though weak in faith, is willing to trust and obey Him.

¹⁶⁸Tanner, p. 160.

¹⁶⁹Bush, p. 104; Keil and Delitzsch, p. 347. Lindsey, p. 394, wrote that it was 10:00 p.m.

¹⁷⁰The Harod Valley is an eastern extension of the Jezreel Valley, and it connects the Jezreel Valley with the Jordan Valley.

¹⁷¹Cundall and Morris, p. 114.

¹⁷²Bush, pp. 105-106.

"It is not our responsibility to understand how God is going to keep His word and accomplish His work. It is our responsibility to obey Him and to do what He commands."¹⁷³

The resentment of the Ephraimites 8:1-3

Gideon had not invited the men of Ephraim to join him when he recruited the tribes of Manasseh, Asher, Zebulun, and Naphtali (6:35). I assume he did this at the Lord's command since he did not need more soldiers. The men of Ephraim took this omission as an insult (v. 1).¹⁷⁴

The leaders of this tribe protested Gideon's action, "... less from any dissatisfied longing for booty, than from injured pride or jealousy, because Gideon had made war upon the enemy and defeated them without the cooperation of this tribe, which was striving for the leadership [in Israel]."¹⁷⁵

". . . nothing is more common than for those who will not attempt or venture anything in the cause of God, to be ready to censure those who show more zeal and enterprise than themselves."¹⁷⁶

Gideon responded diplomatically and satisfied the Ephraimites (v. 2). The "gleaning" of Ephraim refers to the lives and spoils the Ephraimites took from the fleeing Midianites, and the "vintage" of Abiezer refers to the Midianites that Gideon and his 300 men had defeated and slain. The Ephraimites' victory was greater too in that they had killed two Midianite commanders, Oreb and Zeeb.

It is significant, however, that Gideon based his appeal on psychology rather than theology. Why did he make no reference to God's direction of him or God's provision of victory (cf. ch. 5)? Having participated in a great deliverance, Gideon seems to have begun to exclude the Victor from His own victory. The fact that Gideon specified as the battle cry, "For the LORD *and for Gideon*" (7:18, 20) suggests that even then he may have wanted to take some credit for the victory.

"When the plot resumes, something seems to have happened to the character of the hero. In chaps. 6—7 we have witnessed his transformation from a fearful private citizen to a fearless agent of God, willing to take on the enemy against all odds, not to mention a sensitive diplomat. But the portrait of the man the author paints in this chapter creates a radically different impression in the reader's mind. If 8:1-32 had been handed down without the literary context in which it is embedded, modern readers would reject Gideon as a tyrant, arbitrary in his treatment of the enemy

¹⁷³Inrig, p. 135.

¹⁷⁴See John H. Paterson, "The Touchy Tribe," *Toward the Mark* 16:6 (November-December 1987):110-13.

¹⁷⁵Keil and Delitzsch, p. 351.

¹⁷⁶Bush, p. 107.

and ruthless in his handling of his own countrymen. Instead of 'hacking' and 'contending' with the enemy, Gideon/Jerubbaal 'contends' and 'hacks' his own people."¹⁷⁷

Gideon's capture of the two Midianite kings 8:4-12

Succoth and Penuel (a variant of Peniel, cf. Gen. 32:30) were towns that stood on the east side of the Jordan beside the Jabbok River. The residents of these villages lived closer to the Midianites than most of the Israelites did. It is understandable that they did not want to jeopardize their security by assisting Gideon who appeared to them to be much weaker than their Midianite neighbors.

"In these words [denying Gideon bread, v. 6] there is not only an expression of cowardice, or fear of the vengeance which the Midianites might take when they returned upon those who had supported Gideon and his host, but contempt of the small force which Gideon had, as if it were impossible for him to accomplish anything at all against the foe; and in this contempt they manifested their utter want of confidence in God."¹⁷⁸

Note the spirit of regionalism that had developed in Israel since the days of Joshua. These Transjordanian Israelites showed no sense of brotherhood or national responsibility. Their lack of cooperation illustrates what both Moses and Joshua feared would happen to the Israelites who lived east of the Jordan River (Num. 32:6-15, 20-27; Josh. 22:13-20). The seeds of national disintegration had germinated.

Denied provisions by these two Israelite towns Gideon continued to pursue the remaining 15,000 Midianite soldiers (or 15 units) southeast. When he caught up with them he attacked by surprise (v. 11). Perhaps the Midianites had not expected Gideon to pursue them so far, 20 miles east of the Jordan River. The Israelites presumably had not done so when the Midianites had conducted their yearly raids in the past. Gideon routed the remnant of the Midianite alliance and captured the two kings of Midian, Zebah and Zalmunna.

The punishment of Succoth and Penuel 8:13-17

The ascent of Heres (v. 13) appears to have been an inclined roadway or pass leading to that town presumably in the Jabbok Valley near Penuel and Succoth. Gideon's severe punishment of the men of these towns was just. They had selfishly refused to assist God's appointed judge in His holy war for Yahweh's glory and His people's good. They had also shown contempt for the soldiers God had signally honored with supernatural victory. It was Gideon's duty as a judge in Israel to punish these compromising and selfish cities. The severity of his punishment doubtless impressed the other Israelites with the seriousness of their offense. However, one cannot miss the contrast between Gideon's

¹⁷⁷Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 287. Cf. L. R. Klein, *The Triumph of Irony in the Book of Judges*, p. 62.

¹⁷⁸Keil and Delitzsch, p. 352.

impatience and ruthlessness with the Israelites and Yahweh's patience and grace with His people.

"Gideon's behavior could be justified if Penuel were a Canaanite city, but these were fellow Israelites! His character has been transformed again—he acted like a general out of control, no longer bound by rules of civility, let alone national loyalty."¹⁷⁹

The execution of the Midianite kings 8:18-21

Gideon took his prisoners back to Ophrah where the following events evidently took place. The Midianite kings had apparently executed Gideon's brothers sometime before the recent battle, perhaps during one of the Midianites' previous raids. It seems that Gideon was unable to avenge his brothers' deaths then due, most likely, to the Midianites' superiority. Now Gideon had the upper hand.

Gideon appears to have been an imposing person physically. The Midianite kings said his brothers resembled him and looked like the sons of a king, perhaps poised and aristocratic in bearing. Another explanation is that the enemy kings hoped to gain Gideon's favor by flattery. This seems unlikely since Gideon recognized his brothers by their description (v. 19). Gideon probably would not have applied the *lex talionis* as he did here if his brothers had died in battle. The Midianite kings had evidently murdered them.

It was a great disgrace to die at the hand of a woman or a youth in the ancient Near East. This implied that the person killed could not overcome his slayer. Gideon's intent was to punish the kings with humiliation as well as death for their treatment of his brothers (v. 20). However, Gideon's young son was not ready for this adult work so Gideon killed them himself (v. 21). The crescent-shaped ornaments that Gideon took from the kings' camels (v. 21) were presumably gold and silver. The Arabians commonly wore these around their necks and used them to decorate their camels. These particular ornaments would have been very valuable since they belonged to kings.

"Such crescents are mentioned in the Bible only in this chapter and Isaiah 3:18, but crescent-moon-shaped ornaments have been found at many excavated sites in Palestine. They are widely used by Arab peoples up to the present day."¹⁸⁰

With the execution of Zebah and Zalmunna and the destruction of their army, Midian's domination of Israel ended. Though the seven years of this oppression were not as long as some of Israel's other periods of discipline, this appears to have been an unusually oppressive subjugation.

¹⁷⁹Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 293.

¹⁸⁰Cundall and Morris, p. 120.

Later events in Gideon's life 8:22-32

Even though the next events recorded (vv. 22-28) followed immediately the ones just reported (vv. 18-21), they had greater significance in later years than at that moment in history.

Gideon's compromise 8:22-28

The supernatural victory God had given His people elevated Gideon into national recognition. Some of the men of Israel invited Gideon to be their king and to begin a dynasty of rulers (v. 22). Perhaps they were from the northern and western tribes, had participated in the battle, and were present at the execution of Zebah and Zalmunna.

Gideon wisely refused their flattering offer, but he failed to give credit to Yahweh for the victory (cf. ch. 5). God had made provision for an Israelite king in the Mosaic Law (Deut. 17:14-20). Evidently Gideon believed Israel was better off under the current arrangement of judgeships whereby Yahweh, Israel's true king, would raise up deliverers like himself when He saw fit (v. 23). This was a wise decision, and it was in harmony with God's will.

However, Gideon perceived in his popular appreciation by the Israelites an opportunity to do something that he undoubtedly believed would be a help to his people. Unfortunately it became a spiritual snare to them. He decided to make an ephod (cf. 17:5; 18:14-20; Exod. 28:6-35).

". . . there are three possible alternatives [concerning what this ephod was]: that it was a garment after the pattern of the high-priestly ephod but with an unusual degree of gold ornamentation; that it was a replica of the high-priestly garment made of pure gold; or that it was a free-standing image [cf. 1 Sam. 2:28; 14:3]."¹⁸¹

"The narrator does not reveal the nature of the image, but it seems most likely that he [Gideon] has reconstructed the shrine to Baal he earlier had torn down at Yahweh's command (6:25-32). . . . Instead of himself, an image of God, clothed with the Spirit of Yahweh (6:34), Gideon created his own image and clothed it with pagan materials."¹⁸²

Gideon made this ephod from some of the jewelry the Israelites had taken from the Midianites. The writer called them "Ishmaelites" (v. 24), a term that described loosely any trading nomadic group (cf. Gen. 37:25, 27, 28; 39:1).¹⁸³

The grateful Israelites willingly donated a large quantity of gold jewelry, ". . . between 40 and 75 pounds' weight, depending on whether the light or heavy shekel was

¹⁸¹Ibid., p. 123.

¹⁸²Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 300.

¹⁸³See Kitchen, p. 119.

employed."¹⁸⁴ Gideon took this gold and had it fashioned into an ephod, which he displayed publicly in his hometown of Ophrah. Even though Gideon had professed to reject kingship, he was behaving more and more like a king (cf. Deut. 17:17).

Whatever this ephod was, it became an object of worship and a spiritual stumbling block to the Israelites (v. 27). Thus Gideon became the first official sponsor of idolatry in Israel. He was doing what was right in his own eyes (cf. 17:6; 21:25).

". . . the expression *and all Israel played the harlot after it there* (RSV) suggests that the form of worship inspired by his ephod was Canaanite in origin."¹⁸⁵

"It is . . . probable that Gideon put on the ephod and wore it as a priest, when he wished to inquire and learn the will of the Lord. . . . The germs of Gideon's error, which became a snare to him and to his house, lie unquestionably . . . in the fact that the high-priesthood had probably lost its worth in the eyes of the people on account of the worthlessness of its representatives [cf. 1 Sam. 1:3; 2:22], so that they no longer regarded the high priest as the sole or principal medium of divine revelation; and therefore Gideon, to whom the Lord had manifested himself directly, as He had not to any judge or leader of the people since the time of Joshua, might suppose that he was not acting in violation of the law, when he had an ephod made, and thus provided himself with a substratum or vehicle for inquiring the will of the Lord. His sin therefore consisted chiefly in his invading the prerogative of the Aaronic priesthood, drawing away the people from the one legitimate sanctuary, and thereby not only undermining the theocratic unity of Israel, but also giving an impetus to the relapse of the nation into the worship of Baal after his death. This sin became a snare to him and to his house."¹⁸⁶

"Perhaps it is easier to honour God in some courageous action in the limelight of a time of national emergency than it is to honour Him consistently in the ordinary, everyday life, which requires a different kind of courage. Gideon, who came through the test of adversity with flying colours, was not the first nor the last to be less successful in the test of prosperity."¹⁸⁷

"I would even suggest we go ephod-making in the way we ignore God's provision of the Lord's covenant meal as the means of Christian renewal. We plan, organize, and concoct 'revivals,' seminars, retreats, or encounters, or we pressure congregations to come forward and rededicate

¹⁸⁴Cundall and Morris, p. 122.

¹⁸⁵Ibid., p. 123.

¹⁸⁶Keil and Delitzsch, pp. 358-59. See also Baruch Halpern, "The Rise of Abimelek Ben-Jerubbaal," *Hebrew Annual Review* 2 (1978):84-88.

¹⁸⁷Cundall and Morris, p. 122.

their lives to Christ. All the while we neglect what God has provided: the Lord's Supper."¹⁸⁸

The final verse in this pericope (v. 28) draws the account of Gideon's defeat of the Midianites to a close. The land rested from oppression and war 40 years following his victory (ca. 1180-1140 B.C.). This is the last period of peace that the writer of Judges mentioned.

Gideon's family 8:29-32

These verses wrap up the story of Gideon and introduce the story of Abimelech that follows (ch. 9).

Gideon enjoyed the fruits of his heroism for the rest of his life. He was wealthy enough to afford many wives who bore him 70 sons. In this respect Gideon lived like many ancient Near Eastern kings who normally married many wives and fathered many offspring. He followed pagan cultural customs and violated God's will (Gen. 2:24). He not only accumulated much gold as a king (v. 26), but he also collected many wives as a king.

He also kept a concubine in Shechem. The Canaanites controlled this city at this time (cf. 9:2, 28). The concubine appears from references in chapter 9 to have been a Canaanite. The Israelites were to eradicate the Canaanites, but their leader decided to marry one (cf. Exod. 34:15-16; Deut. 7:3-4). The son this woman bore Gideon was evidently a young man of outstanding promise since Gideon named him Abimelech (lit. My father is king). This name was probably a cognomen (nickname) rather than a proper name given to him at birth (cf. Gen. 20:2; 26:1; et al.). In giving it Gideon may have hoped that this son might one day become the father of Israel's first king. Alternatively it is possible that even though Gideon had formally refused the office of king the people may have referred to him popularly as their king.

"The name of his son Abimelech ('my father is king') probably does not mean that Gideon regarded himself as monarch. In personal names 'my father' normally refers to God; so Gideon could have been reemphasizing the assertion of v. 23 ["the LORD shall rule over you"]."¹⁸⁹

However, the fact that Abimelech regarded himself as the successor to Gideon suggests that he understood the king in view to be Gideon (cf. 9:2). Probably "Abimelech" reflects Gideon's perception of his own status in Israel.

The sons of concubines usually did not partake of their father's inheritances in the ancient Near East, however. People considered them the heirs of and members of the family of their mother but not their father. Abimelech, therefore, was different from Gideon's other 70 sons.

¹⁸⁸Davis, p. 115.

¹⁸⁹Wolf, p. 434.

Gideon eventually died, and his survivors buried him in his ancestral tomb (v. 32).

"In relation to the book as a whole, Gideon receives attention as the focal point because he represents a significant shift in the 'quality' of the judges that served Israel. A progressive deterioration begins with Othniel and continues through Samson. Othniel was almost an idealized judge, and Samson was a debauched self-centered individual. God used each judge, whether strong or weak, to accomplish His sovereign will and effect deliverance for the theocratic nation. Gideon, on the other hand, stands somewhere between these two extremes and represents the primary turning point from the 'better' judges to the 'weaker' ones."¹⁹⁰

2. Israel's departure from Yahweh 8:33-35

After Gideon's death the Israelites again wandered from the Lord (cf. 3:7, 12; 4:1; 6:1; 10:6; 13:1). They even made a covenant with Baal in disobedience to God's law. "Baal-berith" (v. 33) means "Baal of the covenant." Ironically Shechem, the town where the Israelites had renewed their covenant with Yahweh when they entered the land (Josh. 8: 24), became a site and center of this Baal worship (9:46).

"In line with common practice, the covenant-making function of Yahweh was simply transferred to Baal so that he, not Yahweh, was viewed as the god who made Shechem a holy place."¹⁹¹

Perhaps the site had been sacred to the Canaanites before the Israelites took it over and "converted" it. Now it was back in Canaanite hands.¹⁹²

The Israelites in time forgot Yahweh and His many deliverances of them as well as the family of Gideon, their hero who had proved that Baal could not contend for himself (v. 35; cf. 6:31-32).

"Gideon personifies the typical Israelite in the period of the judges. He is more than half Canaanite in his outlook. He does not know how to relate to God. He does not want to get involved in the Lord's work. He is not beyond using his position for personal gain and influence.

". . . recognizing the deficiencies in the man thrusts into sharper relief the contrasting patience and mercy of God . . .

"Gideon is a man God used in spite of himself. He is a rough vessel if ever there was one. But God is determined to get His work done. In the absence of genuinely qualified leadership, He will use surprising vessels."¹⁹³

¹⁹⁰Tanner, pp. 152-53.

¹⁹¹Merrill, *Kingdom of . . .*, p. 169.

¹⁹²Martin Noth, *The History of Israel*, pp. 98-99.

¹⁹³Block, "Gideon . . .," p. 27.

Most of the major judges in the Book of Judges lacked character that we would call "above reproach" (1 Tim. 3:2). God uses vessels unto dishonor as well as vessels unto honor to accomplish His work (2 Tim. 2:20-21). This in no way justifies ungodliness. It simply magnifies the sovereignty and grace of God in using rough material if He chooses to do so for His own purposes.

3. The story of Abimelech ch. 9

The story of Abimelech connects directly with the story of Gideon. It is the sequel to and indeed the climax of the Gideon story. Though Abimelech sought a place of leadership in Israel, God did not raise him up as a judge. His history is of interest primarily because of the light it throws on this period of Israel's national life. Furthermore we can see what had become of Shechem (cf. Josh. 8; 24).

". . . in the use of names, Jerubbaal is used throughout for Gideon, and Yahweh is referred to only by the generic Elohim. These features reflect the author's unambiguous stance toward the nation and the characters: Israel has been totally Canaanized; Baal has contended for himself and prevailed."¹⁹⁴

Abimelech's murders and election as king 9:1-6

Though Gideon had rejected kingship officially (8:23), though not practically, Abimelech desired it for himself. He also hated his half-brothers, presumably because he was the son of a concubine rather than the son of one of Gideon's wives (8:31). Shechem was one of the older city-states in Canaan. Canaanites were its main inhabitants as is evident from this story. They seem to have been even more open to having a king over them than the Israelites were (v. 6). As a local boy, as well as the son of Gideon, the famous military leader, the Shechemites favored accepting Abimelech as their king.

Evidently Abimelech felt that Gideon's other sons were ambitious to be king too, though there is no indication in the text that any of them felt this way. He was perhaps projecting his feelings on them, as is often true of ambitious people. They sometimes become paranoid, as Abimelech did.

Abimelech was able to secure some popular and financial support by politicking. He hired some assistants who promoted him and probably helped him assemble and assassinate 69 of his 70 brothers (v. 5). He executed this slaughter on "one stone" (v. 5) suggesting a well-planned mass murder.¹⁹⁵

The men of Shechem must have known about Abimelech's slaughter of his brothers before they made him king (v. 6).¹⁹⁶ Perhaps this enhanced his value in their eyes. "Beth-

¹⁹⁴Idem, *Judges* . . . , p. 308.

¹⁹⁵Compare and contrast the similar story of Jehu's slaughter of Ahab's sons in 2 Kings 10.

¹⁹⁶Abimelech was the first person ever to be crowned king in Israel.

millo" was the citadel in Shechem, the most heavily fortified part of the town. The writer also called it the tower of Shechem (vv. 46, 49). It may have been the fortress-temple of Baal-berith (cf. v. 51; 8:33).¹⁹⁷

"The inhabitants of Shechem, the worshippers of Baal-berith, carried out the election of Abimelech as king in the very same place in which Joshua had held the last national assembly, and had renewed the covenant of Israel with Jehovah the true covenant God (Josh. xxiv. 1, 25, 26). It was there in all probability that the temple of Baal-berith was to be found, namely, according to ver. 46, near the tower of Shechem or the citadel of Millo."¹⁹⁸

Jotham's fable 9:7-21

Before Abimelech's sole surviving brother went into hiding he uttered a protest against Abimelech that predicted the effect of his rule. Jotham (lit. Yahweh is perfect, honest) stood on the same mountain where six of Israel's tribes had declared the blessings of abiding by the law of Yahweh and denounced the Shechemites for their foolish and wicked actions. The contrast between the Israelites' commitments in Joshua 8 and 24 and this passage must be one reason the writer included Abimelech's story in Judges.

Jotham's fable was a parable with a moral (cf. 2 Sam. 12:1-4; 2 Kings 14:9-10). It is the first parable in the Bible. The olive and fig trees and the grape vine represented productive human beings, oil, figs, and wine being among the most important products of Canaan. Brambles bore no fruit and offered no shelter or protection. They only injured those who got too close to them. They also spontaneously burst into flames in hot weather and sometimes caused much damage consequently (v. 15). Obviously the bramble represented Abimelech, the trees and vine more noble individuals, and the cedars of Lebanon the upright leaders of Shechem.¹⁹⁹

Having finished his message Jotham fled to Beer (lit. Well, site uncertain) where he hid from his brother's wrath. However this may not have been the name of a town. Jotham may have hidden in some empty well for a long time (cf. 2 Sam. 17:18-21).

Abimelech's reign 9:22-49

Abimelech's rule over Israel appears to have been very small in scope as well as short in duration. He was only the ruler of Shechem and its surrounding territory. He evidently lived in Arumah about five miles to the southeast of Shechem (v. 41).

¹⁹⁷G. Ernest Wright, *Shechem, the Biography of a Biblical City*, pp. 123-28.

¹⁹⁸Keil and Delitzsch, p. 362.

¹⁹⁹For parallels to this fable in ancient Near Eastern literature, see W. C. van Wyk, "The Fable of Jotham in its Ancient Near Eastern Setting," in *Studies in Wisdom Literature*, pp. 89-95.

". . . Abimelech's government was not a monarchical reign, but simply a tyrannical despotism."²⁰⁰

The "evil spirit" that God sent between Abimelech and the men of Shechem (v. 24) was a spirit of discontent that proved to be disastrous. Verse 25 probably means that the men of Shechem conspired to rob Abimelech of the tolls he received from the travelers and traders who passed through Shechem. They did this by ambushing them from Mt. Gerizim and Mt. Ebal, the two mountains between which the road passed near Shechem.²⁰¹

Gaal was evidently a Canaanite who disliked Abimelech (v. 28) because he was the son of Gideon. He also opposed him because Gideon had both destroyed the altar of Baal in Ophrah and reestablished the worship of Yahweh in Israel (6:27; 8:23). Gaal did not want Abimelech to continue ruling over that part of Canaan nor did he want Shechem to remain under his control.

"Strewing the ruined city with salt [v. 45], which only occurs here, was a symbolical act, signifying that the city was to be turned for ever into a barren salt desert. Salt ground is barren desert (Job xxxix. 6, cvii. 34)."²⁰²

Mt. Zalmon (v. 48) stood to the south of Mt. Gerizim.²⁰³

Abimelech's death 9:50-57

Abimelech suffered an ignominious death suitable to a man of his character. Thebez (v. 50) was probably another name for Tirzah northeast of Shechem.²⁰⁴ The upper millstone the woman threw down on Abimelech was probably about 18 inches in diameter.²⁰⁵ When Abimelech died, his army dissolved (v. 55). The writer of the Book of Judges ascribed his death and the fate of the Shechemites to God who punished them for their wickedness (vv. 56-57; cf. v. 32). Jotham's fable proved prophetic (v. 57). This first attempt to set up a monarchy in Israel failed miserably.

That the "men of Israel" (v. 55) would follow such a man as Abimelech provides a sad commentary on the moral and spiritual level of God's people at this time. This is what incomplete obedience to God's law and compromise with His enemies produced.

²⁰⁰Keil and Delitzsch, p. 365.

²⁰¹Cundall and Morris, pp. 130-31.

²⁰²Keil and Delitzsch, p. 370.

²⁰³Cundall and Morris, p. 135.

²⁰⁴Monson, p. 110. The modern town is Tubas.

²⁰⁵*New Bible Dictionary*, s.v. "Mill, Millstone," by A. R. Millard.

From another perspective God used Abimelech to punish the Canaanites in Shechem and its vicinity. In this sense he was God's instrument. Perhaps this is part of the reason the Spirit of God chose to record as much of Abimelech's life as we have here.²⁰⁶

"In this book [of Judges] we observe the mercy of God at work in as sharp relief as anywhere else in Scripture. The greatest threats to Israel's existence do not come from outside enemies who may occasionally oppress them. Israel's most serious enemy is within. She is a nation that appears determined to destroy herself. Only the gracious intervention of God prevents this from happening."²⁰⁷

4. The judgeships of Tola and Jair 10:1-5

No great military feats marked the judgeships of these two men. Their ministries appear to have consisted primarily in administering civil duties.

"The passages on the 'minor judges' do not conform to the editorial plan of the stories of the 'great judges', or to that of Jg. as a whole. Hence it would seem that they have been included, perhaps selectively, simply to supplement the number of the judges to the conventional number of twelve, thus possibly to make the judges as representative of all Israel."²⁰⁸

Tola's judgeship 10:1-2

Tola (meaning "worm" in Hebrew) "arose to save Israel" from the tribe of Issachar sometime after Abimelech died. One of Issachar's sons was also named Tola (Gen. 46:13; Num. 26:23; 1 Chron. 7:1-2). The writer did not record how the judge Tola rose to power or exactly when. Specifically, no mention of Yahweh raising him up appears, as was true also of Abimelech. Nevertheless this brief notation of his contribution to Israel's national life pictures him as a worthy individual who enjoyed an orderly and stable tenure. He judged Israel 23 years.

Jair's judgeship 10:3-5

The only unusual feature of Jair's life, other than that he came from Transjordan, was that he maintained a network of 30 cities over which his 30 sons ruled in Gilead. His name means "may [God] enlighten." An ancestor named Jair appears to have settled the same area shortly after the Israelites defeated Sihon and Og (Num. 32:41). The fact that his sons each rode on a donkey marked them as having distinguished rank in times when the

²⁰⁶See T. Crichton Mitchell, "Abimelech—the Bramble King," *Preacher's Magazine* 58:3 (March-May 1983):16-19, 61.

²⁰⁷Daniel I. Block, "Will the Real Gideon Please Stand Up? Narrative Style and Intention in Judges 6—9," *Journal of the Evangelical Theological Society* 40:3 (September 1997):365-66.

²⁰⁸J. Gray, p. 310.

Israelites had no horses.²⁰⁹ Only the wealthy and prominent in Israel rode on donkeys at this time.

"The ass was highly esteemed as a riding beast and many times carried with it special recognition (Judg. 1:14; 1 Sam. 25:20)."²¹⁰

The fact that Jair fathered 30 sons suggests that he practiced polygamy (cf. Gideon, 8:30). Jair judged Israel for 22 years. Kamon stood about 12 miles southeast of the Sea of Chinnereth (Galilee).

We see in this brief record of Jair's life continuing tendencies in Israel toward the lifestyle of the surrounding pagan nations and away from fidelity to Yahweh and His law.

E. THE FIFTH APOSTASY 10:6—12:15

1. Renewed oppression 10:6-7

The Israelites' return to apostasy brought discipline from two different directions at the same time. In the east the Ammonites oppressed Israel while in the west God raised up the Philistines.

"The acuter pressure at this stage came from the Ammonites who were crueller in nature and more predatory in their methods than the Philistines (cf. 1 Sa. 11:1, 2)."²¹¹

These verses really introduce the judgeships of Jephthah, Ibzan, Elon, Abdon, and Samson (10:8—16:31). Another possibility is that since the introductory formula in these verses is not strictly a sequence indicator, the judgeship of Jephthah may have overlapped Gideon's somewhat.

". . . it is possible that Ammon took advantage of the terror brought on by the Midianite raids of Gideon's day to do some raiding of their own."²¹²

The Baals and the Ashtaroth (Asherim, v. 6) were the Canaanite deities. The Lord did not give us the names of the gods of Syria (Aram) that lay to the northeast of Israel in the Old Testament. In Sidon, a town in Phoenicia to Israel's northwest, Ashtoreth, the consort of Baal, was a chief deity (1 Kings 11:5). In Moab to the east and south Chemosh was the main god (1 Kings 11:33), and Molock was another important deity (Num. 21:29). The Ammonites also worshipped Molock (Lev. 18:21) and sometimes called him Milcom (1 Kings 11:5, 33). Dagon was the main idol in Philistia (16:23). These "watchdog" gods

²⁰⁹Keil and Delitzsch, p. 372.

²¹⁰Davis and Whitcomb, pp. 119-20.

²¹¹Cundall and Morris, pp. 138-39.

²¹²Washburn, p. 422.

were believed to guard and favor their own particular territories.²¹³ Verses 6 and 7 give us the last and longest list of Israel's sins.

The only contiguous neighbor of Israel's that did not have a negative influence on the chosen people during the period of the judges as far as the text reveals was Edom. However, since about 300 years of history expired in the judges period, it is likely that the Edomites also opposed the Israelites.

"The spiritual trends observed in Israel at this time did not merely reflect syncretism, but in many cases involved the total abandonment of the worship of Jehovah in favor of other national deities."²¹⁴

"The description of Yahweh's response to Israel's spiritual defection confirms our suggestion that in the narrator's mind the nation's Canaanization is coming to a climax. First, for the first time since 3:8 the text mentions God's anger as the emotion behind his selling the Israelites into the hands of the enemies. Second, for the first time the narrator notes that Yahweh had handed his people into the power of two different nations—the Philistines and the sons of Ammon."²¹⁵

Notice how much more diversified Israel's idolatry had become. The Israelites were now worshipping foreign gods as well as the gods of Canaan. Furthermore they abandoned the worship of Yahweh.

The text reveals that the Philistines and the Ammonites began to oppress Israel simultaneously from the west and the east respectively. The writer proceeded to narrate the Ammonite account first (10:8b—12:7) and then the Philistine (13:1—16:31).

2. Oppression under the Ammonites 10:8-18

The Israelites felt the main influence of the Ammonites on the east side of the Jordan River that bordered Ammon (v. 8). However the Ammonites also attacked the tribes of Judah, Benjamin, and Ephraim west of the Jordan (v. 9).

The Ammonite oppression lasted 18 years (v. 8; evidently about 1123-1105 B.C.). Finally the Israelites confessed their sin of apostasy and cried out to God for deliverance (v. 10; cf. 3:9, 15; 4:3; 6:6). They had waited only seven years before appealing for His help against the Midianites (6:6). This may indicate that their hearts had become even harder toward Yahweh. This is the most detailed account of Israel's response to their oppression.²¹⁶ For the first time in the book, the Israelites confessed that they had sinned.

²¹³Lewis, p. 62.

²¹⁴Davis and Whitcomb, p. 120. Note the correspondence between seven groups of pagan gods (v. 6) and seven oppressing nations (v. 11), further suggesting completeness.

²¹⁵Block, *Judges* . . . , pp. 344-45.

²¹⁶Judges 6:1-6 gives the most detailed description of Israel's oppression.

The Lord reminded them, presumably through a prophet, that their behavior had fallen into a pattern of apostasy, oppression, confession, and deliverance (vv. 11-12). God had delivered Israel from many enemies. They were the Egyptians (cf. Exod. 1-14), Amorites (cf. Num. 31:3), Ammonites (3:12-30), Philistines (3:31), Sidonians (ch. 4?), Amalekites (cf. Exod. 17:8-16), and Maunites. The Maunites were probably the Midianites (chs. 6—8), according to the Septuagint. Possibly Israel had defeated each of these nations already during the amphictyony.

We need to understand God's promise to deliver the Israelites "no more" (v. 13) as conditional. He did deliver the nation later (ch. 11).

". . . the emphatic declaration, 'I will deliver you no more,' is to be understood conditionally, in case their idols were kept among them; for the divine threatenings always imply a reserve of mercy to the truly repentant."²¹⁷

Verses 13 and 14 reveal God's "tough love" for Israel (cf. 2:3; 6:8-10).

The genuine confession and repentance of the Israelites and God's compassion for them combined to secure Israel's deliverance eventually (v. 16). God's wayward son, Israel, had broken His heart. These verses illustrate the tension God felt as He loved Israel loyally and yet found it necessary to discipline His first-born.

"The greatness of Jehovah and His intense love for His people is nowhere more evident than in this particular situation [vv. 13-16]."²¹⁸

The writer introduced the battle in which God provided deliverance for His people in verses 17-18. The Ammonites advanced into Gilead from the east, and the Israelites in that area congregated not far from them anticipating conflict. Even though the Israelites had confessed their sin and repented genuinely, they approached this battle carnally. Rather than inquiring of God for strategy the Israelites looked among themselves for a human leader whom they could persuade to lead them by promising him kingship as a reward (cf. 1:1). They were rejecting Yahweh's authority over them by doing this (cf. 1 Sam. 8:7). They soon learned that the man they chose had some glaring weaknesses (cf. King Saul).

3. Deliverance through Jephthah 11:1—12:7

To prepare for the recital of Israel's victory over the Ammonites the writer provided the reader with some background information concerning the man God raised up to lead this deliverance.

²¹⁷Bush, p. 140. Cf. Jon. 3:4.

²¹⁸Davis and Whitcomb, p. 121.

The choice of Jephthah as Gilead's leader 11:1-11

Verses 1-3 provide information about Jephthah's personal background. His name means "He [an unspecified deity] has opened [the womb]." Jephthah lived on the east side of the Jordan River. Unlike Gideon he was a courageous and valient warrior. He was, however, the product of his father's sexual liason with a prostitute, another clue to the moral level in Israel. Evidently Jephthah's grandparents named his father in honor of an ancestor named Gilead, perhaps the man from whom the region of Gilead derived its name.


Today we would say that Jephthah was an abused child (v. 2). His half-brothers rejected him in violation of the Mosaic Law that commanded the Israelites to love their neighbors and outcasts (Lev. 19:33-34; Deut. 10:12-22). David may have suffered the same kind of hostility in his family (cf. Ps. 27:10). One also recalls Jesus' rejection (cf. Isa. 53:3), though we have no reason to believe His parents abused Him.

Jephthah fled to Israel's frontier on the edge of civilization. Tob (v. 3) was between Ammon and Syria northeast of Gilead (cf. 2 Sam. 10:6, 8). The Hebrew term translated "worthless fellows" in the NASB is more accurately "adventurers," as in the NIV. These men were not necessarily evil, but they were wild. Jephthah evidently lived a Robin Hood style of existence.

Jephthah's personal background was quite similar to Abimelech's (8:31—9:4). His character though seems to have been considerably purer in view of what follows. Unlike Abimelech he was more sensitive and submissive to Yahweh.

Jephthah was such a gifted warrior that when the Ammonites threatened Gilead the elders of that region overcame their personal dislike for Jephthah, humbled themselves, and begged him to defend them (vv. 4-6). This story reminds me of a theme that is very common in western movies. The townsfolk drive the young misfit who has grown up among them away because his love of violence makes them uneasy. However when a gang of outlaws threatens the town they send for the gunslinger to save them.

Jephthah's complaint about being appealed to as a last resort reminds us of God's similar words in 10:14. To persuade Jephthah to accept their invitation the elders promised that he would be their leader (sheriff?) and that they would follow his directions in the battle (v. 8).



He acknowledged that if he defeated the Ammonites it would be because the Lord gave them over to him (v. 9). Interestingly, Jephthah used the name of Yahweh more frequently than any other person in Judges. He was a man of faith even though he was a rough character.

The elders of Gilead made a formal public agreement with Jephthah at Mizpah in northern Gilead contracting the conditions of his leading Israel in battle (vv. 10-11). They pinned the sheriff's badge on him. Evidently Jephthah told the Lord about this covenant in prayer.

Notice how the writer of Judges constructed these first 11 verses parallel to 10:6-16. The elders of Gilead had treated Jephthah exactly as Israel had treated Yahweh.

"Theme	Chapter 10	Chapter 11
Rejection	v. 6	vv. 1-3
Distress	vv. 7-9	v. 4
Repentance	v. 10	vv. 5-6
Objection	vv. 11-14	v. 7

"Theme	Chapter 10	Chapter 11
Appeal	vv. 15-16a	v. 8
Acquiescence	v. 16b	vv. 9-11" ²¹⁹

". . . where is God in this complex process of engaging Jephthah? Far from playing the decisive role, as he had in the provision of all the other judges, God is relegated to the role of silent witness to a purely human contract between a desperate people and an ambitious candidate."²²⁰

Jephthah's negotiations with the king of Ammon 11:12-28

Jephthah did not rush into battle but wisely tried to settle the Ammonites' grievance with Israel peacefully. His approach reveals his humility as well as his wisdom. Most men would have wanted to demonstrate their prowess in battle to impress the ones who had expressed confidence in them and to guarantee their future security with a victory. However, Jephthah restrained himself and appealed to the king of Ammon very logically through messengers. He initiated peace-talks rather than launching a war.

Jephthah appealed to the king of Ammon with three arguments. His point was that the Ammonites had no right to Israel's territory east of the Jordan that they were trying to obtain by force. First, he traced the history whereby this territory had come into Israel's possession showing that Ammon had no claim on Gilead (vv. 15-22). Israel had not attacked any territory held by Ammon or Moab when God's people approached the Promised Land in Moses' day. Israel had taken the land in dispute from the Amorites who had previously wrested it from the Ammonites.

Second, he emphasized the fact that Yahweh had given Israel this land. Thus it would have been wrong theologically to allow the Ammonites to take it from them (vv. 23-25).

"Even the pagans recognized that when victory was given by a deity, the victors had full right to possess that territory."²²¹

"Jephthah's theology contains at least one serious flaw: Chemosh was not the patron deity of the Ammonites but of Moab. The divine patron of Ammon was Milkom."²²²

King Balak of Moab had never fought with Israel (Num. 22—24). This powerful king realized that opposing Israel in battle would be futile in view of the power of Israel's God.

²¹⁹Davis, p. 141.

²²⁰Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 356.

²²¹Davis and Whitcomb, p. 123.

²²²Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 361. This mistake could have been inadvertent or intentional and designed to denigrate the Ammonites. See Lindsey, p. 401, for three other possible interpretations.

Third, he appealed logically that Ammon had not tried to take the land she now claimed for 300 years. If she had a legitimate claim on it, she should have tried to secure it long ago (v. 26).

Jephthah's reference to 300 years (v. 26) is an important benchmark in biblical chronology. It had been approximately 300 years since the Israelites had defeated Sihon and captured Heshbon (in 1406 B.C.). Shortly after Jephthah spoke these words he defeated the Ammonites (v. 33; about 1106 B.C.) and ended the 18 year Ammonite oppression (10:8). The Philistine oppression of Israel began at the same time as the Ammonite oppression (10:7; in 1124 B.C.). The Philistines harassed Israel for 40 years (13:1; ca. 1124-1084 B.C.). The dates of the Philistine oppression are important because they provide a framework for the ministries of Eli and Samuel as well as Samson. This time reference along with the one in 1 Kings 6:1 indicates that the Exodus took place about 1446 B.C. rather than about 1280 B.C.²²³

Finally Jephthah called on Yahweh the Judge to judge who had rightful title to the land (v. 27). The Ammonite king disregarded Jephthah's message (v. 28). He obviously believed he could take Gilead in battle.

Jephthah's vow and victory 11:29-33

God's Spirit then clothed Jephthah guaranteeing divine enablement and victory in the approaching encounter with the Ammonite army (v. 29; cf. 3:10; 6:34; 14:6, 19; 1 Sam. 10:10). He travelled through Gilead in the tribal territory of Gad and eastern Manasseh to the north recruiting soldiers. He led his troops back to Mizpah in Gilead (cf. v. 11) and then eastward into Ammon.

Jephthah made a vow before going into battle. He promised that if the Lord would give him victory he would give God whatever came out of the door of his house when he returned from the conflict (vv. 30-31). He would offer this person or animal either as a sacrifice of dedication to the Lord or as a burnt offering of worship (v. 31). The masculine gender of the Hebrew word translated "whatever" can apply to a person or an animal, but he was probably thinking of an animal.

"His negotiations with the elders, his diplomacy with the Ammonites, and his vow, have all amply displayed Jephthah's facility with words. Jephthah, we know, is *good* at opening his mouth. (How ironical that his name means literally 'he opens!'). What has precipitated the crisis with his daughter is that he has opened his mouth to *Yahweh*, that is, he has tried to conduct his relationship with God in the same way that he has conducted

²²³For further discussion of the chronology of Judges, see Merrill, *Kingdom of . . .*, pp. 146-51. Advocates of the 1280 B.C. date of the Exodus take the 300 years as a round number indicating several generations, as they also interpret 1 Kings 6:1, or as a total of overlapping periods.

his relationships with men. He has debased religion (a vow, an offering) into politics."²²⁴

Webb pointed out in the helpful article quoted above that Israel had done the same thing Jephthah did. This tendency to negotiate with God marked and marred her relationship with Yahweh during this period of her history.

The Lord gave Jephthah success in the battle, and he destroyed 20 cities in Ammon. He broke the Ammonites' strong power, so they ceased oppressing Israel (v. 33).

Note the chiasmic structure of verses 29-32. This section begins and ends with the promise and fulfillment of God's giving Jephthah victory. When the Spirit came on him there was no doubt that he would defeat the enemy. The center of the chiasm relates Jephthah's bargaining with God to insure victory. He did not need to make this vow. He had already testified that God had given His people victory in the past (vv. 21, 24). Apparently his faith was not as strong as it might have been, and this weakness led him to seek a guarantee of success by making the vow.

Jephthah's vow reveals that he had a rather unenlightened concept of Yahweh. His commitment to the Lord was strangely strong, but his understanding of God was not Scriptural. He did not know what the Law revealed about Yahweh, or he had forgotten this. His concept of God bears the marks of Canaanite influence. His belief that he needed to bargain with and bribe God to get Him to bless His people was unfortunate (cf. Jer. 29:11). He also believed that Yahweh took pleasure in what hurts people, that He is sadistic. This idea was also inaccurate and pagan. Furthermore he believed that God might abandon him before he finished his battle. God had promised that He would not do this as long as His people trusted and obeyed Him (Deut. 28:1, 7). Jephthah made his tragic vow because he did not have a Scriptural view of God.²²⁵ He should have vowed to offer the inhabitants of the cities he would conquer as sacrifices to God (Num. 21:2).

The secret to Jephthah's success was his essential trust in and obedience to Yahweh. This is always the key to spiritual success. His life teaches us that God can and does use people with all types of backgrounds. God does not produce His instruments with a cookie cutter. Each one is different. He even uses people whom others reject because of their families and lifestyles. He prepares His tools throughout their lives and uses everything in their backgrounds to equip them to conduct a unique ministry for Himself.

The fate of Jephthah's daughter 11:34-40

Verses 1-33 record Jephthah's success. The rest of his story (11:34—12:7) relates his failure. The writer likewise recorded Gideon's success first (6:1—8:23) and then his failure (8:24—9:57). We shall find a similar pattern when we come to Samson's story. As

²²⁴Barry Webb, "The Theme of the Jephthah Story (Judge 10:6—12:7)," *Reformed Theological Review* 45:2 (May-August 1986):42.

²²⁵See Inrig, p. 195.

with Gideon and Samson, Jephthah's failure grew out of his success. In all three of these judges' cases, failure resulted from ignorance of God's Word or disregard of it.

God gave us little information about the personal lives of the first three major judges, namely, Othniel, Ehud, and Deborah. He gave us much more personal information about the last three major judges: Gideon, Jephthah, and Samson. This selection of material helps us appreciate the deterioration that took place in Israel during the judges' period as God's people did what was right in their own eyes (21:25).

When Jephthah returned home from battle, his only child, a daughter, greeted him gleefully (v. 34). The writer's description of her recalls Miriam's joy and dancing after the Lord gave the Israelites victory over their Egyptian pursuers (Exod. 15:20). Her joy became Jephthah's grief (v. 35). He falsely blamed her for his sorrow (cf. 1 Kings 18:17-18). Really he was responsible for it because of his vow to God (vv. 30-31). "Given my word" is a play on words (vv. 35-36). Jephthah's name means "he opens" and "given my word" is literally "opened my mouth." Jephthah evidently believed that to go back on his vow to God would involve a denial of his integrity, his very name. He felt he would be denying everything he believed in and stood for.

Jephthah believed he could not get out of his vow (v. 35). Unfortunately he did not know or had forgotten that God had made provision for His people to redeem things they had vowed to give Him. Leviticus 27:1-8 told the Israelites that if they vowed someone or something to God and then wanted it back they could pay a stated ransom price and buy it back. Even though Jephthah had great zeal for God, it was a zeal without knowledge. Had he known the Word of God he could have avoided sacrificing his daughter. With his vow he sought to secure his present, but through it he ended up sacrificing his future.²²⁶

"Although the present story ends with the death of the young girl, her father is the tragic figure, presenting a pathetic picture of stupidity, brutality, ambition, and self-centeredness. Ironically, the one who appeared to have become master of his own fate has become a victim of his own rash word. . . . The man who had tried to manipulate Yahweh to guarantee his 'peace' (*shalom*) is doomed by the one whose life he was willing to sacrifice for his own well-being."²²⁷

The submission of Jephthah's daughter was as commendable as it was tragic. She did not know Leviticus 27 either, but she submitted as an obedient child (cf. Gen. 22). She too believed that the Lord had given her father the victory over the Ammonites (v. 36).

²²⁶Contrast the outcome of Abraham's sacrifice of Isaac (Gen. 22). He secured a hope and a future whereas Jephthah lost both.

²²⁷Block, *Judges* . . . , pp. 372-73.

There are primarily two possible interpretations of the fate of Jephthah's daughter as the record of Jephthah's fulfilling his vow unfolds in this section of verses.²²⁸

1. Jephthah offered her as a human sacrifice (burnt offering) to Yahweh.²²⁹

The more important arguments in favor of this interpretation are as follows.

- a. Jephthah's desolation when his daughter greeted him points to an ultimate sacrifice (v. 35).
- b. The fact that she received a two-month reprieve before Jephthah carried out his vowed action suggests that she died (vv. 37-38).
- c. The institution of a four-day annual feast in Israel as a result of her fate argues for her death (v. 40).
- d. Until the Middle Ages this was the uniform interpretation of the commentators.
- e. The writer said the Israelites worshipped the gods of Ammon and Moab (10:10), and the leaders of these nations sacrificed children (2 Kings 3:27).

The rebuttals to these points are these.

- a. Jephthah naturally would have been very sorry that his daughter met him rather than some animal. He had only one heir, and she could not now perpetuate his family in Israel.
- b. The two-month reprieve would have been appropriate if she left his home from then on for a life of perpetual service at the tabernacle. She mourned because she would live as a virgin, not die a virgin.
- c. The Israelites established the feast because she so admirably submitted to the will of her father and God. Moreover she was the daughter of a famous judge in Israel.
- d. The antiquity of an interpretation does not guarantee its accuracy.

²²⁸One of the best discussions of this issue that I have found is by Robert D. Culver, "Did Jephthah Really Slay His Daughter and Offer Her Body as a Burnt Offering?" *The Evangelical Christian* 55:2 (February 1959):69-70.

²²⁹Advocates of this view include Josephus, 5:7:10; several early church fathers; Davis, p. 147; F. F. Bruce, "Judges," in *New Bible Commentary*, p. 250; Cundall and Morris, p. 148; Bright, p. 159; Davis and Whitcomb, p. 128; Matthew Henry, *Commentary on the Whole Bible*, 2:197; Wolf, p. 456; Lewis, p. 68; J. Gray, p. 319; Block, *Judges . . .*, pp. 367-68; et al.

2. Jephthah dedicated her to the service of Yahweh at the tabernacle where she ministered from then on as a virgin.²³⁰

Some of the stronger arguments in favor of this view are these.

- a. The text allows this possibility. The words and expressions used do not require a human sacrifice.
- b. God specifically forbade human sacrifice in the Mosaic Law and called it an abomination in His sight (Lev. 18:21; 20:2-5; Deut. 12:31; 18:10). That a judge in Israel such as Jephthah would have practiced it is unthinkable.
- c. There is no record that the Israelites made human sacrifices until the godless kings Ahab and Manasseh introduced them many years later.
- d. The writer did not picture Jephthah as a rash person who would impetuously or desperately promise God such a sacrifice (cf. vv. 9-11, 12-27).

The responses to these arguments that critics of this view have made are as follows.

- a. Human sacrifice is the normal implication of the terms used in the passage.
- b. Jephthah violated the Mosaic Law as did other of Israel's judges (e.g., Gideon's multiple marriages, Samson's violations of his Nazirite vow, etc.).
- c. This could be the first human sacrifice the Israelites offered that God recorded in Scripture. The king of Moab later offered his crown prince as a human sacrifice to assure victory in battle, so this pagan practice may have influenced Jephthah (cf. 2 Kings 3:27).
- d. Jephthah's background suggests that he was a rash person. He might have resorted to such an extreme measure to secure victory and acceptance by the Gileadites (cf. vv. 1-3).

I believe Jephthah offered his daughter as a human sacrifice. A few years later Saul also made a foolish vow and almost slew his son Jonathan (1 Sam. 14:39, 44-45). The only thing that prevented that tragedy was the intervention of the Israelites. Ignorance of God's Word is not only unfortunate, it is also dangerous.

²³⁰Advocates of this view include Keil and Delitzsch, p. 338; Feinberg, p. 6; Wood, *Distressing Days . . .*, p. 288-95; et al.

"Long neglect of the Mosaic law had left the Israelites with many mistaken notions about God's will."²³¹

Jephthah's battle with the Ephraimites 12:1-7

The writer's emphasis now shifts from Jephthah's foolishness to Ephraim's arrogance.

The Ephraimites were the Gileadites' neighbors to the west. They resented the fact that Jephthah had not requested their assistance in the war with the Ammonites. We noted earlier that the Ephraimites considered themselves superior to their brethren in some respects (cf. 8:1). They foolishly threatened to punish Jephthah for this affront (v. 1).

"Why should the Ephraimites complain about a victory accomplished through God's intervention for the benefit of all the tribes? It was a strange jealousy that spurred on Ephraim."²³²

Jephthah opened his mouth wisely again and replied that he had indeed requested their help, but they had not responded (v. 2). This did not satisfy the Ephraimites, however, who mobilized a large fighting force to teach the Gileadites a lesson. These proud Israelites wanted to dominate, to control, and to receive recognition among their brethren. They evidently regarded the Gileadites as "fugitives" (v. 4) because they had settled east of the Jordan River.

"As is so often the case, internal disputes broke out after the common enemy was subdued. The main issue appears to be Jephthah's unilateral action in Transjordan. However, a much more serious issue is apparent, a developing independence among the tribes east of the Jordan. The conflict between the Ephraimites and the Gileadites is a sad commentary on the lack of Israelite unity in this period."²³³

When the Ephraimites had confronted Gideon, he responded with psychology (8:1-3). Jephthah was a different kind of person from Gideon, however. He responded with a sword. Jephthah was a nobody, and nobodies are unimpressed with people who think they are somebodies, as the Ephraimites did.

In the battle that followed east of the Jordan, 42,000 Ephraimites (or 42 military units) suffered defeat, a high price for jealousy. The Gileadites stopped those who tried to flee back home at the fords of the Jordan. The Ephraimites' accent did not permit them to say *shibboleth* (meaning "ear of corn" or "flowing stream") normally.²³⁴ In this way the Gileadite soldiers identified the fleeing Ephraimites.

²³¹Wolf, p. 381.

²³²Ibid., p. 458.

²³³Monson, p. 187.

²³⁴Similarly during World War II, the Nazis identified Russian Jews by the way they pronounced "kookoorooza," the Russian word for corn. Wolf, p. 458.

"Here is graphic evidence that language distinctions had begun to mark the rapidly widening division of the nation."²³⁵

Unfortunately Jephthah treated his own brethren, the Ephraimites, as he had dealt with Israel's enemy, the Ammonites. He unleashed his zeal and took vengeance far out of proportion to what might have been legitimate.

Jephthah served as a judge in Israel probably just over the Transjordanian tribes. He did so for six years after his victory over the Ammonites and his appointment by the elders of Gilead.

"Gideon was a weak man who was transformed into a fearless warrior. Jephthah was a valiant warrior. Because of his tragic family life, he had to become strong to survive. The story of his life is of God taking a strong man, and, by His Spirit, turning him into a usable man. Whatever our strengths and weaknesses, the secret of our usefulness is our availability to our God."²³⁶

Earlier we saw that Gideon's failure had bad consequences for his nation (ch. 8) and for him personally (ch. 9). Likewise Jephthah's failure had bad consequences for him personally (ch. 11) and for his nation (ch. 12). We shall see that Samson's failure also had bad consequences for his nation and himself (ch. 16). The bad personal consequences Gideon experienced involved the premature death of his 70 sons. Jephthah's personal tragedy involved the premature death of his only daughter. Samson himself died prematurely (cf. Rom. 6:23).

Gideon's failure was compromise with idolatry. The appeal of the world, Gideon's cultural environment, brought him down. Jephthah's failure was ignorance of or inattention to God's Word. In the record of Satan's temptations in Scripture he always sought to get people to doubt, deny, disobey, or disregard what God had said (cf. Gen. 3; Matt. 4). Jephthah fell before Satanic attack. Samson's failure was indulging his fleshly appetites. These three major judges all experienced success, but they also failed. Each of the three major sources of temptation was responsible for the failure of one of them. All three judges failed to follow God fully. Each one turned aside to self-will. All three represent Israel in the period of the judges. Moreover all three are typical of all believers. They experienced a measure of spiritual success, but they also failed for the same reasons we fail.

4. The judgeships of Ibzan, Elon, and Abdon 12:8-15

These three men were quite clearly successors of Jephthah (vv. 8, 11, 13). Probably Ibzan followed Jephthah (v. 8), and then Elon and Abdon succeeded Ibzan (vv. 11, 13). The

²³⁵Merrill, *Kingdom of . . .*, pp. 172-73. Cf. Daniel I. Block, "The Role of Language in Ancient Israelite Perceptions of National Identity," *Journal of Biblical Literature* 103:3 (September 1984):339, n. 75.

²³⁶Inrig, p. 189.

writer noted no special deeds of theirs either because they performed none or because he chose not to feature them in his narrative.

Ibzan's judgeship 12:8-10

Ibzan, whose name comes from a root meaning "swift," was notable for his 30 sons and 30 daughters, a sign of prestige and wealth in the ancient Near East (cf. 8:30). He contrasts with Jephthah who had only one daughter. Apparently Ibzan was a polygamist, and Jephthah was not. His "marriages probably cemented clan alliances and extended the scope of his political influence."²³⁷ Ibzan lived in Bethlehem of Zebulun. The writer always identified Bethlehem of Judah as Bethlehem of Judah elsewhere in the text of Judges.

Elon's judgeship 12:11-12

Elon, meaning "oak, terebinth," also lived in Zebulun though archaeologists have not yet discovered his town, Aijalon.

Abdon's judgeship 12:13-15

Abdon (lit. service or servant) lived in the hill country of Ephraim. Pirathon was west and a little south of Shechem. He too had many sons and daughters who rode on donkeys reflecting Abdon's prestige and the peace that prevailed when he judged (cf. 10:4).

The fact that several of the judges fathered large families points to their living like the eastern potentates of their day. This is further evidence that Canaanite culture was influencing the Israelites adversely. The judges' lives evidence mixed success and failure.

F. THE SIXTH APOSTASY CHS. 13—16

"From chapters 13 to 18, the author concentrates on the tribe of Dan, which had been one of the largest and most prominent tribes during the wilderness march (Num 2:25-31). In the period of the judges, however, Dan seemed helpless against the Amorites (1:34) and moved northward to find new territory (chs. 17—18). Contrasted with these failures are the exploits of Samson, whose personal achievements are detailed in four chapters. Yet his own life was a strange mixture of the strength and weakness that epitomized the tragic conditions within the tribe itself."²³⁸

1. Samson's birth ch. 13

The purpose of this chapter is to show how the Lord provided the Israelites with a deliverer from the Philistine oppression.

²³⁷Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 389.

²³⁸Wolf, p. 460.


The Philistine oppression 13:1

The translation "again did" in verse 1 implies that the Philistine oppression followed the Ammonite oppression chronologically. However the Hebrew idiom these words translate does not necessarily mean that. It can also mean, and in view of 10:6-7 must mean, the Israelites "continued to do" evil. The Philistines and the Ammonites began oppressing Israel at approximately the same time (ca. 1124-1123 B.C.).²³⁹

"More than any previous agent of deliverance . . . Samson demonstrates that the divinely chosen leaders were part of Israel's problem rather than a lasting solution."²⁴⁰

The present 40-year oppression by the Philistines did not end until Samuel, also a judge (1 Sam. 7:6), defeated them at Ebenezer (1 Sam. 7; ca. 1084 B.C.). Samson only began to deliver Israel from the Philistines (v. 5).

Chronology of 1 & 2 Samuel



²³⁹See Robert G. Boling, *Judges*, p. 85.

²⁴⁰Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 392.

I have already referred to the antagonism of the Philistines on Israel's southwestern flank (3:31; 10:7). This nation continued to increase in power during the period of the judges and became Israel's major enemy by the end of the amphictyony and the beginning of the monarchy.

The Philistines were, ". . . a powerful sea people that settled in the coastal strip in S.W. Palestine, extending along the Mediterranean from Joppa to S. of Gaza . . . about 50 miles long and 15 miles wide. . . .

"The Philistines are said to have come from Caphtor [Crete] (Amos 9:7; Jer. 47:4; cf. Deut. 2:23). . . .

"The Philistines were a non-Semitic people. . . . They appear as a tall, Hellenic-looking people. . . .

"Their power and threat to Israel were due to a large extent to their political organization. It consisted of a league of five great cities [Gaza, Ekron, Ashdod, Ashkelon, and Gath]. . . .

"Besides their warlike nature, effective political organization and economic power, as the result of the fertile farming section they inhabited, Philistine militarism, which was a continual threat to Israel, was explainable by their early control of the iron monopoly. Iron came into widespread use in Palestine around 1200 B.C. Philistines knew the secret of smelting it, which they evidently got from the Hittites. They were able to import, smelt, and forge iron and made use of various iron military weapons. By enforcing a rigid monopoly over Israel, the Philistines were able to make great strides in military encroachments upon Israelite territory [cf. 1 Sam. 13:19-22]. . . .

"The Philistines were intensely religious. They celebrated their victories in the 'house of their idols' (1 Sam. 31:9) [cf. Judg. 16:23-27]. . . . Dagon . . . 'fish' was represented with the hands and face of a man and the tail of a fish. . . . To . . . him they offered thanksgiving when they had taken Samson (Judg. 16:23, 24)."²⁴¹

The Philistines evidently lived in Canaan in small numbers as early as the patriarchal period (Gen. 21:32; 26:1; cf. Deut. 2:23). However their major migration into Canaan took place in the first quarter of the twelfth century B.C. (1200—1175 B.C.).²⁴² This would have made them more recent settlers in Canaan than the Israelites. Samson evidently began his judgeship about 1105 B.C.²⁴³ The Philistines continued to frustrate

²⁴¹*Unger's Bible Dictionary*, s.v. "Philistines," by Merrill F. Unger, pp. 859-61.

²⁴²John Garstang, *The Foundations of Bible History: Joshua, Judges*, p. 287. See Trude Dothan, "What We Know about the Philistines," *Biblical Archaeology Review* 8:4 (July-August 1982):20-44.

²⁴³Washburn, p. 424, argued that Samson was roughly contemporary with Jephthah and Gideon, which would place the beginning of his judgeship earlier. He based his view on the fact that the writer recorded

the Israelites until David subjugated them early in his reign (ca. 1004 B.C.; 2 Sam. 5:17-25). However, the Philistines continued to oppose the Israelites until the Babylonian Captivity removed both people groups from the land (cf. Isa. 14:29-32; Jer. 47; Ezek. 25:15-17; Amos 1:6-8).

The land of the Philistines became known as Philistia. Palestine is a Greek word that comes from Philistia. The Roman emperor Hadrian (A.D. 117-138) gave Canaan the name Palestine.

Note that the writer recorded no Israelite cry for help from their Philistine oppressors. Later we shall see that the Israelites did not cooperate with Samson in opposing this enemy. The Philistines appear to have been attractive neighbors. The Israelites cooperated with them readily instead of opposing them and driving them from the Promised Land.


The announcement of Samson's birth 13:2-7

The Angel of the Lord again appeared (cf. 6:11). This time He announced to a barren Danite woman that she would bear a son (cf. Gen. 16:11; Luke 1:26-38). Samson's birth by a barren woman indicated God's supernatural provision of him for a special purpose.²⁴⁴ You may remember that Sarah, Rebekah, Rachel, Hannah, and Elizabeth were all barren too. Mary the mother of Jesus also experienced a supernatural conception and birth. Each of these mothers produced a remarkable son.

"What does he [God] do when he has a people who refuse to forsake Baal and have no desire to forsake Philistia? A people grown so used to bondage they don't even have sense to call out for relief? At least here the very God who judges them (v. 1b) begins to work their deliverance—anyway (vv. 2-5). That is grace—grace greater than all our sin, than all our stupidity, than all our density."²⁴⁵

The appearance of the Angel of the Lord always marked a very significant event in Israel's history. The only other birth He announced was Isaac's (Gen. 18:1, 10). Samson would have an unusual opportunity to serve God.

Samson's parents were to rear him as a Nazirite from his birth (cf. Num. 6:1-21). Normally



no rest period that preceded the beginning of Samson's judgeship (13:1). He saw a continuation of the conflict with the Philistines mentioned in 10:7. These arguments seem weak to me.

²⁴⁴The meaning of Samson's name may derive from *shemesh* ("sun") and the diminutive ending *on*, meaning "little sun" or "sunny boy." Another view is that it connects with Beth-Shemesh, a nearby town. Lewis, p. 76.

²⁴⁵Davis, p. 160.

Israelites assumed the Nazirite vow voluntarily and temporarily. Three laws governed the person under a Nazirite vow in addition to the other Mosaic laws. He was to eat nothing that the grapevine produced, he was to let his hair grow, and he was to refrain from contact with a dead body. This vow placed the Nazirite in a position of separation to God in a special sense (Num. 6:2). Abstinence from wine would have freed Samson from bondage to that drink so he could operate under the control of God's Spirit consistently (cf. Eph. 5:18). Long hair was important because it was a public testimony to the Nazirite's separation to God. Contact with a corpse precluded worship at Israel's central sanctuary temporarily, but no contact with dead bodies would enable the Nazirite to have unbroken fellowship with God.

Samson's mother was to observe certain precautions during her pregnancy to safeguard her special child (cf. Heb. 11:23 NIV). "Wine" came from grapes, but "strong drink" (Heb. *shakar*) was the product of other fruits and grains. We know that the physical condition of a pregnant woman can affect her unborn child. For example, heroin addicts bear babies that need that drug. God did not want Samson under any other influence except Himself even from his conception.

Samson was to live as a Nazirite because God would "begin" to deliver Israel from the Philistines through him (v. 5). Samuel and David would complete this task (1 Sam. 7:10-14; 2 Sam. 5:17-25). Samson proved unfaithful in his separation to God. John the Baptist, another Nazirite from birth, was faithful. He shows us what Samson could have become if he had valued his opportunity to serve God and had preserved his dedication to God.

Evidently Manoah's wife assumed that the Angel was a prophet (v. 6).

The revelations of the Angel 13:8-23

God sent His Angel to revisit Manoah and his wife because they voiced questions in prayer about how they should rear Samson (v. 8), his way of life (v. 12), and his vocation (v. 12). Their desire to bring their son up according to God's will was commendable. Samson's parents were similar to Samuel's in this respect (cf. 1 Sam. 1:27-28; 2:19).

Evidently Manoah also assumed that the Angel of the Lord was a prophet (v. 17). The Angel told Manoah that His name was "wonderful" (Heb. *pil'i*, v. 18, "beyond understanding," NIV).

"The word . . . is not the proper name of the angel of the Lord, but expresses the character of the name; and as the name simply denotes the nature, it expresses the peculiarity of his nature also. It is to be understood in an absolute sense—'absolutely and supremely wonderful' (*Seb. Schmidt*) . . ." ²⁴⁶

The idea here is that the Angel said Manoah and his wife could not fully comprehend the significance of who He was (cf. Exod. 3:13-14; Isa. 9:6; Ps. 139:6). Though we can

²⁴⁶Keil and Delitzsch, p. 407.

apprehend God to some extent, we cannot fully comprehend Him. Sinful mortals cannot appreciate fully all there is to know about God even with the aid of the revelation He has given us.

As Gideon had done, Manoah prepared a sacrifice to God in appreciation for this special revelation (cf. 6:19-24).²⁴⁷ He and his wife finally realized that they had been talking with the Angel of the Lord when He arose heavenward in the flame from the blazing sacrifice on the altar (v. 20). He did something "wonderful" for them. They fell on their faces in worship and out of fear of the Lord.

"Manoah was the first to speak but the last to recover his composure, reflecting the widely-held belief that if a man saw God he would die (Ex. 33:20; Jdg. 6:22, 23)."²⁴⁸

Interestingly, Manoah reacted hysterically, but his wife was more logical and objective (cf. Elkanah and Hannah).

Samson's earliest years 13:24-25

Finally Samson, the savior, was born. Samson's name means the strong (daring) one.²⁴⁹ The Spirit of God came on Samson equipping him for his ministry. This is the only birth narrative in Judges and one of the few that appears in the Bible. It is significant because it shows the unique and gracious opportunity that God gave Samson to deliver his nation. God raised up the other judges, but He grew Samson. He could have been a hero such as Elijah who began to turn the Israelites back to the Lord in a day of dark apostasy. However, as we shall see, Samson failed to appreciate his privilege and so lost his opportunity.

"Contrasted with Jephthah, Samson had every advantage as a boy. His birth was predicted by an angel; he had godly parents who loved him greatly; he was uniquely dedicated to God as a Nazirite; and he experienced the power of God's Spirit as a young man. Despite all these favorable factors, Samson's life as it unfolds in the next three chapters is marked by tragedy."²⁵⁰

By recording the stories of Jephthah and Samson, the writer made clear that initial home environment is not absolutely determinative. One's life unfolds from his or her personal choices more than because of family heritage.

²⁴⁷See Block, *Judges . . .*, p. 411, for a table of 11 comparisons between Gideon's sacrifice in 6:17-24 and Samson's in 13:15-23. The similarities suggest that the writer wanted the reader to interpret Samson's sacrifice in the light of Gideon's.

²⁴⁸Cundall and Morris, p. 160.

²⁴⁹The Old Testament records more instances of mothers naming their children, as here, than fathers doing so. Block, *Judges . . .*, p. 419, believed Samson's mother named him "Little Sun" ("Sunny Boy") in honor of a Canaanite god.

²⁵⁰Wolf, p. 465.

"The pressures which Samson faced make him a contemporary figure. Twentieth-century Christians face the danger of assimilation, of being slowly and imperceptibly squeezed into the mold of the world around us. Therefore, what God did with and through Samson has a special meaning for our times."²⁵¹

Samson's life and ministry constitute one of the strangest enigmas in Bible history.

"The life and acts of *Samson* . . . are described . . . with an elaborate fulness which seems quite out of proportion to the help and deliverance which he brought to his people. . . . And whilst the small results that followed from the acts of this hero of God do not answer the expectations that might naturally be formed from the miraculous announcements of his birth, the nature of the acts which he performed appears still less to be such as we should expect from a hero impelled by the Spirit of God. His actions not only bear the stamp of adventure, foolhardiness, and willfulness, when looked at outwardly, but they are almost all associated with love affairs; so that it looks as if Samson had dishonored and fooled away the gift entrusted to him, by making it subservient to his sensual lusts, and thus had prepared the way for his own ruin, without bringing any essential help to his people. . . . In the case of Samson this consecration of the life to God [which was undertaken with the Nazirite vow] was not an act of his own free will, or a vow voluntarily taken; but it was imposed upon him by divine command from his conception and birth. . . . Samson was to exhibit to his age generally a picture on the one hand of the strength which the people of God might acquire to overcome their strongest foes through faithful submission to the Lord their God, and on the other hand of the weakness into which they had sunk through unfaithfulness to the covenant and intercourse with the heathen. And it is in this typical character of Samson and his deeds that we find the head and flower of the institution of judge in Israel. . . .

"But just as his strength depended upon the faithful observance of his vow, so his weakness became apparent in his natural character, particularly in his intrigues with the daughters of the Philistines; and in this weakness there was reflected the natural character of the nation generally, and of its constant disposition to fraternize with the heathen. . . . The power of the Spirit of God, bestowed upon the judges for the deliverance of their people, was overpowered by the might of the flesh lusting against the spirit.

"This special call received from God will explain the peculiarities observable in the acts which he performed,—not only the smallness of the

²⁵¹Inrig, p. 207.

outward results of his heroic acts, but the character of adventurous boldness by which they were distinguished."²⁵²

2. Samson's intended marriage to the Timnite ch. 14

Chapter 13 describes Samson's potential: his godly heritage, supernatural birth, calling in life, and divine enablement. The Israelites enjoyed each of these privileges, as does every Christian. Chapter 14 reveals Samson's problem and God's providence.

"Despite all these advantages and this special attention, Samson accomplishes less on behalf of his people than any of his predecessors. Perhaps herein lies his significance. . . . Though Samson is impressive as an individual, he turns out to be anything but a military hero. He never leads Israel out in battle; he never engages the Philistines in martial combat; he never experiences a military victory. All his accomplishments are personal; all his victories, private."²⁵³

Samson's decision to marry a Philistine 14:1-4

Timnah was only about four miles southwest of Zorah. The word "woman" in verse 2 is in the emphatic position in the Hebrew text. Samson described her to his parents as the ideal woman from his viewpoint. Dating was unknown in Samson's culture. The parents of young people contacted each other and arranged for their children to meet and eventually to marry.

Samson's godly parents' response to his desire was undoubtedly a mixture of brokenhearted grief and anger. Instead of opposing the Philistines he now wanted to ally with them in marriage. His intention reveals disregard for his divine calling in life (13:5). The reference to this woman as an "uncircumcised Philistine" stresses the fact that she was an unbeliever. Circumcision was the rite that identified believers in God's promises to Abraham (Gen. 17). It was inappropriate for Israel's deliverer to marry someone who did not share a common faith and purpose with God's people (2 Cor. 6:14).

"Mixed marriages were uniformly disastrous early (Gen 26:34-35) and late (Neh 13:27) in Israel's history. Moreover, the Philistines were the one nation near Israel that did not practice circumcision of any kind. In Egypt, Moab, and elsewhere, circumcision was often associated with reaching puberty or with premarital rites; but at least it was circumcision."²⁵⁴

Evidently the appeal of this woman was her external appearance only. Verses 2 and 3 paint Samson as a very strong-willed child.

²⁵²Keil and Delitzsch, pp. 399-402.

²⁵³Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 420.

²⁵⁴Wolf, p. 466.

"It is true that the only marriages expressly prohibited in Ex. xxxiv. 16 and Deut. vii. 3, 4, are marriages with Canaanite women; but the reason assigned for this prohibition was equally applicable to marriages with daughters of the Philistines."²⁵⁵

Samson's parents viewed his plan to marry the woman as unwise, but it was "of the Lord." This means that God permitted it though it was not a marriage that He preferred. It did not violate the Mosaic Law, and it was a situation God would use to punish the Philistines (v. 4; cf. v. 19). This fact did not mitigate Samson's guilt, but it shows how God providentially overrules human folly and brings His will to pass in spite of it (cf. Ps. 76:10).

"Judges 14:4 is not only shocking, but it is also the key to chaps. 14—15. Accordingly, although Yahweh is largely absent from the narrative, in one way or another his agenda is being achieved in Samson's life. At the same time, while Yahweh's agenda is being achieved, the course of Samson's life is all downhill, a fact reflected by the fivefold repetition of the verb *yarad*, 'to go down' (14:1, 5, 7, 19; 15:8)."²⁵⁶

Samson's disregard of God's grace 14:5-9

The first recorded indication of Samson's superhuman strength is his ability to tear the lion apart with his bare hands (v. 6). A young lion tried to leap on Samson (v. 5), but instead the Spirit of the Lord leaped upon him (v. 6). The writer probably intended this incident to show Samson that God could empower him to dismember the Philistines. However, Samson did not abandon his plan to marry the Timnite but proceeded down to her home to continue his courtship. Even though God strengthened Samson, he used that strength for his own purposes, not to fulfill God's will.²⁵⁷

"Like bees in a carcass, Israel was to inhabit a country of idolaters, a country that became habitable for God's community only through the death of God's enemies."²⁵⁸

Bees normally do not inhabit cadavers; flies and maggots do. This unusual situation provided a temptation and a test of Samson's character. When he scraped the honey out of the lion's carcass with his hand (v. 9), he broke part of his Nazirite vow. Nazirites were not to touch dead bodies (Num. 6:6). He thought so little of his privileged position as separated to Yahweh that he forfeited some of that separate condition. Perhaps he did not tell his godly parents about the honey because he knew that he would have disappointed them by breaking his vow. By giving them some of the unclean honey without telling

²⁵⁵Ibid., p. 409.

²⁵⁶Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 422.

²⁵⁷Note David's very different reaction to God's enabling him to kill a lion and a bear in 1 Samuel 17:34-37.

²⁵⁸Martin Emmrich, "The Symbolism of the Lion and the Bees: Another Ironic Twist in the Samson Cycle," *Journal of the Evangelical Theological Society* 44:1 (March 2001):70.

them that it was unclean, Samson callously led them into defilement. His parents had previously sanctified him, but now he desecrated them.

Samson's further willful behavior 14:10-14

It was customary among the Philistines for a seven-day feast to precede the actual wedding ceremony (v. 10). In Samson's case the groom provided this feast, and it took place at the bride's home. It is most probable that during this seven-day feast Samson drank wine. Drinking was a standard activity at this type of celebration, especially among the pagans. Since he had previously disregarded the Nazirite prohibition against touching a corpse, it is likely that he also broke the prohibition against drinking wine (Num. 6:4). If this is true, Samson indulged his desire for drink as well as food (v. 9) even though that affected his separated relationship with God.

The bride's family invited 30 guests to the feast (v. 11). They were evidently proud of their prospective son-in-law. He fit into Philistine society quite comfortably. It was also common in ancient times for people to propound riddles as entertainment (v. 12).²⁵⁹

The "linen wraps" (v. 12) were "large rectangular pieces of fine linen which were worn next to the body by day or by night."²⁶⁰ The Hebrew word translated "clothes" means festal garments, namely, garments for very special occasions that were quite expensive and very beautiful. We now discover that Samson not only lusted after women and food and drink but clothing as well. Fancy clothes were items that connoted wealth and status in the ancient world (cf. Gen. 45:22; 2 Kings 5:22). If Samson owned 30 fine changes of clothing, he would have been wealthy indeed.

Samson's losses 14:15-20

The writer called the Timnite Samson's wife even though the engaged couple had not yet consummated their marriage (v. 15).

"The usual length of a [wedding] celebration was seven days and the marriage was not consummated until the end of that period."²⁶¹

Samson's loyalty to his parents above his "wife" is understandable since he had not yet consummated his marriage to her (v. 16).

Samson's "wife" was afraid that her guests would kill her and her family because of Samson's riddle.²⁶² Ironically, Samson could have defended her and her family easily

²⁵⁹Keil and Delitzsch, p. 411. For an evaluation of various interpretations of Samson's riddle, see Philip Nel, "The Riddle of Samson (Judg 14,14.18)," *Biblica* 66:4 (1985):534-45. The same Hebrew word, *hidot*, occurs in 1 Kings 10:1 where we read that the Queen of Sheba tested Solomon with "difficult questions."

²⁶⁰Cundall and Morris, p. 166.

²⁶¹*Ibid.*, pp. 165-65.

²⁶²See Harry Torcszyner, "The Riddle in the Bible," *Hebrew Union College Annual* 1 (1924):125-49.

with his great strength. Evidently the Philistines thought she had some part in proposing the riddle and either knew the answer to it or could find out what the answer was.

Samson "could not withstand the corrosive influence of three or four days of weeping."²⁶³

He finally told her the answer, and she then passed it on to the Philistines in a misguided attempt to protect herself and her father's household.

"In calling her a 'heifer' he was ridiculing her for her untamed and stubborn spirit (cf. Jer. 50:11; Hosea 4:16)."²⁶⁴

Perhaps to avoid recognition or to preclude having vengeance taken on him by the Philistines in Timnah, Samson trekked down to Ashkelon 23 miles southwest of Timnah. There he killed 30 Philistine men and took their clothes as booty. He gave these garments to the wedding guests and went back home to Zorah in disgust without claiming his bride who had deceived him.

The writer said God's Spirit motivated Samson to slaughter the 30 Philistines in Ashkelon (v. 19). Samson was not just taking personal revenge for what his Timnite guests had done to him. He was perhaps unwittingly fulfilling his role as a judge in Israel by slaying the enemies of God's people. This was an act of holy war though Samson appears to have carried it out with carnal vengeance. He did God's will but for the wrong reason. God had chosen Samson as His instrument to begin defeating the Philistines, and He would use him for that purpose even though Samson was a reluctant servant. Thus we see God's providence overcoming the problem that Samson posed.

It was after Samson had paid his debt of 30 garments that the text says he became angry. The object of his wrath here was his "wife," not the Philistines.

Samson did not intend to abandon his plan to marry the Timnite (15:1-2). He went back home to let his anger cool.

"... instead of looking at the wrong by which Samson felt himself aggrieved, and trying to mitigate his wrath, the parents of the woman made the breach irreparable by giving their daughter as a wife to his companion."²⁶⁵

Samson's self-will ironically yielded no satisfaction for him. By disregarding his God-given privileges he lost his bet with the Philistines, his wardrobe, his wife, and his honor. Samson's basic problem was that he did not submit to God's authority over his life. This authority problem manifested itself first in his refusal to submit to his parents' authority (v. 3; cf. 17:6; 21:25). Samson did not exercise self-discipline. He let his passions control

²⁶³Cundall and Morris, p. 166.

²⁶⁴Lindsey, p. 405.

²⁶⁵Keil and Delitzsch, p. 413.

him (cf. 1 Cor. 9:27). Self-discipline is essentially a matter of submission to God's authority, not a matter of self-denial. Separation is essentially unto God, not just from things.

3. Samson's vengeance on the Philistines ch. 15

Samson's weaknesses dominate chapter 14, but his strengths shine forth in chapter 15.

Samson's revenge on the Timnites 15:1-8

Wheat harvest took place in late May or early June in this part of Palestine.²⁶⁶ Samson's anger had cooled, and he decided to return to Timnah and arrange for the completion of his marriage. Instead of flowers or candy he took a young goat as a gift for his fiancée. The woman's father, however, claimed that he was sure Samson so thoroughly hated his daughter because of her betrayal that he would never want to marry her. Whether this was the real reason he gave her to another man is not clear. He may have simply wanted to avoid losing face. In any case Samson believed treachery had motivated his act. He must have realized that his treatment of the 30 Philistines in Ashkelon (14:19) was blameworthy since he announced that what he was about to do would be blameless (v. 4). He was about to embark on holy war.

"Samson regarded the treatment he had received from his father-in-law as but one effect of the disposition of the Philistines generally toward the Israelites, and therefore resolved to revenge the wrong which he had received from one member of the Philistines upon the whole nation, or at all events upon the whole of the city of Timnah."²⁶⁷

"His words indicate that he felt completely justified in such vindictive action."²⁶⁸

The word translated "foxes" (v. 4) probably refers to jackals. Foxes are solitary animals, but jackals run in packs and are relatively easy to capture.

"The burning of *standing corn* was a common method of retaliation or revenge in the ancient world and its effect in an agricultural community was very serious [cf. 2 Sam. 14:30]."²⁶⁹

"Samson is a man with a higher calling than any other deliverer in the book, but he spends his whole life 'doing his own thing.'"²⁷⁰

²⁶⁶Cundall and Morris, p. 168.

²⁶⁷Keil and Delitzsch, p. 413.

²⁶⁸Cundall and Morris, p. 168.

²⁶⁹Ibid., p. 169. Cf. 2 Sam. 14:29-32.

²⁷⁰Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 441.

The fate that Samson's "wife" sought to avoid by betraying him overtook her after all (cf. 14:15). The Philistines presumably burned the house down with the woman and her parents inside (v. 6).

The Philistines' act of revenge on his "wife" simply added more fuel to the desire for revenge that was already burning within Samson (v. 7). Evidently he loved the Timnite woman. He proceeded to avenge her death by killing many more of the Philistines (v. 8). Then he took refuge in a cave nearby.

Samson's treatment by the Judahites 15:9-13

The Philistines pursued Samson into the territory of Judah that they controlled (v. 9; cf. 14:4). The exact location of Lehi is still uncertain.

We get a glimpse into the spiritual condition in Judah at this time from how the 3,000 Judahites (more probable than 3 units of people) responded to their Philistine oppressors. The men of Judah were no threat to the Philistines, but Samson was. The men of Judah did not respond to Samson as a judge whom God had raised up to deliver them from the Philistines. Instead of supporting him they meekly bowed before their oppressors and took the Philistines' side against Samson (v. 11-13). They rebuked Samson for jeopardizing their safety by attacking the Philistines. They were content to live under the Philistines' heel. They regarded Samson's action as something he was doing against them rather than an act of aggression against the enemies of God's people. The Judahites were compromisers who preferred slavery to freedom.

"It is a sad fact of Christian experience that if you are a Christian committed to growing and maturing in Jesus Christ, you will often be hindered the most by other Christians who have become accustomed and accommodated to an anemic, wishy-washy spiritual life."²⁷¹

During his whole ministry Samson never had an army or even several Israelites behind him. He fought the Lord's battles alone. The Judahites were doing their enemies' work for them by binding Samson and handing him over to them (v. 12). They swore not to kill their judge themselves, but they bound him and handed him over to the Philistines so they could kill him (v. 13).

"The tribe that had formerly waded into battle after battle (1:1-20) has become a collection of spineless wimps (15:13)."²⁷²

Samson's patience and grace with his fellow Israelites are astounding. He must have realized what they were doing, but he also apparently believed that when delivered over to the enemy he could overcome them. If his courage, as his fellow Israelites brought him bound before hoards of Philistines and handed him over to them, arose from trust in God, his faith was remarkable. This would have been one of the high points of Samson's

²⁷¹Inrig, p. 237.

²⁷²Davis, p. 182.

spiritual career. Alternatively Samson's confidence may have rested in himself, particularly in his strength. If that was so, this incident was a low point for him spiritually. I prefer the second explanation since it seems more consistent with Samson's character.

Samson's victory at Ramath-lehi 15:14-20

Note again that the Spirit of God gave Samson his supernatural strength (v. 14). He slew 1,000 of the enemy (or one unit) on this occasion (v. 15). The unlikely instrument Samson used, a dead donkey's dentures, proved more than adequate for this slaughter (cf. 3:31).

The Hebrew words translated "donkey" and "heaps" constitute a word play. Samson loved riddles and rhymes. Moffatt rendered the first part of Samson's poem, "With the jawbone of an ass, I have piled them in a mass." Samson named the place where he defeated these Philistines "Jawbone Hill."²⁷³

Samson's prayer, his first in the text, reveals that he knew he was participating in holy war as God's deliverer (v. 18). He gave God the credit for his victory. The word translated "thou" or "you" is in the emphatic position in the Hebrew text. He cried out to the Lord for water after his strenuous fight.

God provided water for His servant in a very unusual way, and Samson's strength revived (v. 19; cf. Exod. 17:6; Num. 20:11). Samson named that place "Suppliant's Spring."

The summary statement that concludes the record of Samson's victories thus far (v. 20) separates his story into two parts. The writer recorded Samson's acts that gradually increased in severity and significance against the Philistines first. Samson continued to serve as Israel's judge for 20 years. Then the writer gave us the chain of events that followed in which Samson brought his own destruction on himself (ch. 16).

Chapter 15 contrasts Samson's radical commitment with Judah's wretched compromise. Samson's actions and motives were not always the best, but he carried out God's will. He treated the compromisers among whom he lived graciously since they were God's people. However, he alone did what God had called him to do when he defeated the Philistines. Today many Christians compromise with the world as the Judahites did. Samson's example encourages us to radical obedience even if we have to stand for God alone.

4. Samson's final fatal victory ch. 16

To this point in his history Samson had demonstrated some faith in God even though, "the exploits of Samson read like the actions of an uncontrollable juvenile delinquent."²⁷⁴ However his unwillingness to remain dedicated to God resulted eventually in his loss of strength, his enslavement, and his death.

²⁷³This hill may have been the mound Samson had built with the corpses of the Philistines.

²⁷⁴Cundall and Morris, p. 155.

Samson's weakness and strength at Gaza 16:1-3

Gaza lay on the sunny Mediterranean coast in the heart of Philistine territory. It was probably a popular vacation site for compromising Israelites as well as the Philistines. Perhaps Samson went there to enjoy the amusements that flourish in such places and to show off his physique on the "muscle beach" of his day. As the judge assigned to destroy the Philistines, his presence there for recreational purposes was inappropriate to say the least. It also reveals his great self-confidence since after 20 years of judging Israel he was undoubtedly a wanted man in Philistia. In contrast, Samuel, who was only a few years younger than Samson, was at this time ministering as a faithful circuit-riding judge in Israel's heartland (1 Sam. 7:15-17).²⁷⁵

Samson's weakness for women stands out in the record of his evening with the Gaza prostitute (v. 1). This was unquestionably inappropriate behavior for a Nazirite whom God had called to deliver Israel from the very enemy he was romancing. Any reference to the leading of the Lord is notably absent here (cf. 14:4). Samson's weakness contrasts with his strength throughout this chapter. Here we see his moral and spiritual weakness.

Why did God continue to use Samson since he was so morally impure? God had chosen to use him and was patient with him. God's patience allowed Samson the opportunity to repent and to experience God's blessing instead of His judgment (cf. 2 Pet. 3:9; 1 Cor. 11:31). Unfortunately Samson responded to God's patience by taxing it to its limit. While the heavenly Father is patient, He is not permissive.

Evidently the men of Gaza decided that they would capture Samson as he left the city the next morning. Consequently they slept at the gate of the city that night (v. 2).

Samson left early, however, about midnight. Presumably God caused Samson's enemies to sleep through his exit. Pulling the city gate posts out of the ground and carrying off the whole gate with its bar and frame must have caused considerable noise.

"As the gates of ancient cities were often nail-studded and covered with metal to prevent them from being burnt during an attack, the weight may have been greater than that of the timber itself."²⁷⁶

It is not clear how far Samson carried the gates. The mountain "opposite Hebron" (v. 3) is the site in question. Many commentators believe that the writer had in mind a hill overlooking Gaza in the direction toward Hebron.²⁷⁷ This is the traditional interpretation. Hebron stood about 38 miles east of Gaza and at a higher elevation. It occupied the highest hill in southern Canaan. While Samson may have been able to carry the gates all the way to Hebron, his purpose in transporting them seems to have been to mock the men

²⁷⁵Samson's birth was probably close to 1123 B.C. and Samuel's about 1121 B.C.

²⁷⁶Cundall and Morris, p. 174. For a diagram of a typical city gate complex and a discussion of the difficulty of removing the gates undetected, see Block, *Judges . . .*, p. 450.

²⁷⁷E.g., Wood, *Distressing Days . . .*, p. 326; Lindsey, p. 407.

of Gaza. He would probably have impressed them significantly enough if he had planted the gates at the top of the nearby hill that was clearly visible from Gaza. The traditional interpretation appeals to me for this reason. Here the emphasis is on Samson's superhuman physical strength.²⁷⁸

Samson and Delilah 16:4-21

The first three verses present Samson sowing "wild oats." Verses 4-21 picture him reaping a bitter harvest (cf. Gal. 6:7).

Samson allowed a woman to seduce him again. She lived in the Sorek Valley between Samson's home area of Zorah and Eshtaol and the Philistine town of Timnah. The place itself was a compromise between Israelite and Philistine territory. Her name Delilah is evidently Jewish and probably means "devotee" or "worshipper."²⁷⁹ However she seems to have been a Philistine, possibly a temple prostitute.²⁸⁰ Her devotion to the Philistines is obvious in the text, and her devotion to their gods may well have motivated her actions in this instance. Evidently she and her family had chosen to live among the attractive and advanced enemies of God's people.

"It is strange that Samson's three loves should have been numbered amongst his inveterate enemies, the Philistines."²⁸¹

Samson posed a great threat to the Philistines. The leading lords of the Philistines initiated the plan to capture him, and they offered a reward that would have made Delilah rich (v. 5). "Eleven hundred . . . of silver" was a fortune since a person could live comfortably on "10 . . . of silver" a year (17:10).

Samson may not have possessed an abnormally muscular physique since the Philistines did not know where he got his great strength.

"The Philistine princes thought that Samson's supernatural strength arose from something external, which he wore or carried about with him as an amulet."²⁸²

Moral compromise always makes one vulnerable to temptation. We see this in Samson's case and in Delilah's in these verses. Temptation usually comes in attractive packages. The wrong companions can lead us into temptation (cf. 1 Cor. 15:33). Temptation is persistent (cf. Matt. 4). Yielding to temptation starts us on a toboggan slide. We find ourselves going faster and faster down hill, and soon we can get off only with great personal pain.

²⁷⁸Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 451, believed Samson carried the gates 40 miles to a hill opposite Hebron.

²⁷⁹Ibid, pp. 453-54, offered three other possible interpretations of her name.

²⁸⁰Lindsey, p. 407.

²⁸¹Cundall and Morris, p. 175.

²⁸²Keil and Delitzsch, p. 419.

The seven fresh cords (v. 7) were probably common catgut cords that the Philistines used for bowstrings or the strings of their harps. If so, they were unclean for Samson. Perhaps Samson specified seven of these since the Israelites regarded seven as a complete number. New ropes (v. 11) had not worked previously (cf. 15:13-14), but perhaps the lords of the Philistines were unaware of this. It is difficult to explain exactly what Samson meant when he instructed Delilah to weave the locks of his hair with a web and pin (vv. 13-14). The commentators all struggle with what the writer wrote and what Delilah did. Apparently Delilah wove Samson's long hair with some kind of loom and left it fastened in this primitive machine.

". . . The words in question are to be understood as referring to something that was done to fasten Samson still more securely."²⁸³

"Ironically, the words 'tightened it with [=drove] the pin' (*titqa' bayyated*, v. 14) are the same ones used of Jael, who drove the tent peg into Sisera's head (4:21). Though Delilah did not kill Samson in the same way, she was to become as important a heroine among the Philistines as Jael had been in Israel."²⁸⁴

The fact that Samson told Delilah to do something to his hair (v. 13) suggests that he was giving her a clue to his strength. She did not pick this up but kept hounding him for his secret. Finally he gave in (v. 17; cf. 14:17).

Why did Samson continue to give Delilah reasons for his strength even when she threatened him with violence by the Philistines? He may have done so because they were playing a game together and teasing each other. Samson liked riddles (14:12). He seems to have uprooted Gaza's gates in sport too. Samson thought he was playing "Here come the Philistines!" but really he was playing Russian roulette.

It is incredible that Samson would have told Delilah the secret of his strength if he had thought she really intended to betray him. Evidently Samson had so much self-confidence because of his physical strength that he thought he could control this situation. He even appears to have felt that he was stronger than God. He expected God to behave on his terms rather than submitting to God's terms, namely, his Nazirite vow. Sin, if persisted in, makes a person irrational and vulnerable. Such is its deceitfulness (cf. 1 Cor 6:18; 1 Tim. 2:22). Samson thought he was strong, but really he was weak.²⁸⁵

"This man is indeed all brawn and no brain."²⁸⁶

"The hypocrisy of Delilah, pretending to love but all the time plotting the death of her lover, can be left without comment."²⁸⁷

²⁸³Ibid., p. 421.

²⁸⁴Wolf, p. 476.

²⁸⁵Contrast the Apostle Paul's attitude in 2 Cor. 12:10.

²⁸⁶Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 463.

²⁸⁷Cundall and Morris, p. 177.

It is for this behavior that she has become an infamous figure in history. Like Judas Iscariot, Delilah betrayed a friend for money.

The reason Samson lost his strength was only secondarily that he allowed Delilah to cut his hair. The real reason was that "the Lord had departed from him" (v. 20). When God's Spirit departed from someone under the Old Covenant, the results were disastrous (cf. 1 Sam. 16:14; Ps. 51:11).

"Forty years, Samson had kept one part of his vow. He had broken all the other parts, but he had kept his hair unshaven, as a sign of his commitment to God. He had not made a very strong commitment or felt a deep faith, but he had trusted God at least in this. There was no magic in his hair. It was only a symbol of his separation to God. But if his hair was shaved, Samson's feeble dedication would crumble completely."²⁸⁸

"The fact that God worked through Samson need not denote approval of his lifestyle. In God's sovereignty the Holy Spirit came on men for particular tasks, and this enduing was not necessarily proportionate to one's spirituality. The Spirit's power enabled men to inspire Israel (6:34; 11:29) and to perform great feats of strength (14:6, 19; 15:14). But it was a temporary enduement, and Samson and later Saul tragically discovered that the Lord had left them. The NT experience of the permanent indwelling of the Holy Spirit was not known in OT times."²⁸⁹

Samson was fatally unwise in sharing his secret with Delilah. His willingness to do so seems traceable to his lack of appreciation of two things. He failed to appreciate his personal calling by God and the fact that his strength lay solely in God's power working through him as a holy instrument. These are the same failures that Israel manifested and that resulted in her experiencing a fate similar to Samson's during the period of the judges. They have caused many other servants of God to fall since Samson's day too.

Samson's spiritual blindness resulted in his becoming blind physically (v. 21). The Philistines seized him in Gaza as he had seized the Philistines' gate there (v. 3).²⁹⁰ Since he chose to be the slave of his physical passions rather than his God, God disciplined him with physical slavery. The Philistines may have tied him to a large millstone like an ox and compelled him to pull it in a circular pattern, or he may have ground a hand mill.

"Grinding a hand mill was the hardest and lowest kind of slave labour (compare Ex. xi. 5 with xii. 29); and both Greeks and Romans sentenced their slaves to this as a punishment . . ."²⁹¹

²⁸⁸Inrig, p. 252.

²⁸⁹Wolf, p. 381.

²⁹⁰The same Hebrew verb occurs in both verses highlighting the contrast.

²⁹¹Keil and Delitzsch, pp. 423-24.

"This occupation was not only menial, it was humiliating, since it was invariably women's work . . ." ²⁹²

Poor blind Samson found himself chained in the prison in Gaza where he had performed his greatest feat of strength (v. 3). Previously he had demonstrated great physical strength there, but now he was very weak physically.

Samson's triumph in death 16:22-31

A spark of hope flickered in the darkness of Samson's prison cell. His hair continued to grow (v. 22). In grace God permitted Samson's hair to return symbolizing the possibility of his renewed commitment to Yahweh. However, God did not restore Samson's eyesight. God always gives opportunity for divine service after failure, but we may not be able to serve Him as we could in the past.

We might suppose that the Philistines would have been careful to keep their captive's hair cropped. Whether because they considered their blind slave incapable of escaping or because they failed to recognize the importance of his hair, they did not. They were in their own way as blind as Samson. Along with his hair, Samson's dedication to Yahweh, which his hair symbolized, began to return (cf. v. 28). This was the real reason his strength returned.

As mentioned previously, the Philistines were very religious. They thanked Dagon, their chief god, for Samson's capture (v. 23). The Philistines were singing songs that the Israelites should have been singing for Yahweh's deliverance of them, but they had not trusted and obeyed Him. Samson had given the enemies of Yahweh opportunity to blaspheme Him (cf. 2 Sam. 12:14). Perhaps the writer recorded so much of their praise here because it turned out to be totally without basis very soon.

Samson, who, as we have seen, was fond of riddles, tricks, and entertainment, became the object of sport for those he had previously taunted (v. 25). He became the tragic clown, but he finally "brought the house down."

"A number of sites of ancient heathen temples have been recently discovered, and since they show certain common characteristics it is likely that the temple of Gaza was of a similar pattern. In all probability the officials and dignitaries were in a covered portion looking out upon a courtyard where Samson was made a spectacle, but separated from it by a series of wooden pillars set on stone bases, supporting the roof, on which the crowd gathered. It may be conjectured that the spectators on the roof, pressing forward to gain a good vantage-point, had made the whole structure unstable. Samson must have been aware of the form of construction and of the possibilities in such a situation. The performance over, or temporarily halted, Samson was brought *between the pillars*

²⁹²Cundall and Morris, p. 179.

(25b), just under the shelter of the roof, so that the dignitaries within the portico could have a closer look at him."²⁹³

Samson's humiliation was even greater because a young boy now led the former Philistine terror around as easily as a goat (v. 26). His weakness appears greatest at this point in the story.

Sensing his opportunity Samson prayed to God for strength (cf. 15:18).

"This is the only time we ever read of Samson praying before he used his strength. Now his strength was disciplined by faith, but it took failure to teach him this response."²⁹⁴

"The theological message toward which each of the cycles [chs. 14—15 and ch. 16] moves centers on prayer and divine response, and the position of answered prayer at the end of each cycle is emphatic. In xv 18-19 Samson asks for life. . . . In xvi 28-30 he prays first for vindication, then for death. In both cases he is dependent wholly upon Yhwh, who alone holds the power to grant life and death and who acts in response to human supplication."²⁹⁵

The fact that he addressed God as "Adonai Yahweh . . . Elohim" (v. 28; Master, covenant keeping God of Israel, Strong One) is significant. It definitely suggests that during the lonely hours of darkness in his cell Samson had repented. He apparently had confessed his lack of appreciation for God's grace, calling, and power in his life and had rededicated himself to the Lord. He begged God from whom He had departed to remember him and to strengthen him supernaturally one more time. Samson desired to return to his calling as God's deliverer of His people and to take vengeance on his enemies for robbing him of his eyes. God heard and answered His servant. His prayer was for the glory of God and in harmony with God's will. Nevertheless personal vengeance still motivated Samson too.

"The fact that Samson took hold (AV, RV; lit. *grasped*, RSV) of the two central pillars indicates that, exerting his strength, he pushed forward either directly towards or directly away from the open courtyard. Had he pushed sideways he would not have 'grasped' the pillars. Aided by the weight of the crowd above, who would be pressing forward since Samson was now out of their sight, the main supporting pillars were now displaced, causing them to slide off their stone bases. When the roof collapsed many would be killed instantly; others would be crushed in the ensuing panic."²⁹⁶

²⁹³Ibid., p. 180. See also Amihai Mazar, "A Philistine Temple at Tell Qasile," *Biblical Archaeologist* 36 (1973):43-48; *ibid.*, *Archaeology of the Land of the Bible 10,000-586 B.C.E.*, pp. 319-23.

²⁹⁴Inrig, p. 263.

²⁹⁵J. Cheryl Exum, "The Theological Dimension of the Samson Saga," *Vetus Testamentum* 33:1 (1983):34.

²⁹⁶Cundall and Morris, p. 181.

We should not regard Samson's death as suicide but as martyrdom (cf. Heb. 11:32). He died in battle.

"Samson's deed . . . was not suicide, but the act of a hero, who sees that it is necessary for him to plunge into the midst of his enemies with the inevitable certainty of death, in order to effect the deliverance of his people and decide the victory which he has still to achieve."²⁹⁷

In his death Samson vindicated Yahweh over Dagon. He also killed more of Israel's enemies than he had slain in his 20 years of previous ministry (v. 30). While this is a complementary note, it also reminds us of the tragedy of Samson's failure as a judge. He could have routed many more Philistines if he had walked with God. The "brothers" who buried him (v. 31) could have been members of his tribe or extended family, not necessarily members of his immediate family.

"The Philistines' hatred of Samson must have been mitigated by respect for his achievements and they made no apparent effort to abuse his corpse or to refuse him burial in his family tomb (cf. the dishonoring of Saul's body, I Sa. 31:9, 10). The treatment of a body after death was a matter of importance in the ancient world . . ."²⁹⁸

Several writers have commented on what they call the "Samson syndrome."

"One of the greatest values I see in the story of Samson is its demonstration of the Samson Syndrome. This is the tendency among some church leaders to operate from a personal power model and not understand its consequences. And for others it is a tendency to ignore our physical, emotional and spiritual limits—to not realize that when 'our hair has been shorn' we cannot go out and beat up on Philistines, even though we want to."²⁹⁹

"The essence of the Samson syndrome lies right here: the presumption that one can indulge the flesh and at the same time know the Spirit's fulness."³⁰⁰

Samson's life is one of the greatest tragedies in history and literature. It should be a warning to every believer. Samson had many advantages. God chose him even before his birth (13:7; cf. Eph. 1:14). He received excellent training from godly parents who encouraged him to maintain his dedication to Yahweh (13:8, 12; 14:3). He enjoyed God's blessings (13:24). Moreover the Holy Spirit empowered him with supernatural might

²⁹⁷Otto von Gerlach quoted by Keil and Delitzsch, p. 425.

²⁹⁸Cundall and Morris, p. 181.

²⁹⁹G. Lloyd Rediger, "The Samson Syndrome," *Church Management—The Clergy Journal* 60:7 (May-June 1984):78.

³⁰⁰Ted S. Rendall, "The Samson Syndrome," *The Prairie Overcomer* 27:7 (July-August 1984):19.

(13:25; 14:6, 19). Nevertheless Samson chose to yield to his physical passions rather than maintain his dedication to the Lord.

"The man who carried the gates of Gaza up to the top of the mountain was the slave of a woman, to whom he frivolously betrayed the strength of his Nazirite locks."³⁰¹

"Samson, when strong and brave, strangled a lion; but he could not strangle his own love. He burst the fetters of his foes, but not the cords of his own lusts. He burned up the crops of others, and lost the fruit of his own virtue when burning with the flame enkindled by a single woman."³⁰²

"His life which promised so much, was blighted and ultimately destroyed by his sensual passions and lack of true separation to the Lord."³⁰³

Samson's unwillingness to discipline himself physically was a symptom of his unwillingness to discipline himself spiritually. This lack of discipline in serving the Lord as He required resulted in Samson's enslavement and finally in his premature death.

Still Samson's life should also be an encouragement to all believers. The record of Samson's experiences teaches us that God will use people who are far from perfect. God is patient with His sinning servants even though His patience has an end. There is hope that God may yet again use His servants whom He may have had to set aside because of their sins. It all depends on whether they truly repent and rededicate themselves to Him.³⁰⁴

III. THE RESULTS OF ISRAEL'S APOSTASY CHS. 17—21

The following two extended incidents (ch. 17—21) differ from the records of the judges just completed (chs. 3—16). They are not accounts of the activities of any of Israel's judges. They are the record of events that took place during the period of the judges that throw light on conditions in Israel during this era. The purpose behind their inclusion seems to have been to illustrate even more clearly ". . . the low moral standards, . . . the debased religious conceptions and . . . the disordered social structure" in Israel.³⁰⁵

"As was the case in the earlier chapters of the Book of Judges [1:1—3:6], these chapters deal with the subject of spiritual apostasy and its effects upon the nation of Israel."³⁰⁶

³⁰¹Ziegler quoted by Keil and Delitzsch, p. 400.

³⁰²Ambrose quoted by Keil and Delitzsch, pp. 417-18.

³⁰³Cundall and Morris, p. 181.

³⁰⁴See Robert U. Ferguson Jr., "The Danger of Playing Games with God," *Pulpit Digest* 64:468 (July-August 1984):31-34; and Samuel Cassel, "Strong Man: A Scripture Study of the Weaknesses in Strength," *Foundations* 2 (1959):264-68.

³⁰⁵Cundall and Morris, p. 182.

³⁰⁶Davis and Whitcomb, p. 143.

Whereas chapters 3—16 record Israel's struggles with her external enemies, chapters 17—21 document the internal conditions of the nation that made her so weak. In chapters 17—18 we see Israel abandoning God, and in chapters 19—21 we see her destroying herself.

The town of Bethlehem features in each of three stories. These stories are Micah and the Danites (chs. 17—18), the Levite and the Benjamites (chs. 19—21), and the story of Ruth in the Book of Ruth. Therefore some scholars refer to this section of Scripture as the "Bethlehem trilogy." These stories also share other themes and motifs.

"They concern individuals in more or less private settings whose identities and activities are nevertheless inseparable from and crucial to a full understanding of the Davidic monarchy which followed them. Accounts of actual events that transpired in the days of the judges, they are included in the sacred record for the purpose of tracing the roots of the Davidic dynasty and justifying its existence in opposition to Saul."³⁰⁷

The first incident (chs. 17—18) describes the fate of the Danites, and the second (chs. 19—21) the fate of the Benjamites. Both tribes received land in Israel's heartland, between Judah and Ephraim, the tribes that would, after the monarchy divided, lead the Southern and Northern Kingdoms respectively. By selecting incidents from these tribes, the narrator showed that the degenerating tendency in Israel was not just a problem in the fringe territories. Canaanite influence had infected the heart of the nation.

Both Dan and Benjamin found themselves in dire straits but for different reasons. The Danites could not settle into their allotted inheritance because of Canaanite influence, and the Benjamites could not remain in theirs because of their hostile Israelite brethren. In both instances a nameless Levite with Bethlehem-Judah (17:7-8; 19:1-2) and Mt. Ephraim (17:1; 19:1) connections precipitated the crisis. Both accounts include priestly characters inquiring of God concerning the outcome of a proposed course of action (18:5-6; 20:27-28), and both conclude with a reference to Shiloh (18:31; 21:19-24). In both accounts military contingents of 800 men play a crucial role (18:11, 16, 25; 20:47; 21:7, 12, 14, 16-17, 23), and both contain references to the absence of a king in Israel (17:6; 18:1; 19:1; 21:25). These parallel phenomena have the effect of making the reader conclude that the Canaanization of Israel had become complete.³⁰⁸

A. THE IDOLATRY OF MICAH AND THE DANITES CHS. 17—18

God undoubtedly included the story of Micah and the Danites in the sacred record because it relates the establishment of image worship in Israel. This was a new and catastrophic departure from Yahweh for the Israelites. Image worship continued, grew, and became an increasing snare to the Israelites from this time on in their history until the

³⁰⁷Merrill, *Kingdom of . . .*, pp. 178-79.

³⁰⁸Block, *Judges . . .*, pp. 474-76.

Babylonian Captivity. Consequently this incident exposes the extent of the spiritual apostasy of Israel.

The events recorded in these two chapters evidently took place while the Philistines were putting pressure on the tribes of Dan, Judah, and Benjamin. Perhaps the writer included them here because of their connection with the arena of Samson's activities that he just related (chs. 13—16). Another connection is the mention of "1,100 . . . of silver" (16:5; 17:2).³⁰⁹ Riches played a significant role in Samson's downfall, and they played a major part in Micah's defection. As mentioned previously, the writers of the Old Testament frequently connected events and laws that were similar or had a logical relationship to one another rather than following a strict chronological sequence. Probably the writer placed this incident before chapters 19—21 because it indicates a basic problem, namely, spiritual apostasy, and chapters 19—21 record the resultant political and social conditions.

It is difficult to determine exactly when during the amphictyony this incident may have occurred. Jonathan, the Levite in the story, was evidently a descendant of Moses (18:30). The English texts call him the "son" of Gershom the "son" of Moses (18:30). However the Hebrew word translated "son" (*ben*) frequently means "descendant" in the Old Testament. If Jonathan was the grandson of Moses, he probably would have been a "young man" (17:7; et al.) during the wilderness wanderings.

1. The idolatry of Micah ch. 17


The story of Micah (ch. 17) introduces the account of the setting up of image worship in the North (ch. 18).

³⁰⁹Shekels of silver are evidently in view. Eleven hundred shekels weighed about 28 pounds.

Micah's unlawful worship 17:1-6

The writer told us nothing about Micah's background except that he originally lived in the Hill Country of Ephraim with or near his mother (vv. 1-2). Micah's name means "Who is like Yahweh." As is true of so many details in this story, Micah's name is ironic. He was anything but like Yahweh. The fact that Micah's mother blessed him in the name of Yahweh creates a positive impression, but other features of the story demonstrate that her veneer of orthodox Yahwism was extremely thin.

Micah was a thief who stole a fortune from his own mother. This amount of money could have sustained one person for a lifetime in Israel (cf. v. 10). Apparently he confessed his theft because he feared his mother's curse (v. 2). Instead of cursing him she blessed him, a very unusual reaction in view of the amount of money involved. Perhaps she believed that her blessing would undo her previous curse.³¹⁰ Micah's mother then claimed to dedicate all 1,100 pieces of the recovered silver to Yahweh. However she gave only 200 pieces to a silversmith to make an image.³¹¹ She stole from God as her son had stolen from her. Micah had learned dishonesty at home.



The "graven image" (Heb. *pesel*) was apparently the idol and the "molten image" (*massekah*) its base. Both of these words occur at the head of the list of curses (Deut. 27:15) to describe what the law forbade making for idolatrous purposes. The Hebrew word that describes the graven image occurs almost exclusively in relation to the golden calves that Aaron made (Exod. 32:4) and King Jeroboam made (1 Kings 12:28-30). Micah's mother evidently intended this image to represent either Yahweh or the animal on which pagan people visualized gods standing.³¹²

³¹⁰Wolf, p. 481.

³¹¹The Lydians first produced coined money in the seventh century B.C. Therefore these were not 1,100 silver coins but 1,100 measures of silver. The writer did not identify how much silver was in each measure, but this was a fortune by any estimate.

³¹²See Amihai Mazar, "Bronze Bull Found in Israelite 'High Place' From the Time of the Judges," *Biblical Archaeology Review* 9:5 (September-October 1983):34-40; Hershel Shanks, "Two Early Israelite Cult Sites Now Questioned," *Biblical Archaeology Review* 14:1 (January-February 1988):48-52; Amihai Mazar, "On Cult Places and Early Israelites: A Response to Michael Coogan," *Biblical Archaeology Review* 15:4 (July-August 1988):45.

"The gods were often depicted as standing, or more rarely sitting, on the back of a bull, which by its strength and power of fertility well represented the essence of the nature cults."³¹³

Obviously Micah and his mother were either ignorant of, or more probably chose to disregard, God's law against making graven images (Exod. 20:4, 23; Deut. 4:16). They also seem to have been unaware of, or unconcerned about, Israel's tragic experience with the golden calf at Mt. Sinai (Exod. 32:19-35).

"Micah and his mother are sharply distinguished from Samson and his mother [and even more from Samuel and his mother] by their materialism and idolatry. Here there is no evidence of the presence or call of the Spirit in their lives."³¹⁴

God commanded the Israelites not to multiply sanctuaries in Canaan, but Micah built one in or near his house (v. 5). He did not need to do this because he lived close to Shiloh, where the tabernacle stood (cf. v. 1; 18:31). In his convenient shrine Micah kept an ephod that he had made, probably for divination. This was evidently an imitation of the high priest's ephod (cf. 8:27). He also kept household gods that probably had some connection with ancestor veneration and divination (cf. Gen. 31:19).³¹⁵ He also disregarded the Aaronic priesthood by ordaining his son as the family priest.

"The by-passing of the Levitical priesthood by Micah may be due either to a breakdown in the distribution of the Levites amongst the community or to an overlooking, wilful [*sic*] or ignorant, of the provisions of the law."³¹⁶

The writer explained editorially that there was no king in Israel at this time and everyone did as he pleased (v. 6). That is the reason Micah could get away with such flagrantly disobedient behavior. Even though there was not yet a human king, Yahweh reigned as Israel's monarch from heaven. Since His people paid no attention to His authority by disregarding His Law, Israel was practically without a king. Kings enforce standards, but in Israel the people were setting their own standards.

Micah's Levite 17:7-13

Verses 1-6 stress the sin of self-styled worship. Verses 7-13 emphasize the folly of self-determined service.

The writer did not call the young Levite who came to live with Micah a priest. He was evidently not a descendant of Aaron though he was from the tribe of Levi. The Levites were, of course, living throughout Israel having received no tribal allotment of land but only cities within the territories of the other tribes. This young man had been living in

³¹³Cundall and Morris, p. 184.

³¹⁴Lewis, p. 88.

³¹⁵Davis and Whitcomb, p. 144.

³¹⁶Cundall and Morris, p. 185.

Bethlehem of Judah, which was not a Levitical city (v. 7). His disregard for God's will is obvious in his choice to live somewhere other than where God told the Levites to live (cf. v. 6).

"Unlike Abraham, who also set out for an unknown destination but who went with a keen sense of the calling of God, this person is shiftless. He has no passion for God, no sense of divine calling, no burden of responsibility. He is a 'laid back' professional minister following the path of least resistance and waiting for an opportunity to open up."³¹⁷

This young Levite decided to move elsewhere and during his travels met Micah who, desiring to "upgrade" his priesthood, invited him to live with him and become a priest to his family. Micah had been content to have his son function as his family priest, but a genuine Levite would be even better, Micah thought. Family priests had passed out of existence in Israel since God had set the tribe of Levi aside for priestly service (Exod. 32:28-29; cf. Num. 3:12-13). Since Micah promised to support him financially, the Levite agreed to the arrangement that Micah proposed, which involved being a spiritual adviser to his patron. Micah proceeded to set the young man apart to his service (v. 12) and superstitiously concluded that Yahweh would bless him since he had a Levite as his priest (v. 13). He was wrong, as the following chapter shows.

"The apostasy of the Judges period, according to this chapter, was characterized by three observable trends. 1. Religious syncretism (17:1-5). . . . 2. Moral relativism (17:6). . . . 3. Extreme materialism (17:7-13)."³¹⁸

2. The apostasy of the Danites ch. 18

The messengers from Dan 18:1-6

This chapter begins with another reference to the fact that there was no king in Israel then (cf. 17:6). The writer reminded us again that the Israelites were living unrestrained lives. Abundant evidence of this follows in chapter 18.

"The nation needs no king to lead them in battle or into apostasy. They will do both on their own."³¹⁹

In verse 1 the NASB and NIV translators have implied that the following incident happened before the Danites had received their tribal inheritance (Josh. 19:40-48). If true, this statement would date the incident that follows during the days of Joshua. The AV and NKJV versions imply that the Danites had not yet subdued and fully occupied their allotted tribal territory. In this case the incident probably happened after Joshua's death.

³¹⁷Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 487.

³¹⁸Davis and Whitcomb, pp. 143-45.

³¹⁹Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 491.

Most of the commentators prefer the latter view.³²⁰ In either case the incident shows the Danites' dissatisfaction with their condition. They either did not wait for God to give them what He had promised (cf. Josh. 13:1-7), or they were unwilling to fight the Amorites so they could inhabit it (cf. 1:34). They felt that they did not have an adequate inheritance. They then sent a group of five men to investigate the possibilities of other land that might be available to them in other parts of Canaan.

"They clearly felt that the boundary lines had not fallen for them 'in pleasant places' (Ps 16:6). Their desire to move revealed a lack of faith in the Lord who had allotted to them their original territory."³²¹

The center of Danite activity was then between Zorah and Eshtaol, the area where Samson grew up. However this incident seems to have antedated Samson's judgeship. Previously Moses and later Joshua had sent spies before them (Num. 13; Josh. 2).³²² There is no reference to God's leading the Danites to send spies, however. In view of what follows this decision seems to have lacked divine initiative.

When these representatives happened to come to Micah's house, they recognized the distinctive voice of his Levite (v. 3). After learning what he was doing there, the Danites explained their mission and asked the Levite to inquire from Yahweh whether their journey would be successful (v. 5). The tabernacle was just a few miles from Micah's house, and the Danites should have gone there if they wanted to know God's will. The Levite, perhaps using Micah's ephod, announced God's approval of their mission (v. 6). In view of his own relationship to God it is doubtful that he really received an answer from Yahweh. Moreover in view of what the soldiers proceeded to do their plan was definitely not in harmony with God's will.

The report of the spies 18:7-10

The five Danites continued northward about 100 miles and finally came upon an area they felt would be ideal for their needs. They discovered the isolated town of Laish (Leshem, Josh. 19:47) that they believed they could capture fairly easily.³²³ It occupied a beautiful location on the southwestern foothills of Mt. Hermon.

"Unlike most Canaanite cities of the time, Laish was not defended by stone walls but by huge ramparts consisting of alternating layers of soil from the surrounding region and debris from previous settlements."³²⁴

³²⁰E.g., Bush, p. 223; Cundall and Morris, p. 187; Wood, *Distressing Days . . .*, p. 148; Keil and Delitzsch, p. 434; Inrig, pp. 277-78; and Block, *Judges . . .*, pp. 493-94.

³²¹Wolf, p. 483.

³²²There are many parallels between chapter 18 and Num. 12:16—14:45 and Deut. 1:19-46. See A. Malamat, "The Danite Migration and the Pan-Israelite Exodus-Conquest: A Biblical Narrative Pattern," *Biblica* 51 (1970):1-16; and O'Connell, pp. 235-38.

³²³See John C. H. Laughlin, "Dan," *Biblical Illustrator* 9:4 (Summer 1983):40-46; and "Avraham Biram—Twenty Years of Digging at Tell Dan," *Biblical Archaeology Review* 13:4 (July-August 1987):12-25.

³²⁴Block, *Judges . . .*, p. 501.

"The Bible refers to the country as Phoenicia only in the New Testament (Mark 7:26; Acts 11:19; 15:3; 21:2). The Old Testament regularly uses merely the name of either or both of its principal two cities, Tyre and Sidon. These two cities, both prominent in merchandising activity, continue to the present day and are only twenty miles apart. They never seem to have enjoyed any real political cohesion, however, which means that the country never did either. In fact, the boundaries of the country, at any given time, are difficult to fix because this was true. The people often are called simply 'Sidonians' in the Old Testament (Deut. 3:9; Josh. 13:4, 6; Judg. 3:3; 18:7; 1 Kings 5:6; etc.). This is because Sidon was more important than Tyre in early history."³²⁵

Encouraged by the Levite's report these spies persuaded their fellow Danites to believe that God would give them this new "promised land." Its advantages were three (v. 7). It was a "quiet and secure" site (cf. v. 27). There was no dominating ruler under whom the Danites would have to submit; they could continue to do as they pleased. Third, it enjoyed an isolated location that also suggested no interference from people who might object to the Danites' practices. Obviously the Danites wanted to continue to live as they chose rather than submitting to God's will for His people.

One writer suggested the following translation of verse 7 on the basis of the Arabic cognate of the Hebrew word translated "humiliating" in the NASB: "there was no one speaking with authority in the land, no one in possession of control."³²⁶ This translation is possible but probably not as accurate as the NASB marginal reading that suggests the ruler's restraining influence.

The spies' use of the phrase "to possess the land" (v. 9) appears to have been a pious ploy to convince their brethren that this self-seeking plan was God's will. Moses and Joshua had repeatedly urged the Israelites to "possess the land," but only the land that was God's will for them to possess. The Danite spies were trying to provide security for their tribe contrary to God's previous directions. Likewise the phrase "for God has given it into your hand" (v. 10) had previously been Joshua's battle cry (cf. Josh. 6:16; et al.).

The Danites were unable, or unwilling, to claim their God-appointed territory in which no city was larger than Laish. But they were eager to march miles north and battle other Canaanites for a town that suited them better. The fact that Laish lay within the Promised Land, the full extent of the land that God had said He would give the Israelites, does not justify the Danites' action. It was God's will for His people first to settle in their appointed tribal allotments. Then He would give them the rest of the land later.

The theft of Micah's images and Levite 18:11-20

An army of 600 Danites proceeded from Zorah and Eshtaol eastward up the Kesalon Valley to Kiriath-jearim and then northward into the Hill Country of Ephraim. They

³²⁵Wood, *Distressing Days* . . . , p. 79.

³²⁶A. A. MacIntosh, "The Meaning of MKLYM in Judges XVIII 7," *Vetus Testamentum* 35:1 (1985):76.

stopped at Micah's house, noted his images and ephod, and pondered what they should do (v. 14). What they should have done was execute Micah and the Levite since they were idolaters (Deut. 13:6-11), but they too had departed from God. Instead they stole Micah's images and his priest. They convinced his Levite that it would be better for him to serve a whole tribe than just one family. They made him an offer that this upwardly mobile apostate could not refuse. Here was an opportunity for a larger ministry. It did not matter to him that it involved violating God's will concerning ordinary Levites serving as priests.

"The question the Danites posed to him is asked every day by pastoral search committees: 'Which is better, to be the pastor of a small family or to be the pastor of a megachurch?' The contemporary problem of ambition and opportunism in the ministry has at least a three-thousand-year history."³²⁷

"His fickle and mercenary attitude reflects the state of the priesthood during this period. Equally deplorable is the fact that one tribe would steal from another with apparent impunity. The treacherous behavior of the tribe of Dan in dealing with Micah and the city of Laish illustrates the 'serpent' nature predicted by Jacob in Genesis 49:17."³²⁸

The Danites' theft and intimidation were actions contrary to God's will (Exod. 20:15). Apparently the writer wanted to highlight the theft since he referred to it five times in this chapter (vv. 17, 18, 20, 24, and 27; cf. 17:2, 4). The bullying tactics of the soldiers further identify their selfishness (cf. v. 25).

Micah's attempt to recover his losses 18:21-26

Micah gathered some of his neighbors and pursued the Danites hoping to force them to return what they had taken from him. However the Danites proved stronger than he anticipated, and he had to withdraw without a fight (cf. Gen. 14). Here is another example of the Israelites fighting among themselves rather than uniting to combat their common foe.

It is comical to read Micah's sniveling complaint that the Danite soldiers had taken his gods "which I made" (v. 24). Obviously they had no power to protect him from his enemies. The fact that he had made them should have made this clear to him. His pathetic question, "What do I have besides?" reflects the emptiness of idolatry.

"His failure is in marked contrast to the stunning victory gained by Abraham and his small army when they overtook the coalition of kings who had captured Lot and the wealth of Sodom and Gomorrah (Gen 14:10-16). The God of Abraham proved stronger than the idol deities

³²⁷Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 515.

³²⁸Wolf, p. 486.

fashioned by Micah. Like the gods of Babylon, Micah's gods were taken captive, unable to effect their own escape (cf. 6:31; Isa 46:1-2).³²⁹

Likewise Micah's priest, whom he had treated as a son, turned against him. None of the characters in this story shows any integrity.

The establishment of idolatry at Dan 18:27-31

The Danites' defeat of the inhabitants of Laish appears cruel and unjustified (cf. 9:45-49). The town that seemed so desirable to the spies was really vulnerable and isolated. Its advantages proved to be weaknesses. Since God had adequate territory for the Danites in southern Canaan this whole expedition was displeasing to God in spite of the Levite's blessing (v. 6). Some of the Danites remained in their original southern tribal allotment and did not move north.

Note in verse 27 that the Danites took three things: the "gods" that Micah had made (cf. v. 14), a priest whom they could buy, and a town that its inhabitants could not defend. On these flimsy foundations the Danites built their future in the North.

Definitely contrary to God's will was the setting up of Micah's graven image in their newly named town. Jonathan was the Levite the writer referred to previously. Only now did the writer identify him by name probably as a final forceful shock for us, the readers. He was, of all people, a direct descendant of Moses (marginal reading, v. 30).

"It is universally agreed that the reference [to Manasseh] was originally to Moses. The reason for the amendment may have been to safeguard the reputation of this great leader by excluding him from the pedigree of this time-serving and idolatrous Levite."³³⁰

The revelation of the identity of this apostate Levite as Moses' descendant at the end of this already shocking story brings it to an almost unbelievable climax. A direct descendant of the man most responsible for securing Israel's unswerving commitment to Yahweh played a major role in leading the Israelites away from God!

"The problem of religious syncretism is so deeply rooted it has infected the most sacred institutions and the most revered household. . . . If *ben* means 'son' rather than 'grandson' or 'descendant,' then these events must have happened within a hundred years of the arrival of the Israelites."³³¹

The captivity referred to (v. 30) may be that of the Philistines (1 Sam. 4:11, 22) or the Arameans (1 Sam. 14:47).³³² Some scholars believe that it was the Assyrian Captivity of

³²⁹Ibid., p. 487.

³³⁰Cundall and Morris, p. 191.

³³¹Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 512.

³³²Lewis, p. 93.

Israel that began in 734 B.C. (2 Kings 15:29),³³³ but if so this statement was a later editorial insertion in the text. Idolatry that centered in Dan did plague Israel for over 600 years, and the Danites were responsible for it.

"In the book of 1 Chronicles, when the list of the tribes and families of Israel is given, Dan is the only tribe which is totally ignored. Zebulun's genealogy is also not chronicled, but it is mentioned elsewhere (1 Chron. 6:63, 77; 12:33, 40). Dan appears only as a geographical name, not as a tribe. They had vanished into obscurity, probably because of intermarriage with the Philistines. (E.g., 2 Chron. 2:14.) Dan did not take what God had given to them, and they took what God had not given them. In the process, they lost all that they had."³³⁴

The last verse of the story makes the most important point. The writer contrasted "Micah's graven image that he had made" with "the house of God" that He had ordained.

"I suggest the writer places these two sanctuaries [Micah's house of gods, translated "shrine" in 17:5, and the tabernacle], the false and the true, over against one another. There is the true house of God at Shiloh and then there is Micah's collection of cultic Tinkertoys."³³⁵

"The narrator's point is that throughout the period of the judges the cult site at Dan functioned as an apostate challenge to the true worship of Yahweh."³³⁶

The Danites were the first tribe to establish idolatry publicly in Israel. Perhaps this is why their tribe does not appear in the list of 12 tribes that will each produce 12,000 godly Israelite witnesses during the tribulation period (Rev. 7:5-8).

". . . the tribe of Dan was one of the first to go into idolatry, was small in number, and probably was thereafter classified with the tribe of Naphtali . . ."³³⁷

This whole story of Micah and the Danites illustrates the terrible spiritual apostasy that corrupted Israel during the age of the judges. Even the grandson (or descendant) of Moses took leadership in it. It was no wonder that Israel had trouble with her external enemies (chs. 3—16) since she was so spiritually corrupt internally (chs. 17—18).

"The general theme pervading the whole narrative is its concern over false religion . . ."³³⁸

³³³Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 513; Bush, p. 232.

³³⁴Inrig, p. 279.

³³⁵Davis, p. 201.

³³⁶Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 514.

³³⁷John Walvoord, *The Revelation of Jesus Christ*, p. 141. See also Walter Scott, *Exposition of the Revelation of Jesus Christ*, p. 166.

These two chapters teach us important lessons. We should obey God's Word, not disregard it, as Micah did. We should serve God faithfully as He directs, not advance ourselves at the cost of disobedience, as Jonathan did. We should also wait for God and engage our spiritual enemy, not rush ahead or run away to establish our own security, as the Danites did. Micah's error was self-styled worship, Jonathan's was self-determined service, and the Danites' was self-seeking security.

"In this portrayal of the events the narrator provides another challenge to the traditional scholarly understanding of Deuteronomism, which insists that sin brings on the curse, but blessing follows obedience. Here sin succeeds! Ironically, and perhaps tragically, the agendas people set for themselves are sometimes achieved—which sends a solemn warning to the church at the close of the twentieth century. Success is not necessarily a sign of righteousness or an indication that we must be doing something right. It may in fact be the opposite. God does not stifle every corrupt thought and scheme of the human heart."³³⁹

B. THE IMMORALITY OF GIBEAH AND THE BENJAMITES CHS. 19—21

Chapter 19 records an event that provoked civil war in Israel. The account of that war follows in chapter 20. Then the consequences of the war unfold in chapter 21. This section of the book is the climactic and supreme demonstration of the Canaanization of Israel during the pre-monarchic period of her history.

Chapters 19—21 teach us how to survive in a society without spiritual and moral standards. Chapter 19 is a story of love and hate. It is so contemporary that with a few minor changes we might read it on the front page of our newspaper any day.

The first verse introduces a new story. The events of chapters 19—21 are not a continuation of those in chapters 17—18. "Those days" were the days of the amphictyony. The reference to Phinehas (20:28) suggests that they took place in the years fairly soon after Joshua's death. The writer of Chronicles did not record that any other descendant of Aaron bore the name Phinehas except the godly son of Eleazar (1 Chron. 6:1-15) and the ungodly son of Eli (1 Sam. 4:4). This series of events (chs. 19—21) evidently transpired during the lifetime of Aaron's grandson, and the previous events (chs. 17—18) did during the lifetime of Moses' grandson. The reference to Israel's lack of a king (v. 1) points to the Israelites' practical denial of Yahweh's lordship over them as well as the absence of an Israelite monarch. This refrain occurs four times in chapters 17—21 (17:6; 18:1; 19:1; 21:25). It brackets the story in chapters 19—21 and provides the key to its interpretation. This incident shows what happens when God's people fail to acknowledge Yahweh's sovereign authority over their lives. In chapters 17—18 the result

³³⁸Dale Ralph Davis, "Comic Literature—Tragic Theology: A Study of Judges 17—18," *Westminster Theological Journal* 46 (Spring 1984):162.

³³⁹Block, *Judges* . . . , pp. 514-15.

was religious apostasy (idolatry), and in chapters 19—21 it was moral degeneracy (immorality), political disintegration (anarchy), and social chaos (injustice).

1. The atrocity in Gibeah ch. 19

This incident and chapter closely relate to those that follow.

The background of the incident 19:1-15

We meet another Levite in verse 1 who was paying no attention to God's directions concerning where the Levites should live (cf. 17:7). Since monogamy was God's standard for marriage the Levite should not have married a concubine (Gen. 2:24). This was doubly wrong in the case of a Levite because the Levites were to remain as holy as possible in view of their special ministry in Israel.

It appears that the Levite and his concubine had a disagreement that resulted in the woman leaving him and returning home to her father (v. 2).

"The reason for her return given in many ancient versions, 'because she was angry with him' (followed by RSV), is more plausible than that supplied in the AV and RV that she *played the whore against him*. The penalty against the adulteress was death (Lv. 20:10), but a heated argument would allow the Levite to seek a reconciliation when the passions of temper had subsided."³⁴⁰

This opinion rests on the Septuagint translators' rendering of verse 2 that is the equivalent of "his concubine was angry with him." However the Hebrew text has "his concubine was unfaithful to him," and this is the preferable reading. As we have noted, the Israelites paid less attention to the Law in the period of the judges than they did while Joshua was alive. It is probable that the concubine had been unfaithful and the Israelites simply did not execute the penalty for that offense that the Law prescribed. The fact that the Levite waited four months to get his wife back suggests that he was not eager to do so.

The writer referred to the Levite as the concubine's husband because that is what she was in God's sight (v. 3). His tender words were insincere, as his later dealings with her prove. Apparently he wanted her back for selfish reasons. The two donkeys the Levite brought with him to Bethlehem were for his wife and him to ride back home. The concubine's father was probably glad to see the Levite because it was disgraceful for a woman to leave her husband in that culture. The Levite wanted to patch up the relationship, and that would have pleased his father-in-law.


The writer's mention of the hospitality of the Levite's father-in-law (vv. 4-9) points out the contrast with the Gibeans' lack of hospitality later in the story (v. 15, 22-26). Hospitality was a sacred duty in the ancient Near East when there were few public facilities for travelers (cf. 4:17-23; Gen. 18:5; 24:55). Perhaps it is significant that this

³⁴⁰Cundall and Morris, p. 193.

man who practiced hospitality (lit. love of strangers) lived in Bethlehem, David's hometown. Saul came from Gibeah where the residents hated strangers, as the story will show. The fact that Israel's first king came from this city has led some scholars to conclude that by including this incident the writer may have intended to discredit Saul.³⁴¹

Jebus (Jerusalem) was and is about six miles north of Bethlehem (v. 10). The Levite and his concubine would have reached it in about two hours. Gibeah (v. 12) was three miles farther north and Ramah (v. 13) two miles beyond Gibeah. Jebus was then, and until David finally captured it (2 Sam. 5:6-9), a stronghold of the Jebusites who were one of the native Canaanite tribes. The Levite expected to find hate in Jebus and love in Gibeah. He would have been wiser to have stopped for the night in Jebus since he found no hospitality in Gibeah. All the "motels" there were full or at least not open to the Levite and his party.

"The last clause in v. 15 would have been shocking anywhere in the ancient Near East. But it is especially shocking in Israel. The social disintegration has infected the very heart of the community. People refuse to open their doors to strangers passing through. It makes no difference that these travelers are their own countrymen."³⁴²



The hospitality of the stranger 19:16-21

The old man who took the Levite and his travelling companions in for the night evidently had moved to Gibeah temporarily, perhaps as a farm laborer (v. 16; cf. v. 23; Gen. 19:9). The contrast between this stranger's hospitality and the Gibeans' lack of it stands out in the text.³⁴³ One wonders if the men of Gibeah knew that the Levite was a Levite. Was their refusal to grant him shelter as a servant of Yahweh a deliberate act of disrespect for the Lord? Verse 19 shows that there was no reason for the Gibeans to refuse the Levite hospitality.

³⁴¹See Jeremiah Unterman, "The Literary Influence of 'The Binding of Isaac' (Genesis 22) on 'The Outrage at Gibeah' (Judges 19)," *Hebrew Annual Review* 4 (1980):161-66.

³⁴²Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 530.

³⁴³Stuart Lasine's article "Guest and Host in Judges 19: Lot's Hospitality in an Inverted World," *Journal for the Study of the Old Testament* 29 (1984):37-59, is very helpful in pointing out how the writer of Judges used a tragicomic literary style to emphasize the terrible moral and spiritual climate in Israel at this time.

Beginning with verse 21 this story begins to sound like a replay of what happened to Lot in Sodom (cf. Gen. 19:1-3). Gibeah proved to be New Sodom.³⁴⁴

The immorality of the Gibeans 19:22-26

Only a group of "worthless fellows" ("sons of Beliel," i.e., ungodly men, AV, RV) surrounded the stranger's house (v. 22). However the men of Gibeah as a whole defended the actions of this group. Furthermore the whole tribe of Benjamin refused to punish them (20:13-14). This points to the Benjamites' sympathy for the perpetrators of this atrocity who lived in Gibeah. The "worthless" men repeated the request of the Sodomites in Lot's day (Gen. 19:4-5; cf. 1 Sam. 2:12). What had previously characterized the Canaanites now marked the Israelites (cf. Rom. 1:26-27).³⁴⁵

The Levite, and his aged host to a lesser degree, shared the callousness to sexual perversion that marked the gang from Gibeah.

"In his concern for the accepted conventions of hospitality the old man was willing to shatter a code which, to the modern reader, appears of infinitely more importance, namely, the care and protection of the weak and helpless. Womanhood was but lightly esteemed in the ancient world; indeed it is largely due to the precepts of the Jewish faith, and particularly the enlightenment which has come through the Christian faith, that women enjoy their present position. . . . The Levite himself, with a callous disregard for the one he professed to love, or, perhaps more pertinently, with a greater concern for his own skin, took his concubine by force and thrust her out to the men [cf. Gen. 19:6-9]."³⁴⁶

Evidently "the man" in verse 25 was the Levite. He was more guilty than the old stranger because he sacrificed his concubine to the homosexual terrorists.³⁴⁷ He threw her out of the house as one tosses a scrap of meat to dogs. There is no mention that the old stranger did so with his daughter. Imagine the fight the concubine must have put up as her husband tried to wrestle her out of the door to save his own cowardly skin. Clearly he did not really love this woman or he would have defended her and even offered himself in her place. His actions speak volumes about his views of women, himself, and God's will. Now it is easier for us to understand why this woman left him earlier (v. 2).

The writer called the Levite the "master" of the concubine in verse 26 rather than her husband. Perhaps he did so because the Levite treated her as his property rather than as a person.

³⁴⁴Davis, *Such a . . .*, pp. 211-27.

³⁴⁵See Susan Niditch, "The 'Sodomite' Theme in Judges 19—20: Family, Community, and Social Disintegration," *Catholic Biblical Quarterly* 44:3 (July 1982):365-378.

³⁴⁶Cundall and Morris, p. 197.

³⁴⁷Recall Jephthah's sacrifice of his daughter (11:39).

"The entire book presents a nation rotting at the core. Nothing is normal, least of all the Canaanite version of patriarchy. Normative biblical patricentrism perceives male headship not as a position of power but one of responsibility, in which the leader sacrifices himself for the well-being of the led. In the Book of Judges this pattern is reversed. Repeatedly women and children are sacrificed for males."³⁴⁸

The Levite's reaction to the atrocity 19:27-30

"It is not only the action of the men of Gibeah which reveals the abysmally low moral standards of the age; the indifference of the Levite who prepared to depart in the morning without any apparent concern to ascertain the fate of his concubine, and his curt, unfeeling command when he saw her lying on the threshold (27, 28), these show that, in spite of his religion, he was devoid of the finer emotions. The sense of outrage does not appear to have influenced him until he realized that she was dead, when he lifted her body on to one of the asses and continued his journey."³⁴⁹

As soon as he arrived home the Levite callously cut his concubine into 12 pieces as one would slaughter an animal (Exod. 29:17; Lev. 1:6, 12; 8:20).³⁵⁰ This shows his further disrespect for his wife. In that culture the treatment people gave a corpse reflected their respect or lack of respect for the dead person. He should have given her a proper burial. Instead he sent one piece of her body to each of the Israelite tribes explaining what had happened and calling on them to take action.

"Sending the dissected pieces of the corpse to the tribes was a symbolic act, by which the crime committed upon the murdered woman was placed before the eyes of the whole nation, to summon it to punish the crime . . ."³⁵¹

Saul later summoned the tribes with a similar act involving an animal (1 Sam. 11:7).

The Israelites perceived this incident as the greatest act of moral corruption in their nation's history (v. 30; cf. Hos. 9:9; 10:9). The last sentence in the last verse of this chapter is perhaps the most significant. What would Israel do? Would she deal with this situation as God had specified in the Mosaic Law, or would she disregard His will as almost everyone in this story had done? The nation had faced a similar crisis in dealing with Micah (cf. 18:14). The next chapter shows what Israel did.

³⁴⁸Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 584.

³⁴⁹Cundall and Morris, p. 198.

³⁵⁰It is possible that he murdered her here. He later described what happened as though she was already dead when he cut her up (20:5-6), but we wonder if we can believe him in view of how the writer painted his character. He may have committed murder in a fit of rage over the indignity to his honor that the men of Gibeah's threatment of his concubine involved.

³⁵¹Keil and Delitzsch, p. 446.

". . . it is truly remarkable that this nameless Levite from an obscure place in Ephraim was able to accomplish what none of the divinely called and empowered deliverers had been able to do. Not even Deborah and Barak had been able to galvanize support and mobilize the military resources of the nation to this extent."³⁵²

Chapter 19 is a story about love and hate. The major manifestation of love is hospitality. The major manifestation of hate is immorality (lit. what is contrary to manners). Webster's dictionary defines immoral as "contrary to the moral code of the community."³⁵³ The idea that man sets his own standards of morality goes all the way back to the Fall (Gen. 3). Really God sets these standards. He does so in love and for the welfare of humanity, and He reveals them in His Word. When people abandon God's standards, life breaks down, unravels, and disintegrates.

Notice how the characters in this chapter behaved when they chose to disregard divine sovereign authority. The most admirable person was the Levite's father-in-law. He showed love to both the man and the woman by extending hospitality (vv. 4-9). The concubine loved the Levite enough to live with him temporarily, but she did not love him enough to remain faithful to him. The Levite loved the concubine enough to go after her, but he really hated her as a person. He handed her over as a coward, spoke to her callously, and treated her body contemptuously. He failed to protect her (v. 25), to assist her (v. 27), and to respect her (v. 29). The old stranger loved the other men in the story, but he hated the women: his daughter and the concubine. The men of Gibeah are the most despicable characters. They hated the men and the women in the house. Their profession of love (intercourse, v. 22) was a pretext for hate (attempted homosexual rape, heterosexual rape, and murder). This is how people, even God's people, may behave when they reject God's rule over their lives (v. 1).

2. The civil war in Israel ch. 20

This chapter continues the story begun in chapter 19. The emphasis in chapter 19 was on moral degeneracy and that of chapter 20 is Israel's political disorganization. One man's sin in chapter 19 resulted in over 65,000 deaths in chapter 20 (cf. Josh. 7).

Preparations to besiege Gibeah 20:1-11

The phrase "from Dan to Beersheba" (v. 1) became a common expression during the united monarchy indicating the whole of Israel. Gilead refers to the part of Israel east of the Jordan River. The Mizpah referred to here (v. 1) was the one in Benjamin just five miles north of Gibeah, not Mizpah of Gilead. Three times in this pericope the writer used the phrase "as one man" (vv. 1, 8, 11). This is one of the rare instances of Israelite solidarity during the judges period. Here they unanimously chose a plan that lacked

³⁵²Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 550.

³⁵³Webster's *New World Dictionary of the American Language*, s.v. "immoral."

divine initiative. At other times they did not cooperate to fulfill the revealed will of God (cf. 5:15-17; 8:1-3; 12:1-6; 15:11).

By casting lots to see how they should proceed against Benjamin (v. 9), the tribes were dealing with Benjamin as they had dealt with the Canaanite towns they had attacked. God did not tell them to deal with their fellow Israelites this way (cf. Deut. 13:12-18). They were now battling their brethren as they had warred against their enemies (v. 18; cf. Lev. 19:18).

"Some comment must be made regarding the large numbers in this chapter. The discussion centers around the translation of the Hebrew word *eleph*. This word often is translated *thousand* but can also mean a *family, clan, or military unit of fighting men* (such as a squad of ten to twenty soldiers). The *twenty-six, twenty-two, eighteen, ten*, should not be thought of as so many *thousand* men but as so many *units* of men, each unit consisting of somewhere in the neighborhood of ten to twenty fighting men each. (A unit of ten is mentioned specifically in verse 10.) This interpretation does not detract from the authority of the Scriptures in any way. It simply attempts to understand what the Bible actually says. Certainly it places the other numbers in the chapter in a reasonable context."³⁵⁴

I see no reason to reject the traditional translation of *eleph* as "thousand" in this context (cf. Num. 26:41).³⁵⁵

Attempt at a peaceful settlement 20:12-19

The 11 tribes wisely tried to settle this problem with the Benjamites peacefully (v. 12; cf. Josh. 22:13-20). Unfortunately the Benjamites decided to support the residents of Gibeah who were their kinsmen. They should have sided with "their brothers" (vv. 13, 23, 28) who were the other Israelites. The Benjamites decided to support their kinsmen because they were their relatives rather than standing with God for what was right.

"Though the sin of the guilty impairs the whole community, here of Benjamin, the assembly would have been content with the capital punishment of only the guilty individuals. It was only after identifying themselves with the guilty persons by their refusal to give them up that Benjamin came collectively under the ban. This is a case of corporate responsibility rather than 'corporate personality'."³⁵⁶

"The extent to which people will stand up to defend evil and evildoers is a measure of how deeply rooted is the Canaanizing rot in a culture."³⁵⁷

³⁵⁴Monson, p. 119. See also *New Bible Dictionary*, s.v. "Number," by R. A. H. Gunner, pp. 895-98.

³⁵⁵So also Wolf, p. 494; et al.

³⁵⁶J. Gray, p. 355.

³⁵⁷Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 568.

The Benjamites were outstanding warriors in Israel. Ehud and Saul, as well as other champions, came from the tribe of Benjamin (cf. Gen. 49:27; 1 Chron. 8:40; 12:2). The 700 left-handed soldiers (v. 16) were evidently an elite force all of whom were expert in the use of the sling.

"Alone a left-handed person was considered handicapped [e.g., Ehud] and in a contingent of right-handed troops an actual liability, but if enough left-handed men could be assembled to make up an entire contingent, a disadvantage could be transformed into a distinct advantage, physically and psychologically."³⁵⁸

"The *sling*, which was employed with a left-handed motion, must not be confused with the modern schoolboy's catapult; it was a formidable weapon of war used in the Assyrian, Egyptian, and Babylonian armies as well as in Israel. David's encounter with the Philistine, Goliath, is a telling example of the power and accuracy of this weapon (I Sa. 17:49). It has been estimated that stones weighing up to one pound could be projected with uncanny accuracy at speeds up to 90 m.p.h.!"³⁵⁹

The Israelites went to Bethel to inquire for God's strategy in their battle (v. 18).³⁶⁰ Bethel was only about four miles north of Mizpah. The ark of the covenant was at Bethel then (v. 27).³⁶¹ They apparently had moved the ark and the tabernacle from Shiloh (cf. Josh. 21:2; 22:9, 12; Judg. 18:31; 21:12, 19, 21; 1 Sam. 1:3).³⁶² The Israelites viewed the ark as a good luck charm (cf. 1 Sam. 4:3-4). They had a low view of God's holiness, which explains their disregard for Him as their commander-in-chief in this chapter.

The Israelites' initial defeats 20:20-28

The Lord granted the Benjamites success to discipline the other Israelites for their independence, not because He approved of the Benjamites' actions. The Benjamites became God's temporary instrument to discipline the other tribes, as God also used Israel's foreign foes (the Canaanites, Midianites, Philistines, et al.).

"The congregation now discovered, from this repeated defeat, that the Lord had withdrawn His grace, and was punishing them. Their sin, however, did not consist in the fact that they had begun the war itself—for the law in Deut. xxii 22, to which they themselves had referred in ver. 13, really required this,—but rather in the state of mind with which they had

³⁵⁸Ibid., p. 557.

³⁵⁹Cundall and Morris, p. 201.

³⁶⁰In 1:1 they inquired of Yahweh, but here they inquired of Elohim, the generic name for God. This detail is still another evidence of Israel's departure from the Lord.

³⁶¹This is the only mention of the ark in Judges. The people were not seeking the Lord much at this time. Contrast the more numerous references to it in Joshua where the Israelites were more victorious.

³⁶²Another possibility is that only the ark was at Bethel and the tabernacle was still at Shiloh.

entered upon the war, their strong self-consciousness, and great confidence in their own might and power. They had indeed inquired of God (*Elohim*) who should open the conflict; but they had neglected to humble themselves before Jehovah the covenant God, in the consciousness not only of their own weakness and sinfulness, but also of grief at the moral corruption of their brother tribe."³⁶³

With each successive defeat the Israelites became more concerned about getting God's guidance. They had previously just asked Him to bless their plans with success.

". . . by reducing the size of the army, God was showing them that numbers alone did not guarantee victory. They needed to trust God to accomplish the impossible, as he did for Gideon's three hundred (cf. 7:7)."³⁶⁴

"Just as the worship of Baal had brought about a near catastrophe in the plains of Moab (Num 25:1-9), so the Baal cult was probably responsible for subverting the Benjamites. This must have been comparatively soon after the earlier incident, for the same priest Phinehas intervened on both occasions (Num 25:7-8; Judg 20:28)."³⁶⁵

The Israelites' final victory 20:29-48

Verses 29-36a give an overview of the battle, and 36b-48 provide a more detailed explanation.

Israel's strategy was similar to what God had specified against Ai (Josh. 8:1-29) and what Abimelech used against Shechem (9:33-44).

The location of Baal-tamar is unknown (v. 33), but Marreh-geba was evidently Geba, which stood a few miles northeast of Gibeah. Rimmon ("Pomegranate," v. 45) was farther to the north and east of Bethel. The site of Gidom is still unknown.

The writer carefully recorded that it was the Lord who struck Benjamin (v. 35).

"The word for 'whole' (*kalil*, v. 40) is often used of 'whole burnt offerings' (Deut 33:10) and is in fact used of burning a town whose people have become involved in idolatry (Deut 13:16). The entire town [of Gibeah] literally became a burnt offering!"³⁶⁶

³⁶³Keil and Delitzsch, p. 452.

³⁶⁴Wolf, p. 498.

³⁶⁵Ibid., p. 493. Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 561, claimed that the name Phinehas is Egyptian in origin and derives from a word meaning "the dark-skinned, the Negro."

³⁶⁶Ibid., p. 500.

The Israelites did to the Benjamites as they had done to the Canaanites who were under the ban (v. 48). This was excessively severe treatment contrary to God's will (Lev. 19:18).

This chapter illustrates the far-reaching consequences of a single sexual sin (19:1). It also reveals the inverted values of people who did not acknowledge God as their king. Unwarranted protection of a neighbor replaced love for God in the warring factions of the nation. Excessive loyalty to brothers replaced loyalty to God. Vengeance and overkill replaced adherence to God's gracious will. Furthermore we see here that God's guidance may involve discipline for the independent as well as punishment for the rebellious. However, we should not conclude that one person's problems always have their roots in his or her personal sins (cf. Job; John 9:2-3).

3. The preservation of Benjamin ch. 21

In chapter 20 Israel tried desperately to destroy the tribe of Benjamin.³⁶⁷ In chapter 21 she tried just as hard to deliver this tribe from the extinction that her own excessive vengeance threatened to accomplish. The anarchy of God's people complicated the problems that her apostasy had initiated. The moral degeneracy of chapter 19 proceeded from political disorganization in chapter 20 to social disintegration in chapter 21.

"Interpreting biblical narrative can be like trying to figure out someone who has a dry sense of humor. The person may give no visible indication that he intends humor, so that you have to divine it as best you can. Judges 21 is noncommittal like that. The writer reports but hardly critiques, so that we are left asking how we are to take the story."³⁶⁸

The way to determine the rightness or wrongness of Israel's actions is to compare them with God's revealed will in the Mosaic Law.

The plight of the Benjamites 21:1-4

The "wife oath" that the Israelites had taken at Mizpah (20:8-11) may have had some connection with God's commands concerning Israel's treatment of the Canaanites (Lev. 7:1-3). Israel was to destroy these enemies utterly and not intermarry with them. However this was how Israel was to deal with Canaanites, not her own brethren. Obviously the remaining Benjamites needed wives and children to perpetuate the tribe.

The civil war had left only 600 Benjamite warriors alive (20:47). The population of this endangered tribe was so small now that it could easily have become extinct. Returning to Bethel and the ark, the victorious Israelites reflected on the situation they had created (v. 2). The thrill of victory turned to the agony of defeat as they realized the consequences of

³⁶⁷In Gen. 42:36 Jacob feared that Joseph's brothers would do something that would result in Benjamin's death. What he feared then almost happened now.

³⁶⁸Davis, *Such a . . .*, pp. 224-25.

their actions. The dilemma that their "wife oath" (v. 1) and their sorrow (v. 2) posed is the subject of this chapter. How could they resolve these two things?

The Israelites' initial reaction was to blame God for the situation (v. 3). The real reason for it was their failure to seek and follow God's will earlier (cf. 20:8-11).

Then they sought the Lord more seriously (v. 4). It seems strange that the Israelites built an altar at Bethel since they had recently offered sacrifices on the one before the tabernacle there (20:26). Perhaps they rebuilt or enlarged the altar at Bethel, or they may have built another one.

Israel's first insufficient solution: a previous oath 21:5-15

Verses 5-7 stress the sorrow and the dilemma the Israelites felt because of the Benjamites' situation. The "great oath" (v. 5) seems to have been that any Israelites who did not participate in the nation's battles against her enemies should suffer God's punishment (cf. Num. 32:20-33).

Verses 8-9 record the Israelites' solution to their dilemma having asked themselves, "What shall we do?" (v. 7; cf. v. 16). They should have confessed their mistake in making the "wife vow" and asked for God's solution (cf. 20:8-11). Jabesh-Gilead ("well-drained soil of Gilead") was about 48 miles northeast of Shiloh on the east side of the Jordan River.

Next, the Israelites commanded 12,000 assailants to attack the uncooperative Israelite town (vv. 10-11). This was another sinful plan born out of self-will and vengeance.

"The action [against Jabesh-gilead] appears cruel in the extreme to the modern reader, but the virtual sacredness of the bond linking the several tribes into the amphictyony must be appreciated, and the sin of Jabesh-gilead seen in its light."³⁶⁹

This oppressive action provided only 400 women for the 600 remaining Benjamites, an insufficient number (vv. 12-14). The failure of the plan confirms that it was not God's will, though He permitted it.

This section closes with the people's response to the continuing problem due to the failure of the plan (v. 15). The Lord had made a breach or gap in the ranks of the Israelites in the sense that He permitted it to happen. However, He would not permit the annihilation of Benjamin in view of His promises concerning the future of Israel.

³⁶⁹Cundall and Morris, p. 210.

Israel's second sufficient solution: a technical loophole 21:16-24

The writer constructed this section parallel to the previous one (vv. 5-15) to highlight the dilemma Israel continued to face.³⁷⁰ About 200 Benjamites still needed wives. Verses 16-18 repeat the dilemma that the Israelites' "wife oath" had created (v. 1).

The elders of Israel proposed a second plan (v. 19; cf. vv. 8-9). It would give the Benjamites wives without causing the Israelites to break the letter of their "wife vow," though it violated a more basic law. The problem with this plan was that it required the forcible kidnapping and raping of 200 women from Shiloh. Undoubtedly if the elders had sought the Lord's counsel He would have given them a better plan. There is no evidence in the text that they did so.

The annual feast of Yahweh was probably the Passover ". . . as the dances of the daughters of Shiloh was apparently an imitation of the dances of the Israelitish women at the Red Sea under the superintendency of Miriam (Ex. xv. 20)."³⁷¹ Another possibility is that this was the Feast of Tabernacles ". . . in the time of the vintage-harvest."³⁷² A third option is that it was a festival of the Israelites' own making.³⁷³

Verses 20-22 record the Israelites' command to the assailants (cf. vv. 10-11). The fathers and brothers of the women would complain because of the treatment these women would receive and because these men would not receive doweries from their sons-in-law as was customary. The Israelites also expected these fathers and brothers to find some consolation in the fact that they had not technically broken the "wife oath."

This second provision of wives proved to be sufficient for the Benjamites (v. 23; cf. vv. 12-14) even though the plan involved the violation of basic human rights.

With this resolution of the problem the Israelites returned to their homes (v. 24; cf. v. 15).

"There is a certain rightness and a certain wrongness about what Israel does. They justifiably requite Jabesh-gilead with unjustifiable severity (vv. 5, 10). They stand consistently upon their wife-oath (vv. 7, 16-18) but trample happily upon the rights of the Shiloh girls and their families (vv. 19-22). It is a mix of consistency and confusion. . . .

"The ambivalence pervading chapter 21 simply fits the pattern of incongruities throughout the story from the beginning of chapter 19."³⁷⁴

"Through Moses Yahweh had warned that if the Israelites stoop to behaving like Canaanites, then they can expect the same fate (Deut 8:19-

³⁷⁰Davis, *Such a . . .*, p. 224.

³⁷¹Keil and Delitzsch, pp. 461-62.

³⁷²Cundall and Morris, p. 212.

³⁷³Block, *Judges . . .*, p. 580.

³⁷⁴Davis, *Such a . . .*, p. 226.

20). The narrator never declares so outrightly, but the present account, coming as it does at the end of the book affirms the total Canaanization of the tribe of Benjamin and the Israelites' falsely based sympathy for their brothers."³⁷⁵

The concluding key 21:25

Verse 25 concludes the story of the atrocity of the men of Gibeah and the Benjamites (chs. 19—21). This second vignette from the period of the judges began and ends with the same statement (cf. 19:1). It reflects the failure of Israel in this event in its history to acknowledge the sovereignty of Yahweh in a practical way.

"The motivation for including this second narrative of the Bethlehem trilogy is evident. It reflects badly on Benjamin and by implication on the Saulide ancestry and dynasty. The pro-David sentiment is crystal clear."³⁷⁶

However the verse also summarizes the whole period of the judges surveyed in this book. It forms a fitting concluding statement that explains why life in Israel was as it was during this era. For this reason many Bible students regard this verse as the key verse in the book.³⁷⁷

"Judges 19—21 gives us the ugliest story in the Bible. The key to it is that, at every stage, men were acting on the basis of what was right in their own eyes. As far as the men of Gibeah were concerned, rape was all right. To the farmer and the Levite in the house, homosexual rape was unthinkable, but other rape was acceptable. The men of Benjamin thought it was right to overlook sin and to defend evil men. To Israel, revenge and retaliation would be justified, and to solve their problems about marriage for the Benjamites, the massacre of innocent people and kidnapping could be condoned.

"The interesting thing is that none of this had anything [?] to do with idolatry or Baal worship. It began with individuals ignoring the law of God, doing what was right in their own eyes, and it led a whole nation into moral collapse."³⁷⁸

The Israelites needed no judge or king to lead them into apostasy or battle. They did both on their own.

³⁷⁵Block, *Judges* . . . , pp. 582-83.

³⁷⁶Merrill, *Kingdom of* . . . , pp. 181-82.

³⁷⁷See Frederick E. Greenspahn, "An Egyptian Parallel to Judg. 17:6 and 21:25," *Journal of Biblical Literature* 101:1 (1982):129-35.

³⁷⁸Inrig, p. 289.

Conclusion

The Book of Joshua recorded Israel's victory over her enemies through trust in and obedience to God. The Book of Judges shows the defeat of the nation by its enemies from without and within due to refusal to trust and obey God.

"No book in the Old Testament offers the modern church as telling a mirror as this book. From the jealousies of the Ephraimites to the religious pragmatism of the Danites, from the paganism of Gideon to the self-centeredness of Samson, and from the unmanliness of Barak to the violence against women by the men of Gibeah, all of the marks of Canaanite degeneracy are evident in the church and its leaders today. This book is a wake-up call for a church moribund in its own selfish pursuits. Instead of heeding the call of truly godly leaders and letting Jesus Christ be Lord of the church, everywhere congregations and their leaders do what is right in their own eyes."³⁷⁹

"The principle theme of the Book of Judges is 'Failure through Compromise' which is in contrast to the main theme in the Book of Joshua which is 'Victory through Faith.'"³⁸⁰

"The Book of Judges illustrates both God's justice and His grace—justice in punishing sin and grace in forgiving sin."³⁸¹

The course of Israel's decline progressed in a descending series of cycles. She went from blessing to apostasy to discipline to repentance to deliverance to rededication to blessing, etc. Her deterioration grew out of spiritual apostasy and manifested itself in moral degeneracy, political disorganization, and social disintegration.

"So the Book of Judges ends with a miracle. How after chapters 19—21, indeed, after chapters 1—21, can you account for the fact that there is still an Israel? It can only be because Yahweh wished to dwell in the midst of his people in spite of its sin. It can only be because Yahweh's grace is far more tenacious than his people's depravity and insists on still holding them fast even in their sinfulness and their stupidity. Nor is he finished raising up saviors for them (Acts 13:23)!"³⁸²

Joshua and Judges, therefore, give proof positively and negatively of how the basic principles affecting the relationship that God intends people to enjoy work out in national and personal life. The Pentateuch revealed these principles.

³⁷⁹Block, *Judges* . . . , p. 586.

³⁸⁰Davis and Whitcomb, p. 94.

³⁸¹Lindsey, p. 414.

³⁸²Davis, *Such a* . . . , p. 227.

Bibliography

- Aharoni, Yohanan. *Land of the Bible*. Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1962.
- Aharoni, Yohanan, and Michael Avi-Yonah. *The Macmillan Bible Atlas*. Revised ed. New York: Macmillan Publishing Co., 1977.
- Albright, William Foxwell. *The Archaeology of Palestine*. 1949. Revised ed. Pelican Archaeology series. Harmondsworth, Middlesex, England: Penguin Books, 1956.
- _____. *Yahweh and the Gods of Canaan*. Garden City, N.Y.: Doubleday, 1969.
- Alter, Robert. *The Art of Biblical Poetry*. New York: Basic Books, 1985.
- Amit, Yairah. "Judges 4: Its Contents and Form." *Journal for the Study of the Old Testament* 39 (October 1987):89-111.
- Archer, Gleason L., Jr. *A Survey of Old Testament Introduction*. Revised ed. Chicago: Moody Press, 1974.
- Armerding, Carl. "Samson." *Interest* 49:3 (October 1983):4-7.
- Auld, A. G. "Judges I and History: A Reconsideration." *Vetus Testamentum* 25 (1975):261-85.
- "Avraham Biran—Twenty Years of Digging at Tell Dan." *Biblical Archaeology Review* 13:4 (July-August 1987):12-25.
- Barré, Michael L. "The Meaning of *prsdn* in Judges III 22." *Vetus Testamentum* 41:1 (1991):1-11.
- Bienkowski, Piotr. "The Role of Hazor in the Late Bronze Age." *Palestinian Exploration Quarterly* 119:1 (January-June 1987):50-61.
- Block, Daniel I. "Gideon: A Rough Vessel." *The Standard* 77:2 (February 1987):25-27.
- _____. *Judges, Ruth*. The New American Commentary series. Nashville: Broadman & Holman Publishers, 1999.
- _____. "The Period of the Judges: Religious Disintegration under Tribal Rule." In *Israel's Apostasy and Restoration: Essays in Honor of Roland K. Harrison*, pp. 39-58. Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1988.
- _____. "The Role of Language in Ancient Israelite Perception of National Identity." *Journal of Biblical Literature* 103:3 (September 1984):321-340.

- _____. "Will the Real Gideon Please Stand Up? Narrative Style and Intention in Judges 6—9." *Journal of the Evangelical Theological Society* 40:3 (September 1997):353-66.
- Boling, Robert G. *Judges*. Anchor Bible series. Garden City, N.Y.: Doubleday, 1975.
- Bright, John. *A History of Israel*. Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1959.
- Bruce, F. F. "Judges." In *The New Bible Commentary: Revised*, pp. 252-276. Edited by D. Guthrie and J. A. Motyer. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., 1970.
- Bush, George. *Notes on Judges*. New York: Newman & Ivison, 1852; reprint ed., Minneapolis: James & Klock Publishing, 1976.
- Cassel, Samuel H. "Strong Man: A Scripture Study of the Weaknesses in Strength." *Foundations* 2 (1959):264-68.
- Childe, V. Gordon. *New Light on the Most Ancient East*. New York: Norton, 1969.
- Constable, Thomas L. "A Theology of Joshua, Judges, and Ruth." In *A Biblical Theology of the Old Testament*, pp. 89-113. Edited by Roy B. Zuck. Chicago: Moody Press, 1991.
- Cottrell, Leonard. *The Anvil of Civilization*. New York: New American Library, 1957.
- Craigie, Peter C. "A Reconsideration of Shamgar ben Anath (Judg. 3:31 and 5:6)." *Journal of Biblical Literature* 91.2 (June 1971):239-40.
- _____. *Ugarit and the Old Testament*. Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., 1983.
- Creason, James Frederick, Jr. "A Biblical Theology of Judges." *Biblical Viewpoint* 19:2 (November 1985):73-77.
- Culver, Robert D. "Did Jephthah Really Slay His Daughter and Offer Her Body as a Burnt Offering?" *Evangelical Christian* 55:2 (February 1959):69-70.
- Cundall, Arthur E. "Judges." In *Judges and Ruth* by Arthur E. Cundall and Leon Morris. Tyndale Old Testament Commentaries series. Downers Grove, Ill.: InterVarsity Press, 1968.
- _____. "Judges—An Apology for the Monarchy." *Expository Times* 81 (October 1969-September 1970):178-81.

- Darby, John Nelson. *Synopsis of the Books of the Bible*. Revised ed. 5 vols. New York: Loizeaux Brothers Publishers, 1942.
- Daube, D. "Gideon's Few." *Journal of Jewish Studies* 7 (1956):155-61.
- Davis, Dale Ralph. "Comic Literature—Tragic Theology: A Study of Judges 17-18." *Westminster Theological Journal* 46 (1984):156-63.
- _____. *Such a Great Salvation*. Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1990.
- Davis, John J. "Conquest and Crisis." In *A History of Israel* by John J. Davis and John C. Whitcomb. N.p., 1970; reprint ed., Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1980.
- Day, John. "Asherah in the Hebrew Bible and Northwest Semitic Literature." *Journal of Biblical Literature* 105:3 (September 1986):385-408.
- Dothan, Trude. "What We Know About the Philistines." *Biblical Archaeology Review* 8:4 (July-August 1982):20-44.
- Dumbrell, William J. "'In Those Days There Was No King in Israel; Every Man Did What Was Right in His Own Eyes.' The Purpose of the Book of Judges Reconsidered." *Journal for the Study of the Old Testament*. 25 (1983):23-33.
- Dyer, Charles H., and Eugene H Merrill. *The Old Testament Explorer*. Nashville: Word Publishing, 2001.
- Emmrich, Martin. "The Symbolism of the Lion and the Bees: Another Ironic Twist in the Samson Cycle." *Journal of the Evangelical Theological Society* 44:1 (March 2001):
- Exum, J. Cheryl. "Aspects of Symmetry and Balance in the Samson Saga." *Journal for the Study of the Old Testament* 19 (1981):3-29.
- _____. "The Centre Cannot Hold: Thematic and Textual Instabilities in Judges." *Catholic Biblical Quarterly* 52 (July 1990):410-31.
- _____. "The Theological Dimension of the Samson Saga." *Vetus Testamentum* 33:1 (1983):30-45.
- Exum, J. Cheryl, and J. William Whedbee. "Isaac, Samson, and Saul: Reflections on the Comic and Tragic Visions." *Semeia* 32 (1984):5-40.
- Feinberg, Charles L. "Notes on the Book of Judges." Unpublished class notes, Dallas Theological Seminary, n.d.

- Ferguson, Robert U., Jr. "The Danger of Playing Games with God." *Pulpit Digest* 64:468 (July-August 1984):31-34.
- Fritz, Volkmar. "Conquest or Settlement? The Early Iron Age in Palestine." *Biblical Archaeologist* 50:2 (June 1987):84-100.
- Gardner, Joseph L., ed. *Reader's Digest Atlas of the Bible*. Pleasantville, N.Y.: Reader's Digest Association, 1985.
- Garstang, John. *Joshua, Judges*. The Foundations of Bible History series. New York: Richard R. Smith, 1931.
- Gooding, D. W. "The Composition of the Book of Judges." *Eretz Israel* 16 (1982):70-79.
- Gordon, Cyrus. *The Ancient Near East*. New York: W. W. Horton, 1965.
- Gottlieb, Freema. "Three Mothers." *Judaism* 30 (Spring 1981):194-203.
- Gray, G. Buchanan. *The Forms of Hebrew Poetry*. Library of Biblical Studies series. Revised ed. New York: Ktav Publishing Co., 1972.
- Gray, John. *Joshua, Judges and Ruth*. New Century Bible Commentary series. London: Thomas Nelson and Sons, 1967.
- Greenspahn, Frederick E. "An Egyptian Parallel to Judg 17:6 and 21:25." *Journal of Biblical Literature* 101:1 (1982):129-35.
- _____. "The Theology of the Framework of Judges." *Vetus Testamentum* 36:4 (October 1986):385-96.
- Gunn, D. M. "Narrative Patterns and Oral Tradition in Judges and Samuel." *Vetus Testamentum* 24:3 (July 1974):286-317.
- Halpern, Baruch. "The Rise of Abimelek Ben-Jerubbaal." *Hebrew Annual Review* 2 (1978):79-100.
- Hawkes, Jacquetta. *The First Great Civilizations*. New York: Knopf, 1973.
- Henry, Matthew. *Commentary on the Whole Bible*. 6 vols. New York: Revell, n.d.
- Hindson, Edward E. *The Philistines and the Old Testament*. Baker Studies in Biblical Archaeology series. Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1983.
- Horn, Siegfried H. *Biblical Archaeology: A Generation of Discovery*. Washington: Biblical Archaeology Society, 1985.

- Inrig, Gary. *Hearts of Iron, Feet of Clay*. Chicago: Moody Press, 1979.
- The International Standard Bible Encyclopaedia*, 1939 ed. S.v. "Judges, Book of," by A. S. Geden, 3:1772-75.
- Josephus, Flavius. *The Works of Flavius Josephus*. Translated by William Whiston. *Antiquities of the Jews*. London: T. Nelson and Sons, 1866.
- Kallai, Z. "The Conquest of Northern Palestine in Joshua and Judges." *Proceedings of the Fifth World Congress of Jewish Studies*. Vol I. Jerusalem: World Union of Jewish Studies, 1969.
- Keil, C. F., and Franz Delitzsch. *Joshua, Judges, Ruth*. Translated by James Martin. *Biblical Commentary on the Old Testament*. N.p.; reprint ed., Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., n.d.
- Kitchen, Kenneth A. *Ancient Orient and Old Testament*. Downers Grove, Ill.: InterVarsity Press, 1966.
- . "The Old Testament in its Context: 3 From Joshua to Solomon." *Theological Students' Fellowship Bulletin* 61 (1971):5-14.
- Klein, L. R. *The Triumph of Irony in the Book of Judges*. *Journal for the Study of the Old Testament Supplement* 68. Sheffield, England: Almond Press, 1987.
- Lasine, Stuart. "Guest and Host in Judges 19: Lot's Hospitality in an Inverted World." *Journal for the Study of the Old Testament* 29 (1984):37-59.
- Laughlin, John C. H. "Dan." *Biblical Illustrator* 9:4 (Summer 1983):40-46.
- Lawhead, Alvin S. "Grace in the Book of Judges." *Preacher's Magazine* 58:3 (March-May 1983):25-27.
- Lewis, Arthur H. *Judges and Ruth*. Everyman's Bible Commentary series. Chicago: Moody Press, 1979.
- Lindars, Barnabas. "Deborah's Song: Women in the Old Testament." *Bulletin of the John Rylands University Library of Manchester* 65:2 (Spring 1983):158-75.
- Lindsey, F. Duane. "Judges." In *The Bible Knowledge Commentary: Old Testament*, pp. 373-414. Edited by John F. Walvoord and Roy B. Zuck. Wheaton: Scripture Press Publications, Victor Books, 1985.
- MacIntosh, A. A. "The Meaning of MKLYM in Judges XVIII 7." *Vetus Testamentum* 35:1 (January 1985):68-76.

- Malamat, A. "The Danite Migration and the Pan-Israelite Exodus-Conquest: A Biblical Narrative Pattern." *Biblica* 51 (1970):1-16.
- Manor, Dale W. "The Topography and Geography of the Jezreel Valley as they Contribute to the Battles of Deborah and Gideon." *Near Eastern Archaeology Society Bulletin* NS28 (Winter 1987):25-32.
- Margalith, Othniel. "The Legends of Samson/Heracles." *Vetus Testamentum* 37:1 (January 1987):63-70.
- _____. "More Samson Legends." *Vetus Testamentum* 36:4 (October 1986):397-405.
- _____. "Samson's Foxes." *Vetus Testamentum* 35:2 (April 1985):224-29.
- _____. "Samson's Riddle and Samson's Magic Locks." *Vetus Testamentum* 36:2 (April 1986):225-34.
- Matthews, Victor H. "Hospitality and Hostility in Judges 4." *Biblical Theology Bulletin* 21 (Spring 1991):13-21.
- Mazar, Amihai. *Archaeology of the Land of the Bible 10,000-586 B.C.E.* New York: Doubleday, 1990.
- _____. "Bronze Bull Found in Israelite 'High place' From the Time of the Judges." *Biblical Archaeology Review* 9:5 (September-October 1983):34-40.
- _____. "On Cult Places and Early Israelites: A Response to Michael Coogan." *Biblical Archaeology Review* 15:4 (July-August 1988):45.
- _____. "A Philistine Temple at Tell Qasile." *Biblical Archaeologist* 36 (1973):43-48.
- Merrill, Eugene H. *Kingdom of Priests*. Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1987.
- _____. "Paul's Use of 'About 450 Years' in Acts 13:20." *Bibliotheca Sacra* 138:551 (July-September 1981):246-57.
- Mitchell, T. Crichton. "Abimelech—The Bramble King." *Preacher's Magazine* 58:3 (March-May 1983):16-19, 61.
- Monson, James M. *The Land Between*. Jerusalem: By the Author, P.O. Box 1276, 1983.
- Morgan, G. Campbell. *Living Messages of the Books of the Bible*. 2 vols. New York: Fleming H. Revell Co., 1912.
- Mosca, Paul G. "Who Seduced Whom? A Note on Joshua 15:18// Judges 1:14." *Catholic Biblical Quarterly* 46 (1984):18-22.

Mullen, E. Theodore, Jr. "The 'Minor Judges': Some Literary and Historical Considerations." *Catholic Biblical Quarterly* 44 (April 1982):185-201.

Nel, Philip. "The Riddle of Samson (Judg. 14,14.18)." *Biblica* 66:4 (1985):534-45.

The New Bible Dictionary. 2nd ed. S.v. "Judges," by G. T. Manley and D. J. Wiseman.

_____. 2nd ed. S.v. "Mesopotamia," by D. J. Wiseman.

_____. 2nd ed. S.v. "Mill, Millstone," by A. R. Millard.

_____. 2nd ed. S.v. "Number," by R. A. H. Gunner.

Niditch, Susan. "The 'Sodomite' Theme in Judges 19-20: Family, Community, and Social Disintegration." *Catholic Biblical Quarterly* 44:3 (July 1982):365-78.

Noth, Martin. *The History of Israel*. 2nd ed. New York: Harper and Row, 1960.

O'Connell, R. H. *The Rhetoric of the Book of Judges*. Vetus Testamentum Supplement 63. Leiden, Netherlands: Brill, 1996.

O'Connor, M. "The Women in the Book of Judges." *Hebrew Annual Review* 10 (1986):277-93.

The Oxford Dictionary of Quotations. Second ed. London: Oxford University Press, 1955.

Paterson, John H. "The Touchy Tribe." *Toward the Mark* 16:6 (November-December 1987):110-13.

Peet, J. H. John. "The Chronology of the Judges—Some Thoughts." *Journal of Christian Reconstruction* 9:1-2 (1982-83):161-81.

Pfeiffer, Robert H. *Introduction to the Old Testament*. New York: Harper & Brothers, 1941.

Rediger, G. Lloyd. "The Samson Syndrome." *Church Management—The Clergy Journal* 60:7 (May-June 1984):78-79.

Rendall, Ted S. "The Samson Syndrome." *Prairie Overcomer* 27:7 (July-August 1984):4-19.

Revell, E. J. "The Battle with Benjamin (Judges xx 29-48) and Hebrew Narrative Techniques." *Vetus Testamentum* 35:4 (October 1985):417-33.

- Schwantes, Siegfried J. *A Short History of the Ancient Near East*. Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1965.
- Scott, Walter. *Exposition of the Revelation of Jesus Christ*. London: Pickering and Inglis Ltd., n.d.
- Shanks, Hershel. "Two Early Cult Sites Now Questioned." *Biblical Archaeology Review* 14:1 (January-February 1988):48-52.
- Soggin, J. A. *Judges: A Commentary*. Translated by J. S. Bowden. Old Testament Library series. Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1981.
- Stek, John H. "The Bee and the Mountain Goat: A Literary Reading of Judges 4." In *A Tribute to Gleason Archer*, pp. 53-86. Edited by Walter C. Kaiser Jr. and Ronald F. Youngblood. Chicago: Moody Press, 1986.
- Student Map Manual*. Jerusalem: Pictorial Archive (Near Eastern History) Est., 1979.
- Tanner, J. Paul. "The Gideon Narrative as the Focal Point of Judges." *Bibliotheca Sacra* 149:594 (April-June 1992):146-61.
- Torcszyner, Harry. "The Riddle in the Bible," *Hebrew Union College Annual* 1 (1924):125-49.
- Tsevat, Matitiah. "Two Old Testament Stories [Gen. 32:23-32 and Judg. 10:1-5; 12:7-15] and their Hittite Analogues." *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 103:1 (January-March 1983):321-26.
- Unger's Bible Dictionary*. 1957 ed., S.v. "Philistines," by Merrill F. Unger, pp. 859-61.
- Unterman, Jeremiah. "The Literary Influence of 'The Binding of Isaac' (Genesis 22) on 'The Outrage at Gibeah' (Judges 19)." *Hebrew Annual Review* 4 (1980):161-66.
- van Wyk, W. C. "The Fable of Jotham in its Ancient Near Eastern Setting." In *Studies in Wisdom Literature*, pp. 89-95. Edited by W. C. van Wyk. OTWSA Series, nos. 15 & 16. N.p., n.d.
- Vickery, John B. "In Strange Ways: The Story of Samson." In *Images of Man and God: Old Testament Short Stories in Literary Focus*. Edited by Burke O. Long. Sheffield, England: Almond Press, 1981.
- Walvoord, John F. *The Revelation of Jesus Christ*. Chicago: Moody Press, 1966.
- Washburn, David L. "The Chronology of Judges: Another Look." *Bibliotheca Sacra* 147:588 (October-December 1990):414-25.

- Webb, Barry G." *The Book of Judges: An Integrated Reading*. JSOT Supplement Series 46. Sheffield: JSOT Press, 1987.
- _____. "The Theme of the Jephthah Story (Judges 10:6—12:7)." *Reformed Theological Review* 45:2 (May-August 1986):34-43.
- Webster's New World Dictionary of the American Language*. College ed. Cleveland and New York: World Publishing Co., 1953.
- Wilkinson, Elizabeth. "The *Hapax Legomenon* of Judges IV 18." *Vetus Testamentum* 33:4 (1983):512-13.
- Williams, J. G. "The Structure of Judges 2:6—16:31." *Journal for the Study of the Old Testament* 49 (1991):77-85.
- Wolf, Herbert. "Judges." In *Deuteronomy-2 Samuel*. Vol. 3 of *The Expositor's Bible Commentary*. 12 vols. Edited by Frank E. Gaebelin and Richard P. Polcyn. Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1992.
- Wood, Leon. *Distressing Days of the Judges*. Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1975.
- _____. *A Survey of Israel's History*. Grand Rapids: Zondervan Publishing House, 1970.
- Wright, G. Ernest. *Shechem, the Biography of a Biblical City*. New York: McGraw Hill, 1965.